

1226 Pickens Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201 info@garberreporting.com Telephone: (803) 256-4500 | Fax (803) 256-1999

PUBLIC HEARINGS

November 19, 2020

Judicial Merit Selection Commission

REPORTER: Patricia Bachand

1	STATE OF SOUTH	CAROLINA)
2	COUNTY OF RICH	LAND)
3		
4		* * * * *
5	JU	DICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
6		TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
7		* * * * *
8	BEFORE: SENA	TOR LUKE A. RANKIN, CHAIRMAN
9	REPR	ESENTATIVE G. MURRELL SMITH, JR.
10	SENA	TOR RONNIE A. SABB
11	REPR	ESENTATIVE CHRIS MURPHY
12	REPR	ESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
13	HOPE	BLACKLEY-LOGAN
14	LUCY	GREY MCIVER
15	ANDR	EW N. SAFRAN
16	J.P.	"PETE" STROM
17	SENA	TOR SCOTT TALLEY
18	ERIN	B. CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
19		* * * * *
20	DATE:	November 19th, 2020
21	TIME:	9:30 a.m.
22	LOCATION:	Gressette Building, Room 105
23		1101 Pendleton Street
24		Columbia, South Carolina 29201
25	REPORTED BY:	PATRICIA G. BACHAND, COURT REPORTER

1 INDEX 2 PAGE: 3 4 - Examination by Mr. Franklin..... 10 5 - Examination by Representative Murphy..... 20 - Examination by Chairman Rankin..... 29 6 7 8 - Examination by Ms. Wilkinson..... 37 9 10 - Examination by Mr. Maldonado..... 56 11 12 13 - Examination by Ms. Mottel..... 74 14 - Examination by Chairman Rankin..... 82 15 - Examination by Mr. Safran..... 87 16 17 18 - Examination by Vice Chairman Smith..... 102 19 - Examination by Chairman Rankin..... 120 20 ANTHONY R. GOLDMAN..... 133 21 - Examination by Mr. Pearce..... 135 22 - Examination by Representative Rutherford..... 144 23 - Examination by Mr. Safran..... 147 STEPHANIE N. LAWRENCE..... 155 24 - Examination by Ms. Barton..... 158 25

1	- Examination by Senator Talley	165
2	- Examination by Vice Chairman Smith	166
3	ROBERT L. REIBOLD	179
4	- Examination by Ms. Benson	181
5	- Examination by Vice Chairman Smith	191
6	THE HONORABLE CRYSTAL ROOKARD	196
7	- Examination by Ms. Baker	198
8	- Examination by Senator Talley	208
9	- Examination by Ms. McIver	209
10	- Examination by Chairman Rankin	210
11	DEBRA SHERMAN TEDESCHI	213
12	- Examination by Ms. Foster	217
13	- Examination by Mr. Safran	226
14	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	248
15	WORD INDEX	
16	* * * * *	
17	REQUESTED INFORMATION INDEX	
18	(No Information Requested.)	
19		
20	* * * * *	
21	EXHIBIT INDEX	
22	EXHIBITS: PA	AGE:
23	EXHIBIT NO. 1	. 1
24	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA	
25	QUESTIONNAIRE OF STEVEN EDWARD BUCKINGHAM	

1	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED): PAGE:
2	EXHIBIT NO. 2 2
3	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF
4	STEVEN EDWARD BUCKINGHAM
5	EXHIBIT NO. 3
6	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
7	QUESTIONNAIRE OF A. LANCE CRICK
8	EXHIBIT NO. 4
9	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
10	OF A. LANCE CRICK
11	EXHIBIT NO. 5 53
12	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
13	QUESTIONNAIRE OF PATRICK C. FANT III
14	EXHIBIT NO. 6 54
15	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
16	OF PATRICK C. FANT III
17	EXHIBIT NO. 7
18	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
19	QUESTIONNAIRE OF WILL GROVE
20	EXHIBIT NO. 8
21	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION AMENDED PERSONAL
22	DATA QUESTIONNAIRE WILL GROVE
23	EXHIBIT NO. 9
24	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
25	OF WILL GROVE

1	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED): PAGE:
2	EXHIBIT NO. 10
3	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
4	QUESTIONNAIRE OF G.D. MORGAN JR.
5	EXHIBIT NO. 11
6	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
7	OF G.D. MORGAN JR.
8	EXHIBIT NO. 12 133
9	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
10	QUESTIONNAIRE OF ANTHONY R. GOLDMAN
11	EXHIBIT NO. 13 133
12	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
13	OF ANTHONY R. GOLDMAN
14	EXHIBIT NO. 16 156
15	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
16	QUESTIONNAIRE OF STEPHANIE N. LAWRENCE
17	EXHIBIT NO. 17 156
18	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION AMENDED PERSONAL
19	DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF STEPHANIE N. LAWRENCE
20	EXHIBIT NO. 18 156
21	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
22	OF STEPHANIE N. LAWRENCE
23	EXHIBIT NO. 19 180
24	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
25	QUESTIONNAIRE OF ROBERT L. REIBOLD

Г

1	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED): PAGE:
2	EXHIBIT NO. 20 180
3	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
4	OF ROBERT L. REIBOLD
5	EXHIBIT NO. 21 197
6	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
7	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE CRYSTAL ROOKARD
8	EXHIBIT NO. 22 197
9	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION AMENDED PERSONAL
10	DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE CRYSTAL ROOKARD
11	EXHIBIT NO. 23 197
12	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
13	OF THE HONORABLE CRYSTAL ROOKARD
14	EXHIBIT NO. 24 214
15	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
16	QUESTIONNAIRE OF DEBRA SHERMAN TEDESCHI
17	EXHIBIT NO. 25 214
18	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION AMENDED PERSONAL
19	DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF DEBRA SHERMAN TEDESCHI
20	EXHIBIT NO. 26 214
21	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
22	OF DEBRA SHERMAN TEDESCHI
23	
24	
25	

Γ

Page 7

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are on the record. And
2	on the motion of Senator Talley, seconded by Ms. McIver, we
3	are going to go into executive session.
4	(Off the record from 10:01 a.m. to 10:22 a.m.)
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good morning, sir.
б	MR. BUCKINGHAM: Good morning.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, sir. If you
8	don't mind again, stand up and raise your right hand.
9	WHEREUPON,
10	MR. BUCKINGHAM, being duly sworn and
11	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
12	but the truth.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. State your
14	name for the record, please.
15	MR. BUCKINGHAM: My name is Steven Edward
16	Buckingham. Steven with "v."
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. The court
18	reporter loves you already. And you are clear as can be.
19	You have before you the personal data
20	questionnaire and the sworn statement that you have signed.
21	Do you have any additions that need to be made to that?
22	MR. BUCKINGHAM: No, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And you don't
24	object to those being made a part of the record?
25	MR. BUCKINGHAM: Not at all.

1	(EXHIBIT NO. 1 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
2	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF STEVEN
3	EDWARD BUCKINGHAM)
4	(EXHIBIT NO. 2 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
5	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF STEVEN EDWARD
6	BUCKINGHAM)
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. We, in our
8	investigation of your qualifications for this position,
9	focus on nine evaluative criteria, and we look at your
10	ballot box survey, a thorough study of your application
11	materials, verification of your compliance with state
12	ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in which your
13	name has appeared, a check for economic conflicts of
14	interest, and a study of previous screenings of which you
15	have not had, correct?
16	MR. BUCKINGHAM: That is correct. This is
17	my first.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right. No
19	affidavits have been filed in opposition to your election,
20	and no witnesses have asked to testify for you or against
21	you.
22	And we are going to allow you an opportunity
23	to make a brief opening statement after, Mr. Buckingham, I
24	state that we are back on the record. And during our brief
25	executive session we made no decisions and cast no votes.

1 Now, with that, sir, you're welcome to make 2 an opening statement. Otherwise, we'll turn it over to 3 questions. 4 MR. BUCKINGHAM: I don't really have an 5 opening statement, so I'll waive that. But I do have a benediction. Just first of all, thank you for the 6 7 opportunity to be here. I know that this is a thankless 8 job that you guys undertake, and especially since you're so 9 busy. So thank you. 10 And I do want to thank Mr. Franklin. This 11 is a mysterious process for the first time of something 12 going through it. And he has been exceptionally helpful. 13 (Off-the-record interruption.) 14 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Go ahead. I'm 15 There was something about a benediction. Start sorry. 16 that again. 17 MR. BUCKINGHAM: Yeah. So I was just 18 thanking you guys for making time available for me, and for 19 giving of your time to be on this committee. I know it's 20 largely thankless. And I was thanking Mr. Franklin for his 21 help and guidance through this process. 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Thank you. All right. 23 Roland, take it away, sir. MR. FRANKLIN: 24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 25 Good morning, Mr. Buckingham.

1	MR. BUCKINGHAM: Good morning.
2	MR. FRANKLIN: I note for the record that
3	based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ,
4	which has been included in the record with the candidate's
5	consent, Steven Buckingham meets the constitutional and
6	statutory requirements for this position regarding age,
7	residence, and years of practice.
8	EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANKLIN:
9	Q. Mr. Buckingham, how do you feel your legal and
10	professional experience thus far renders you qualified and
11	will assist you to be an effective Circuit Court judge?
12	A. Yeah, of course. So of course the Circuit Court
13	is a court of general jurisdiction, which means that a
14	trial judge is going to see a wide variety of cases. On
15	the civil side it will from fender-benders on up to
16	complicated trade secrets cases. And on the criminal side,
17	everything up to capital murder.
18	So to me what's important in anyone who serves as
19	a trial judge and in the capacity of a trial judge, is
20	having the mental acuity to jump frequently between very
21	diverse and complicated areas of law.
22	For the most part in my practice, I have run the
23	gamut of civil practice. I have I've never done a
24	fender-bender case, that's not my type of practice, but
25	I've done everything from simple property disputes between

Page 11

1	neighbors on up to the complicated trade secrets cases.
2	And then in addition to that, as you might have
3	noticed from my PDQ, I am a two-time federal law clerk.
4	And so in that capacity I have seen, again, a wide variety
5	of civil cases and a wide variety of criminal cases.
б	I've participated in criminal cases and civil
7	cases on the judicial side as a clerk, but on a variety of
8	matters through those through those capacities.
9	So I think that my experience over the course of
10	my career has been broad and would adequately equip me to
11	be ready on day one to be a trial judge.
12	Q. Thank you. The Commission received 120 ballot
13	box surveys regarding you, with 24 additional comments.
14	The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following
15	positive comments:
16	"Steve will be a great judge. He loves the law,
17	is fair, compassionate, displays empathy and exhibits
18	character becoming of a judge."
19	Secondly, "Steve would make an excellent well
20	mannered, highly qualified, and impartial judge. He
21	upholds the highest levels of civility and respect for the
22	
23	practice of law."
	practice of law." Thirdly, "Steven Buckingham is a first-rate
24	
24 25	Thirdly, "Steven Buckingham is a first-rate

1	On the other hand, three of the written comments
2	expressed concerns. First, with respect to your colleagues
3	in the legal profession, one comment asserts that you are
4	unnecessarily argumentative and inflexible with opposing
5	counsel, and that you have a liberal approach to the
6	pleadings. What response would you offer that concern?
7	A. It is difficult to respond to these, because they
8	are anonymous and there's no context with it. I regret
9	that there is someone who believes that I am unnecessarily
10	combative. That is certainly not my style of practice.
11	It does not surprise me that in the nature of
12	what I generally do, which is business litigation, that
13	there can be occasions, particularly in the course of
14	discovery, where I've pushed back on opposing counsel
15	overreaching and trying to make the process of litigation
16	unnecessarily painful.
17	I presume that's what this comment arises in
18	connection with, but I can't say. I do like I said, I
19	regret that someone has that opinion of me. But that is
20	not reflective of me. At least, I don't believe that it
21	is.
22	With regard to the comment about taking a liberal
23	approach to pleadings, I am somewhat perplexed by that
24	comment. Most of us in here are practicing lawyers, or
25	have been, and I think that we've seen all kinds of

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

Page 13

1	pleadings where specious claims and causes of action are
2	included. And that is not my style of practice.
3	When I particularly on the plaintiff's side,
4	or even on the defendant's side, by way of counterclaim or
5	a third party complaint, if I make allegations in a
6	pleading, they are supported by cards that I already have
7	in my deck.
8	So I again, I regret that someone perceives
9	that I take unnecessary liberties with my pleadings. But
10	that is not at all how I practice. I don't take a kitchen
11	sink approach to my pleadings. Ultimately, you have to
12	prove some of that stuff that you allege in the complaint.
13	Q. And as a followup to part of this criticism, do
14	you believe that you would encounter any problems moving
14 15	you believe that you would encounter any problems moving from your role as an advocate to a new role as a judge?
15	from your role as an advocate to a new role as a judge?
15 16	<pre>from your role as an advocate to a new role as a judge? A. I don't perceive so. I'm sure there will be an</pre>
15 16 17	<pre>from your role as an advocate to a new role as a judge? A. I don't perceive so. I'm sure there will be an adjustment period where I've got to realign my mind, but I</pre>
15 16 17 18	<pre>from your role as an advocate to a new role as a judge? A. I don't perceive so. I'm sure there will be an adjustment period where I've got to realign my mind, but I don't perceive that there will be any difficulty with that.</pre>
15 16 17 18 19	<pre>from your role as an advocate to a new role as a judge? A. I don't perceive so. I'm sure there will be an adjustment period where I've got to realign my mind, but I don't perceive that there will be any difficulty with that. In fact, I started off my career as a law clerk,</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>from your role as an advocate to a new role as a judge? A. I don't perceive so. I'm sure there will be an adjustment period where I've got to realign my mind, but I don't perceive that there will be any difficulty with that. In fact, I started off my career as a law clerk, having to be mindful of the fact that the judge that I</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>from your role as an advocate to a new role as a judge? A. I don't perceive so. I'm sure there will be an adjustment period where I've got to realign my mind, but I don't perceive that there will be any difficulty with that. In fact, I started off my career as a law clerk, having to be mindful of the fact that the judge that I served, and by association the way that I conduct myself,</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>from your role as an advocate to a new role as a judge? A. I don't perceive so. I'm sure there will be an adjustment period where I've got to realign my mind, but I don't perceive that there will be any difficulty with that. In fact, I started off my career as a law clerk, having to be mindful of the fact that the judge that I served, and by association the way that I conduct myself, must be impartial.</pre>

Page 14

1	A. Sure. My response would be that even the finest
2	wines get better with more age. And I am certain that with
3	more time, I could hopefully become an even better
4	practitioner. But in terms of where I am today and how I
5	sit here today before you, I do think that I'm prepared.
6	And I'm ready to serve. Sure, I think I will get
7	better over time. And that will be true regardless of
8	whether I'm on the bench or continuing to serve at the Bar.
9	Q. Could you give the steps of how you would prepare
10	yourself to handle cases involving unfamiliar areas of the
11	law?
12	A. Yeah. Of course. So you might have seen from my
13	PDQ, that some of what I do is appellate practice. And in
14	a lot of these circumstances, it's cases that have been
15	tried that are now on appeal, where the lawyers that
16	handled the trial have come to me and said, "Will you do
17	the appeal?"
18	So I'm kind of parachuting into the record that's
19	already been built through trial, the facts and law that
20	maybe I know and maybe I don't. And I'm under the gun to
21	learn that law swiftly, and communicate it effectively and
22	efficiently.
23	The way that I approach those is you know,
24	it's rooted in how I approached law school. If I'm
25	presented with a novel area of law, I go straight to the

Γ

Page 15

1	treatises. And I read as much as I can through treatises
2	and learned commentators about what the law is. And then
3	from there, break it down further into specific cases that
4	have been reported, hopefully in the state, but certainly
5	maybe it's not uncommon to have to stray beyond.
б	So I would I would take that same approach if
7	I'm on the bench.
8	Q. And a final concern is that your perceived
9	political bias makes you unfit to be a judge. What
10	response would you offer to that concern?
11	A. I don't frankly, I don't really care for
12	politics that much. What I care about is the rule of law.
13	What I care about is the proposition that there is equal
14	justice under the law.
15	And as long as as long as everyone is
16	operating within those parameters, I don't really have much
17	of a care about what the ultimate policy decisions are.
18	Republican, Democrat, Independent, I don't care. That has
19	no bearing whatsoever on the integrity of the rules of law.
20	Q. All right. Mr. Buckingham, your SLED reported
21	indicates that in 2012, a lawsuit was filed in the United
22	States District Court, entitled FDIC v. Emig Construction
23	Company. You were listed as the guardian ad litem
24	defendant. But for the record you were actually counsel
25	for an incarcerated defendant in that case, is that

1	correct?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. All right. And is that case still ongoing?
4	A. No, no. That's been resolved I believe that
5	was resolved in 2012.
6	MR. FRANKLIN: I would note that the Upstate
7	Citizens Committee report reported that Steven
8	Buckingham is well qualified in the categories of
9	experience, judicial temperament, ethical fitness,
10	professional and academic ability, character and
11	reputation.
12	The Committee found him to be qualified in
13	the areas of constitutional qualifications, physical health
14	and mental stability.
15	BY MR. FRANKLIN:
16	Q. Now for a few housekeeping issues. Mr.
17	Buckingham, are you aware that as a judicial candidate you
18	are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule
19	501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
20	A. I am.
21	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
22	contacted any members of the Commission about your
23	candidacy?
24	A. No, I've not.
25	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you

Page 17

1 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either 2 prior to this date of pending the outcome of your screening? 3 4 Α. No, I have not. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 5 Q. 6 the limitations on contacting members of the General 7 Assembly regarding your screening? 8 Yes, I am. Α. 9 Have you asked any third parties to contact 0. 10 members of the General Assembly of your behalf, or are you 11 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 12 your behalf? 13 No, I've not requested that. And I'm not aware Α. 14 of anyone attempting to intervene. 15 Have you and do you understand the Commission's Q. 16 quidelines on pledging in S.C. Code Section 2-19-70(E)? 17 Α. T do. 18 MR. FRANKLIN: Mr. Chairman, I would note 19 for the record that any concerns raised during the 20 investigation by staff regarding the candidate were 21 incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. 22 Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you, Roland. 24 Questions by members of the Commission? Senator Talley. 25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. SENATOR TALLEY:

Page 18

1	Good morning, Mr. Buckingham. Nice to see
2	you. We've known each other for several years. I just
3	wanted to offer a comment and then give you a chance to
4	expand a little bit on something that wasn't covered before
5	the commission yet today.
б	One, you have some very strong letters of
7	recommendation from some folks that I would consider
8	pillars of our not only legal, but obviously civil
9	community in the Upstate Citizens Committee as well.
10	So that speaks volumes for you.
11	The other thing and our interaction over
12	the years has been more in your role as a mediator, you've
13	actually mediated some cases for me. And so I just want to
14	give you an opportunity to explain to the Commission your
15	role as a mediator, and some of the cases that you've
16	mediated in your experience that way, and how you feel like
17	that may translate into your service on the bench.
18	MR. BUCKINGHAM: Yeah. Of course. Thank
19	you, Senator. So there is a wide perception that I
20	perceive among litigators, that the ultimate and best use
21	of the judicial system is is for their time that they
22	can try cases.
23	It's not about actually getting to a
24	resolution and getting people to move on with their lives
25	and their businesses in a productive manner, it's just how

1	can I get another bite at the apple in front of the a
2	judge or a jury.
3	I suppose I am somewhat of a strange
4	litigator in the sense that. Certainly, for the majority
5	of my time being a practicing lawyer, I have been most
6	concerned with how do we get to a resolution that makes
7	sense for everyone. Maybe it's not ideal, but maybe it's
8	something that everyone can live with.
9	So as I've gotten older in my practice, and
10	I've had the opportunity to be a mediator, to use my
11	experience and insight to talk to litigants who I don't
12	represent, and who were represented by fine lawyers, but to
13	be able to talk to everyone and say, "Listen, this is what
14	I've seen. This is what the road ahead of you looks like.
15	Let's see if we can't find some common ground to get on
16	with your lives and start doing something that is more
17	important than just fighting with each other, so that you
18	could ultimately get a day in court that may or may not
19	give you what you ultimately want."
20	And so in my experience as a mediator
21	well, first of all, I'd like to say I've got a great track
22	record of getting cases resolved. That's always a great
23	thing for a mediator. But second, it allows me allows
24	me to flex that muscle, that instinct, that desire to get
25	to a resolution and get people back to a position of peace

1	and stability.
2	Frankly, I try to practice like that, and
3	work with opposing counsel to get to a resolution between
4	ourselves. Obviously, you can't always get there. And
5	that's why the role of a mediator is so important.
б	And so it is it has been a privilege of
7	mine knowing that you know, I'm only fifteen years into
8	my career, but that people are calling on me to mediate
9	cases for them. Because I presume they trust my judgment,
10	they know that I'm going to speak the truth to them and
11	their clients, and that I'm going to work hard to get to a
12	resolution that everyone can live with.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Talley, anything
14	further?
15	SENATOR TALLEY: Nothing further.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. All right.
17	Representative Murphy.
18	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Thank you, Mr.
19	Chairman.
20	EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY:
21	Q. And thank you, Mr. Buckingham, for offering to
22	your service in this position. And the fact that you
23	graduated from Furman, I will not hold that against you. I
24	graduated from The Citadel. And back in the day, if the
25	two of us ended up in a room together, only one of us was

1	walking out. And I will not hold that against you.
2	A. Thank you.
3	Q. One of the things that you stated in your opening
4	was that you believe that you would be ready from day one
5	to serve on the bench. And as I look through your PDQ and
6	your CLEs, your experience and everything, one thing that
7	stands out to me, you practice a lot of 50 percent of my
8	practice, if not more, is in the court of General Sessions.
9	And I do not see anywhere, other than the one Appellate
10	Court criminal case that you represented the appellant on,
11	where you have any criminal experience.
12	The only CLE that I noted that you attended, that
13	had any criminal aspect to it was on criminal appeals back
14	in I think that was in 2014.
15	So how would you alleviate any concerns for
16	members of the Bar that practice predominantly in the court
17	of General Sessions, when you state that you can you'd
18	be ready to hit the ground from day one? How would how
19	would you get yourself ready to preside over a General
20	Sessions case?
21	I mean, you may walk into a courtroom in Pickens
22	County, and they have a they have a death penalty case,
23	or it could be an armed robbery. It could be a criminal
24	sexual conduct with a minor. It could be a drug charge.
25	But how would you alleviate the concerns of the of the

1 criminal Bar as far as your ability to preside over that 2 matter? 3 Yeah. Of course. And that's a great question. Α. 4 And thank you for the opportunity to address that. 5 Certainly, since I've been a practitioner, the focus of my 6 practice has been on the civil side. 7 0. And there's nothing wrong with that. 8 Α. Absolutely. With regard to my experience Sure. 9 on the criminal side, it's not that it's lacking at all. 10 As I mentioned previously, being a law clerk to two federal 11 judges, I participated in several criminal trials and 12 hundreds of criminal sentencings. 13 And I've seen from the judicial side what the 14 mechanisms that are that go on with the criminal practice, 15 both during the course of a person's phase as a criminal 16 defendant, and then once they are sentenced on the other 17 side of what it looks like to be convicted, and the post-18 conviction remedies and reliefs that might be available to 19 them. Additionally, I probably have a somewhat unique 20 21 perspective on criminal practice because I actually served 22 on a jury since I've been a lawyer in a case -- it was a 23 criminal sexual conduct with a minor case. Frankly, it was 24 mystifying to me that as a lawyer who knew both of the 25 attorneys, that I was seated on the jury. But I was.

1	And so it is a unique perspective, I think, to
2	have actually been in a jury room, to see how jurors
3	perceive how a case is conducted and how the staging of a
4	trial happens, how the judge operates, how the counsel
5	operates, and how the entire courtroom operates from the
6	perspective of the box.
7	And then, certainly, you brought up the criminal
8	appeal that I've done. That was a particularly complicated
9	criminal appeal. That involved some pretty thorny
10	questions arising under the Fifth and Sixth Amendments,
11	particularly with the right to confront witnesses who had
12	made incriminating statements about you when you were on
13	trial with those very witnesses as co-defendants.
14	So that involved not just the thorny
15	constitutional questions under the Fifth and Sixth
16	Amendments, but also some pretty thorny evidentiary
17	questions under the hearsay rules, which of course can
18	themselves be byzantine.
19	So to get back to your question about how I would
20	make sure that I am reasonably prepared from day one as I
21	possibly can be, it goes back to how I would prepare for
22	learning an area of law that I don't necessarily know:
23	hitting the books, reading all I can about it.
24	But not just that. One of the reasons why I
25	submitted the letters of recommendation that I did was to

Page 24

1	give a broad cross-section of different types of
2	practitioners of different political persuasions, to let
3	you know that, in their estimation, since they're some of
4	the preeminent lawyers in the Upstate, that they think that
5	I've got the mental agility and acuity to undertake this
б	endeavor.
7	One of my letters of recommendation was from
8	Frank Eppes. And I would imagine that one of my first
9	calls, if I am elected to the bench, is to call Frank and
10	say, "Frank, I need you to tell me everything that you know
11	about the criminal practice of law."
12	And I would do that for solicitors also. I need
13	to know from the solicitor's side how this looks. Frankly,
14	I'd like to talk with law enforcement from their
15	perspective.
16	And because of different cases that I've been
17	appointed to, frankly, I can reach out to folks that have
18	been criminal defendants and ask them what their
19	perspective is of going through the system, and how from
20	all those perspectives I can be the most complete judge I
21	can be, and ready to go on day one.
22	Q. So you mentioned the federal criminal experience,
23	or witnessing that. Of course, in the federal system you
24	have sentencing guidelines, you have a judge that is taking
25	a guilty plea has the benefit of a in-depth, pre-sentence

1	report by the federal probation department. You don't have
2	those tools in state court.
3	A person comes up in front of a judge, they take
4	responsibility for their actions. Typically, on a routine
5	case, maybe a 30-minute hearing, maybe an hour, if
6	particular facts are difficult, or depending on the type of
7	crime.
8	So what is your philosophy? And in state court
9	and the judges, if it's if it's not a negotiated
10	sentence where a judge can basically follow the
11	negotiation, or the just refuses to take the guilty plea.
12	If it's a recommendation, that is all that is; it's a
13	recommendation.
14	Ultimately, it's up to the Circuit Court judge to
15	impose a sentence on a case that it's a case of first
16	impression, that the judge just walks in and you know,
17	the docket the solicitor starts calling the cases.
18	So what is your I guess your sentencing
19	philosophy regarding your philosophy regarding
20	sentencing of criminal defendants? What factors would you
21	consider for a particular case?
22	A. Sure. That's a great question. So in general,
23	my philosophy which is guided by my federal experience, is
24	that a sentence should be sufficient but not greater than
25	necessary in order to achieve the goals of punishment,

1	deterrence, and hopefully, to some extent, rehabilitation.
2	When it comes to how to do sentence a particular
3	defendant, you know, I don't think there's a one-size-fits-
4	all way to approach it. I think I mean, certainly, you
5	start with what the crime is or what the totality of the
б	criminal conduct is, and then start to consider from that,
7	further aggravating or mitigating factors.
8	And depending on the circumstances, particularly
9	with whatever recommendation may be offered by the
10	solicitor or the defense attorney, if they can get to a
11	negotiated resolution, then to be guided by the different
12	presentations of those aggravating and mitigating
13	circumstances. And certainly the defendant's acceptance of
14	responsibility, I think that's an important thing to
15	consider.
16	So I would I would love to be able to say that
17	there's a one-size-fits-all analysis that I could provide
18	to you, but I don't I don't know that I can. And I
19	don't know that, that's a that's a fair way to approach
20	any individual in their circumstances of criminal conduct.
21	Q. Well, I just that's a concern. I know it
22	would be a
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q concern of my colleagues in the criminal
25	defense Bar. And I would just encourage you, as you go

Page 27

1	forward to you know, right now a lot of the CLEs, if not
2	all the CLEs are on-line, and to take advantage of the
3	criminal law CLEs that are available. 'Cause they are a
4	great resource.
5	And you mentioned Frank Eppes. He is also a
6	great resource going forward. But I appreciate your
7	willingness to answer the questions, and your offering
8	yourself for service.
9	A. Thank you, sir.
10	Q. Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Senator Sabb.
12	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
13	just have a comment or two.
14	When I first came to the Senate, I received
15	a phone call about Leadership South Carolina, and
16	participating in it. And so I respectfully declined,
17	because I felt that I needed to be working and doing all
18	kinds of other things. And then it was said to me that the
19	reason why I was selected was because it was the place that
20	Senator Clementa Pinckney held.
21	And so, immediately, I had to change my
22	mind. And so I then decided that I would participate in
23	Leadership South Carolina in honor of Senator Pinckney,
24	just as a I don't know, it's just I just absolutely
25	could not say "no" at that point.

Γ

Page 28

1	But, anyway, I'm saying all that to say
2	that's how I met this gentleman that's before us. And I
3	was extremely impressed with him when I met him for the
4	first time. I think we developed a friendship as a result
5	of that encounter. And to his credit, we had some
6	extraordinary people in our class, and we had to choose a
7	leader, we had to choose a president.
8	And so that's why when he walked in the
9	room, I referred to him as "Mr. President." But he is an
10	extraordinary person, a great lawyer in his own right at a
11	young age.
12	When we look at the letters of
13	recommendation that were written on his behalf, I was
14	particularly interested in one of them. I got to see who
15	the author of it was. Thomas Traxler. And, you know, if
16	we paid attention to what he says in the body of the
17	letter, I think it just kind of hits the nail on the head.
18	And I won't I won't read it, but it
19	really hits the nail on the head, both about what we need
20	in a judge, and how the need is filled by Steve Buckingham.
21	And so I just I'm always delighted when I see persons
22	like him offering for a position like this. And so, young
23	man, thank you for offering.
24	MR. BUCKINGHAM: Thank you, sir. It's good
25	to see you.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well.
2	EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
3	Q. We have said it of other candidates, a day in
4	your life this is your life, or some may have described
5	them as "love fests." You have an incredibly impressive
6	resume. And as Senator Sabb said, the comments within the
7	most recent you mentioned Judge Traxler's letter. And
8	then Jill Rothstein wickedly not smart, but wickedly
9	funny.
10	My daughter is a student at Emerson College in
11	Boston, "wicked" is a very local term up there. In
12	addition, though, to being wickedly funny, many of those
13	who speak of you in the anonymous surveys as well, speak to
14	your intellect and your ability to master.
15	As Representative Smith or Murphy was asking
16	about your lacking in criminal practice exposure, I believe
17	you'd be a quick study to get it down.
18	One other comment: Youth. This is the you
19	might call this the judgeship of youth instead of the
20	Fountain of Youth. There have been so many young attorneys
21	who have applied and have chosen this one as the one to go
22	for, like you, for the first time.
23	Your willingness to do this, to effectively put
24	on hold your great earning potential that you have before
25	you as a not yet 40-year-old, right? You're 39?

PUBLIC HEARINGS

1 Α. I'm 39. I'll be 40 in February. 2 So give us a little color there of why you would Q. 3 forsake what, again, would be clearly a upward trajectory 4 of your earning capacity. 5 Α. Sure. And that's really the heart of the issue, 6 right, why am I sitting here today? And, candidly, I feel 7 called to do this. I feel called to the bench. And as I 8 have engaged in a great amount of self-reflection, both 9 before I filed my application and since then, I have taken 10 a critical examination of my life and what's important to 11 me and what I would like to accomplish. 12 And in looking back at the course and trajectory 13 of my life that has brought me to this very moment, I see 14 the same themes over and over again. Whether I was 15 developing them consciously or subconsciously, the idea 16 that the rule of law is critical to the success of our 17 Republic, the idea that there is no greater way to ensure 18 the dignity of the individual than to promote, defend and 19 protect the proposition of equal justice for all 20 individuals under law, and, you know, the idea that -- how 21 much of a blessing it is, that we like in a country where 22 there's an independent judiciary that is looking out for 23 those very things. 24 And so as I move into the phase where I submitted 25 my application and I've continued on this journey, I become

Page 31

1 more firmly convinced than ever that none of this works, 2 none of those things that I care about work unless good men 3 and women are willing to step away from what could be a 4 more lucrative private practices or whatever, and serve the 5 higher interest that we would all like to believe that 6 America represents. 7 And I don't think it's fair for me to look at 8 other folks and say, "Well, I wish so-and-so would run for 9 judge because I'd like to have a good judge on the bench." 10 I perceive that I have the skill set to do this 11 job and to do it well. I've got the passion to do it well. 12 And there is no reason -- as I was reflecting, well, do I 13 run or do I not, there was no reason that I could come up 14 with where I would not toss my hat in the ring, at least to 15 stand or sit before you and say, "Here I am. I'm ready to 16 serve if you'll have me." So that's all I can do. And for all those 17 18 reasons, that's why I felt compelled to apply for this and 19 to run this race. 20 Ever so briefly, touch on your case in 2016 0. 21 against the Greenville County Election Commission in their prohibiting someone from registering to vote. 22 23 Α. Yeah. Absolutely. So there were a couple of 24 Furman students that I knew some -- well, two of them were 25 South Carolina residents; one was not, but had grown up

1	here. And they were trying to register to vote by having
2	their residence be considered their dormitory at Furman,
3	which has been the law of the United States since, I
4	believe, 1973. There was a seminal Supreme Court decision
5	about that very issue.
б	And for whatever reason, the local election
7	commission refused to acknowledge that those individuals
8	were constitutionally residents of where their dormitories
9	were. And so they came to me, and said, "Can you help?"
10	And I could.
11	And so, you know, I may be one of the few lawyers
12	in this state, that has gotten a temporary restraining
13	order against the government on a constitutional case
14	involving voting rights. And the case was over shortly
15	after that, as soon as we got the TRO.
16	And it you know, it wasn't hard. All you had
17	to do was point at the Supreme Court decision and say,
18	"This is the law. Let's follow the law."
19	And Judge Stillwell, who's seat I'm running for,
20	is the one that followed the law. So I was glad to be a
21	conduit for that.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Very good.
23	All right. Unless there are other questions and comments
24	from you, this will close this portion of our screening
25	process. And pursuant to our role here, and our evaluative

Γ

Page 33

1	criteria, in addition to our very strict adherence to both
2	the letter and the spirit of the South Carolina ethics
3	laws, any violation of those laws or the appearance of
4	impropriety would be deemed very serious and deserving of
5	heavy weight in our deliberative process in this screening.
6	You're aware of that. But I just wanted to
7	put that on the record and get your verbal acknowledgment
8	of that.
9	MR. BUCKINGHAM: Yes, sir. I acknowledge
10	that.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And that in the event
12	there were to be any issues there, you understand that you
13	would be called back, because this record does not close
14	until the formal release of the report of qualifications.
15	MR. BUCKINGHAM: Yes, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Mr.
17	Buckingham, thank you so much for your candidacy and your
18	enthusiasm as you have answered and interacted with us
19	today.
20	MR. BUCKINGHAM: Thank you all very much.
21	(Candidate excused.)
22	SENATOR TALLEY: Before we get started, I
23	need to swear you in. So if you will remove your mask.
24	We're going to allow you to do that throughout the duration
25	of your testimony. It helps the court reporter, obviously.

1 MR. CRICK: Yes, sir. 2 SENATOR TALLEY: If you would raise your 3 right hand. 4 WHEREUPON, 5 A. LANCE CRICK, being duly sworn and 6 cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing 7 but the truth. 8 SENATOR TALLEY: All right. Before you sit 9 down, we see you have some quests with you today. So if 10 you want to take a moment and introduce who's with you, 11 feel free to do so. 12 MR. CRICK: Thank you. First of all, my 13 wife Cindy Crick is here, the most amazing person I know 14 and the most talented lawyer I know. 15 MS. CRICK: He's not under oath yet. 16 SENATOR TALLEY: He is under oath. This is 17 in the record. 18 MR. CRICK: And so I'm really happy that 19 she's here today. Jason Peavy is a colleague of mine, we 20 worked together in the U.S. Attorney's Office, he's here 21 with me as well. And then my friend from Wofford, and my 22 law school roommate, Richard Lackey, who's from Orangeburg, 23 is also here. 24 SENATOR TALLEY: Very good. Welcome, 25 everyone. Feel free to sit down, Mr. Crick.

Γ

Page 35

1	MR. CRICK: Yes, sir.
2	SENATOR TALLEY: You will see before you,
3	your personal data questionnaire as well as a sworn
4	statement that you submitted to the Commission. Are both
5	of those correct?
6	MR. CRICK: I would like to make one
7	correction, if I could.
8	SENATOR TALLEY: Yes, sir.
9	MR. CRICK: And it is in Question 57,
10	Subsection F. It was a typo on my part. It references my
11	service with the South Carolina YMCA Youth and Government
12	Team Serves Board of Directors, it currently shows 2014 to
13	present. I believe that my span on that board was not past
14	2016.
15	SENATOR TALLEY: Okay. All right.
16	MR. CRICK: It's the only thing I noticed.
17	SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you for that. We'll
18	accept that on the record. Other than that minor
19	amendment, everything else correct?
20	MR. CRICK: Yes, sir.
21	SENATOR TALLEY: Okay. Do you have any
22	objection to us making those documents part of the record
23	of this hearing?
24	MR. CRICK: None whatsoever.
25	SENATOR TALLEY: All right. We'll do that.

Page 36

1	(EXHIBIT NO. 3 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
2	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF A.
3	LANCE CRICK)
4	(EXHIBIT NO. 4 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
5	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF A. LANCE CRICK)
6	SENATOR TALLEY: Now, Mr. Chairman, as you
7	probably know, the Judicial Merit Selection Commission has
8	thoroughly investigated your qualifications for the bench.
9	Our inquiry focuses on nine evaluative criteria, and
10	includes a ballot box survey, a thorough study of your
11	application materials, verification of your compliance with
12	state ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in which
13	your name appears, a study of previous screenings, if
14	applicable, and then a check for economic conflicts of
15	interest.
16	We have received no affidavits filed in
17	opposition to your election to this position. No witnesses
18	are present to testify for or against you. At this point
19	you are welcome to make a brief opening statement, if you
20	wish.
21	MR. CRICK: Thank you, Senator. I just want
22	to thank this group for the opportunity to be here today.
23	And I look forward to answering any questions you may have.
24	SENATOR TALLEY: All right. Very good.
25	With that, I'll turn it over to Sharon Wilkinson, who does

1	have some questions for you at this time.
2	MS. WILKINSON: Good morning. Mr. Chairman,
3	I note for the record that based on the testimony contained
4	in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the
5	record with the candidate's consent, Mr. Crick meets the
6	constitutional and statutory requirements for the position
7	regarding age, residence, and years of practice.
8	EXAMINATION BY MS. WILKINSON:
9	Q. Mr. Crick, how do you feel your legal and
10	professional experience thus far renders you qualified and
11	will assist you to be an effective Circuit Court judge?
12	A. So I've been practicing law for approximately 20
13	years, and started in the Pickens County solicitor's office
14	in the 13th Circuit. I'm from Mauldin, South Carolina, and
15	I've remained in the Upstate. I currently live in
16	Greenville.
17	I joined the U.S. Attorney's Office,
18	approximately three weeks before 911 in 2001, and have held
19	a number of different roles in that office and remain a
20	member of that office to-date. And so that's a brief
21	chrono of my legal history.
22	During my time in the solicitor's office, it was
23	a small office, four lawyers at the time, and I think I
24	second chaired a murder before I tried a DUS. And so we
25	had a unique opportunity to be in a smaller space, and also

1	an opportunity to sign up for some cases, quickly, and get
2	in the courtroom.
3	And so I enjoyed those three years there, and
4	tried a number of, you know, criminal cases, obviously, as
5	an assistant solicitor.
6	And I joined the U.S. Attorney's Office and was
7	hired under a Project Safe Neighborhoods grant. That
8	quickly shifted to a focus on terrorism after 2001. But
9	the program remained intact, and, in fact, remains intact
10	to present.
11	Part of my responsibilities there, we were
12	working very closely with our state and local partners, as
13	well as members of the community, in an effort to identify
14	cases, not only for enforcement but also cases that we
15	could look at in a deterrent factor, if you will, on the
16	front end of the spectrum to try to keep folks from
17	committing crimes; working with communities, working with
18	law enforcement; and also on the back end of the spectrum
19	with reentry and programs like Focus Deterrence.
20	And so that's something that I did for I still
21	do it today, to be honest with you. In 2015, I became the
22	Greenville U.S. Attorney's Office office manager, if you
23	will. So that gave me some exposure to administrative
24	duties and supervision responsibilities with our assistant
25	U.S. attorneys and support staff in the office.

1	In July of 2000 excuse me in January of
2	2017, I was asked by then interim U.S. Attorney Beth Drake
3	to be the First Assistant United States Attorney. And then
4	when now U.S. District Court Judge Lydon, when she was
5	appointed U.S. Attorney in May of 2018, she asked me to
6	remain in that capacity as First Assistant.
7	As First Assistant, I basically was the counselor
8	to the U.S. Attorney, and had purview and supervision over
9	our three divisions, which are the civil division, our
10	administrative division, as well as our criminal division.
11	We have approximately 150 employees, a \$12
12	million budget. And while the majority of our AUSAs are in
13	the criminal division, we also have some 16 AUSAs in the
14	civil division.
15	And that division is broken into two groups, both
16	the affirmative side as well as the defense of handling a
17	number of matters from qui tams to auto torts to med-mal to
18	healthcare fraud.
19	And so I had the fortunate pleasure to work very
20	closely with members of our civil division, and participate
21	in mediations. And I had settlement authority as First
22	Assistant, and I reviewed settlement memos, participated in
23	negotiations that they held between the parties on
24	significant, complex civil matters, and just really enjoyed
25	that facet of my responsibilities as First Assistant.

Page 40

1	In December of 2019, when Judge Lydon ascended
2	excuse me in '20 '19. Sorry. I became the acting
3	United States Attorney, courtesy of the vacants Vacancy
4	Reform Act, and remained as such until a former colleague
5	of this body, Mr. Pete McCoy, became our U.S. Attorney.
6	And he is doing a fine job.
7	During those four months as acting U.S. Attorney,
8	we had some challenges like the rest of the world did. We
9	experienced Covid. There really was no blueprint for that.
10	I don't think one exists yet.
11	So I mention all of that because I feel like the
12	number of the different responsibilities and
13	opportunities I've had in my state time, as well as my
14	federal times, having exposed me to all facets of the
15	practice of law.
16	And just very briefly, I'm the president elect of
17	the Greenville County Bar. And for several years prior to
18	that, I was our CLE coordinator. So I think of course,
19	everything is now virtual because of Covid, but prior to
20	our Covid scare excuse me scare and pandemic, we
21	Greenville hosted the largest outside of the South
22	Carolina Bar Convention, the largest on-campus CLE of some
23	600 lawyers.
24	And so my responsibilities included planning
25	that, our plenary session for all of our lawyers, which

1	routinely included our Substance Abuse training and our
2	Mental Health training, as well as panels of various judges
3	from the state and federal level. And then in the breakout
4	sessions in the afternoon with civil litigation, corporate,
5	family law. We even added a probate leg as well as
6	criminal.
7	So in whole or in sum, I feel I've had a unique
8	opportunity, based on different roles I've been able
9	I've had the fortunate opportunity to take in my current
10	capacity.
11	And just to finish, I'm currently the Executive
12	Assistant United States Attorney. So counselor to U.S.
13	Attorney McCoy. I'm also our Crime Deputy Chief over
14	crimes, as well as I remain our Greenville office manager.
15	Q. Thank you. Mr. Crick, the Commission received
16	292 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 49 additional
17	comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained
18	the positive comments:
19	"Lance is a tremendous lawyer, a tremendous
20	leader, and tremendous community member. He's actively
21	involved in the Greenville County Bar and has been a leader
22	across the state for several years. His temperament is
23	well suited for the position and his ethics are beyond
24	reproach."
25	Another positive comment stated, "Lance has been

1	active in the Bar for years, which shows a commitment to
2	our legal community. He brings leadership experience and
3	civil and criminal experience to this position. His
4	skillset and experience in the federal law would translate
5	well. He has an excellent temperament and would be an
6	excellent judge."
7	Another comment stated, "Lance would bring a
8	wealth of uncommon experience and leadership to the bench.
9	The quantity and quality of civil and criminal he's both
10	participated in and supervised would be better equipped to
11	serve the court to handle the complex matters that continue
12	to come before it. His reputation is strong and he has an
13	ability to solicit and receive input in contested in close
14	calls before making a decision and executing on the same.
15	He would make the Circuit Court bench better, and I hope to
16	see him elevated to the same."
17	Ten of the written comments did express concerns.
18	There were two comments which voiced concerns regarding
19	your temperament. One comment stated a concern about being
20	vulnerable to political influence, and another comment
21	voiced concerned about your ethical standards in general.
22	How would you respond to the concerns about
23	temperament, and how would you respond to the concerns
24	about ethics?
25	A. Sure. I've always tried to be a collaborator and

Page 43

1	work with everybody, regardless of political affiliation.
2	Part of my current capacity for the last 19-plus years is
3	to be a apolitical member of the Department of Justice.
4	We've had several administration changes while I've been
5	active in this office, and our job is to remain apolitical.
6	But furthermore, on a personal level, I enjoy
7	working with both sides of the aisle, if you will. I'm
8	close personal friends with our public defenders, with all
9	members of what I call our courtroom family, which is
10	certainly our judiciary but our clerk of court's office,
11	our court security, our members of probation.
12	I've always, I think, been very nice. I try to
13	add a little bit of humor every now and then. So I'm I
14	don't see temperament as being that's the last thing I
15	would think anyone would say about me as having
16	questionable temperament.
17	I try to resolve things and I try to get it
18	right. I try to make sure we pursue justice, but also that
19	we work together to get to a resolution as opposed to, you
20	know, going further in any sort of unnecessary adversarial
21	way, in my opinion.
22	So I'm surprised to hear those comments regarding
23	temperament. I'm the middle child in my family. I'd
24	really like everybody to get along. And I work I've
25	spent a lot of time with a lot of folks from various walks

1	of the practice, and I just I'm always open to a call or
2	a suggestion. I love to resolve things. I think that's
3	what I enjoy doing.
4	And I think I've touched on it, but the political
5	I think you said possibly susceptible to political
6	influence. Again, I just go back to what I've previously
7	stated that I don't have any issues there.
8	I have friends across the political spectrum. I
9	enjoy opposing viewpoints and dialogue and conversations
10	about various views and positions.
11	But in my capacity, and certainly if elevated to
12	be a Circuit Court judge, politics would never, you know,
13	color the lense or the optics of what I would be doing
14	every day.
15	Q. Thank you. Mr. Crick, most of the concerns in
16	the ballot box regarded to the lack of civil experience and
17	a lack of state court experience. Of course these were
18	based on your long tenure with the United States Attorney's
19	Office. I know you've already talked about your work
20	experience, but would you like to elaborate?
21	A. Sure. So I was an assistant solicitor right out
22	of law school, for three years in Pickens County, in the
23	13th Circuit. So I did get a great experience there in a
24	small office as opposed to being in a bigger office, where
25	you're regimented or segmented into certain types of

1	whether it's white collar or financial crimes or violent
2	crime or sex offenses.
3	I feel like I've got a well rounded experience
4	there. Now, that was 17 years ago. So I recognize that,
5	certainly. But, however, when I was hired as an AUSA, I
6	basically was hired to work with state and local law
7	enforcement, and essentially adopt cases that were made on
8	the streets of Columbia or Greenville or Florence, or
9	elsewhere, for federal prosecution.
10	And so while I was not prosecuting those cases in
11	state court, in order to put those cases together for
12	federal prosecution, that would be mean going to the local
13	PD or the sheriff's office, meeting with victims, talking
14	with the agents assigned to those cases.
15	So, essentially, we were bringing cases. And we
16	do that to this day, through federal adoption of state
17	cases. So, yes, those were tried in federal court. Those
18	were plead in federal court. But those were cases that had
19	their origins from the streets and local communities.
20	MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I would note
21	that the Upstate Citizens Committee found Mr. Crick
22	qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional
23	qualifications, physical health and mental stability.
24	He was found well qualified in the
25	evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and

Γ

1	academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and
2	judicial temperament.
3	BY MS. WILKINSON:
4	Q. Mr. Crick, we have a few housekeeping issues to
5	go over, just for the record. Are you aware of the
6	judicial are you aware as a judicial candidate you are
7	bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501
8	of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
9	A. Yes, ma'am.
10	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
11	contacted any members of the Commission about your
12	candidacy?
13	A. No, ma'am.
14	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
15	sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either
16	prior to this date or pending the outcome of your
17	screening?
18	A. No, ma'am.
19	Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
20	the limitations on contacting members of the General
21	Assembly regarding your screening?
22	A. Yes, ma'am.
23	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
24	members of the General Assembly of your behalf, or are you
25	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on

Page 47

1 your behalf? 2 Α. No, ma'am. 3 Have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 4 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina Code 5 Section 2-19-70, Subsection E? 6 Α. Yes, ma'am. 7 MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I would note 8 for the record that any concerns raised during the 9 investigation by staff regarding Mr. Crick were 10 incorporated into the questioning of him today. 11 I have no further questions. 12 SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, Ms. Wilkinson. 13 Mr. Crick, at this point we open it up for members of the 14 Commission that may have comments or questions. 15 MR. CRICK: Yes, sir. 16 SENATOR TALLEY: Mr. Strom. 17 MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 First, Mr. Crick, I have to tell you I 19 really -- I really questioned your judgment by bringing 20 Jason Peavy with you as a character witness. Strike that, 21 please. 22 Lance, I've obviously followed your career. 23 I believe Strom Thurmond hired you into the office. 24 Yes, sir. MR. CRICK: 25 And as you've gone through your MR. STROM:

1	resume and these earlier questions, and I won't spend a lot
2	of time going through that again, but it's obvious to me
3	that you've worked for Democrats and you've worked for
4	Republicans, you've stayed in leadership on both sides.
5	And that shows an awful lot about you.
6	I mean, sometimes people kind of get a
7	political appointment, but when it changes they get
8	banished to Florence or somewhere. But that's not
9	obviously been the case with you. You've stayed in
10	MR. CRICK: Yes, sir.
11	MR. STROM: the leadership through
12	Democrats and Republicans. And, obviously, the Department
13	of Justice thought enough of you to appointment you as the
14	interim U.S. Attorney for a period.
15	And, you know, they obviously could pick
16	anybody in the office. And that's you know, that's a
17	high honor to have that job. And I think that says an
18	awful lot about your judgment, you know.
19	And I'm looking over your letters. You know,
20	Trey Gowdy, you know, who I take credit for hiring into the
21	U.S. Attorney's Office, is a you know, and then having a
22	reference from him. And Ben Stepp, the public defender up
23	there, to have somebody on the other side speak highly of
24	you is important.
25	You know, our federal Bar is small. I've

Γ

Page 49

1	never heard of any temperament issue with you with anybody,
2	so I completely discount that. Any question about your
3	ethics, that's never been raised by anybody that I know.
4	So I you know, I completely discount that.
5	Your federal versus state experience, if it
6	were the other way around, I if you'd only practiced in
7	the solicitor's office, and you're trying to be a federal
8	judge, I'd have some concern. But, you know, obviously, I
9	believe that if you've worked in the federal system, you
10	can adapt to the state system.
11	And you're used to rules. The civil
12	experience is something that comes up all the time, or it's
13	the criminal experience with just about everybody we have
14	come in here, because most of us are in one track or the
15	other. There are few people that are such generalists that
16	they do both anymore. And, you know, so that's just you
17	know, that is what it is.
18	I will say and I know that as the First
19	Assistant and as the acting U.S. Attorney, you are involved
20	in serious civil litigation and you know, if you've
21	practiced in federal courts, it's rule-driven much more
22	than state court, much like civil procedure.
23	So I'm not concerned about those you not
24	you having those skills. And you're certainly smart
25	enough to pick up the rest of them. So I thank you for

Γ

Page 50

1	offering. I think you'd make an excellent judge.
2	MR. CRICK: Thank you, sir.
3	SENATOR TALLEY: Representative Rutherford.
4	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Yeah. Mr.
5	Crick, I heard the comment about your temperament, and I
6	almost started to yell, "You lie." But I restrained
7	myself. I have known you since you were in law school.
8	MR. CRICK: Yes, sir.
9	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I've never heard
10	you raise your voice. I mean, you talk in the same tone
11	all day, every day that I've ever heard you speak. I don't
12	even know that you can actually run. You probably can run
13	really fast, I've just never seen you move. You move at
14	the same speed, the same clip, usually with a cup in your
15	hand, and you talk to people the same way all day.
16	So to hear somebody say that, they must have
17	had the wrong Lance Crick, or they just caught you on an
18	abnormally bad day. But I've seen you have bad days, too,
19	and I don't know what they could be talking about.
20	I got a text, because I understood that
21	Murrell nor I were here, and Murrell gave me a list of
22	things going back to your college days that he wanted me to
23	ask you about. So if we could start on number one. No,
24	I'm just kidding. Well, you brought Jason Peavy. And that
25	like Pete, I'm like, "You did what?" I'm like, "Is that

Page 51

1 Jason?" 2 But, you know, you have been a credit to the 3 You certainly are a credit to the way that things Bar. 4 operate in Greenville; you do a great job up there. And I 5 think as well you'd make a great judge. So thank you for 6 offering to do this. 7 MR. CRICK: Thank you, sir. 8 SENATOR TALLEY: Any other member of the 9 Commission have any questions or comments? 10 (Hearing none.) 11 SENATOR TALLEY: If not, Mr. Crick, I just 12 have one question. And that is: Did you know that your 13 wife is one of the reasons I didn't finish first in our law 14 school class? 15 MR. CRICK: She did remind me of that on the 16 way down. 17 SENATOR TALLEY: She just won, right? 18 MR. CRICK: Yeah. 19 SENATOR TALLEY: But, no, I would just echo 20 what Mr. Strom and Representative Rutherford have said. 21 You know, we get a lot of comments in this ballot box, we 22 get a lot of information about candidates. We do read it. 23 And I appreciate you being here today. 24 Mr. Peavy, good to see you as well. I won't 25 tell some of our stories growing up to these folks that

Page 52

1	know you in the room. Other than we were born on the exact
2	same day, which will never change, correct? Unlike some
3	things up in this place.
4	But, anyway, anybody else have anything else
5	for Mr. Crick?
6	(Hearing none.)
7	SENATOR TALLEY: Mr. Crick, do you have
8	anything else you want to say?
9	MR. CRICK: No, I just appreciate the time
10	and really appreciate all the questions and the comments.
11	I just thank you all for what you do.
12	SENATOR TALLEY: Very good. I do need to
13	take the opportunity to remind you that pursuant to our
14	criteria, we expect candidates to follow both the spirit as
15	well as the letter of the ethics laws, and we will view any
16	violations or the appearance of impropriety as serious and
17	potentially deserving of heavy weight in screening
18	deliberations.
19	On that note, and as you know, the record of
20	your candidacy will remain open until the formal release of
21	the report of qualifications. And you may be called back
22	at such time, if a need arises. I don't expect that to
23	happen, but we do note that. And can you acknowledge that
24	on the record?
25	MR. CRICK: Absolutely.

Γ

Page 53

1	SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, sir. With that,
2	we thank you for offering. We thank you for your service
3	to the State of South Carolina. And that will close this
4	portion of your screening for now.
5	MR. CRICK: Thank you all very much.
6	(Candidate excused.)
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Stand, if you will. And
8	take your mask down for the court reporter's hearing
9	purposes.
10	WHEREUPON,
11	PATRICK C. FANT III, being duly sworn and
12	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
13	but the truth.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Have a seat.
15	MR. FANT: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And make sure that mic is
17	working. Very good. Before you, Mr. Fant, is your the
18	two forms you filled out for us, the PDQ and the sworn
19	statement. Do you need to make any changes to those before
20	we put them in the record?
21	MR. FANT: No, sir. Other than I mean, I
22	have amended and I've sent that in.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. You don't
24	object to them being made a part of the record.
25	MR. FANT: No, sir.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Page 54

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well.
2	(EXHIBIT NO. 5 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
3	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF PATRICK
4	C. FANT III)
5	(EXHIBIT NO. 6 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
б	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF PATRICK C. FANT
7	III)
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Are you aware of our
9	investigation in all things that we have asked and have
10	looked at? And the questions and the interaction you've
11	had with your screening attorney has is Bob Maldonado,
12	right?
13	MR. FANT: Yes, sir.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So there are nine
15	evaluative criteria that we look at in addition to your
16	ballot box survey, a thorough study of your application
17	materials, a verification of your compliance with the state
18	ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in which your
19	name appears, a study of previous screenings, and a check
20	for economic conflicts of interest.
21	No affidavits have been filed in opposition
22	to your campaign, and no witnesses are present to testify.
23	Unless you would have your wife say things about you under
24	oath. She is with you, though, correct?
25	MR. FANT: Yes, sir. She sure is.

Page 55

1 2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And stand up, ma'am, and -
	-
3	MR. FANT: My much better half, Jennifer.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Take your mask down so we
5	can see your bright smile. So we will
6	MS. FANT: Here I am.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Thank you for
8	being with us. I saw you all out in front of the elevator
9	just a while ago. So thank you.
10	And you, Mr. Fant, have the opportunity to
11	make a brief opening statement, if you like.
12	MR. FANT: Thank you. I understand that
13	I want to be respectful of your time, and so brief, but I
14	want to thank each of you all for your service. It's an
15	honor to be able to be here. I know that this is very time
16	consuming for you all, and I do respect your time.
17	I also just want to thank Ms. Crawford and
18	Mr. Maldonado, their staff, and particularly Lindi who kind
19	of, for all accounts, I think, keeps the trains running.
20	Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You're exactly right.
22	Otherwise, I cut you off.
23	MR. FANT: No, I'm finished.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Mr. Maldonado.
25	MR. MALDONADO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I

1	would note for the record that based on the testimony
2	contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included
3	in the record with the candidate's consent, Patrick
4	Cleburne Fant III meets the constitutional the
5	constitutional and statutory requirements for this position
б	regarding age, residence, and years of practice.
7	EXAMINATION BY MR. MALDONADO:
8	Q. Mr. Fant, can you tell the Commission why it is
9	that you want to be a Circuit Court judge?
10	A. Thank you. It's been laid on my heart to be I
11	guess a calling, and answer that calling to public service.
12	I would love to be a public servant and serve as a judge
13	for this great state. And I feel like I've got to judicial
14	temperament, I feel like I have the respect of my peers,
15	and I really would like to give back to the state.
16	I have and then you asked as far as
17	qualifications?
18	Q. Yes, that's coming up.
19	A. Oh, okay. But I really would like to give back.
20	And I feel a call for public service.
21	Q. Thank you. Mr. Fant, how do you feel your legal
22	and professional experience thus far renders you qualified
23	and will assist you be an effective Circuit Court judge?
24	A. Thank you. I was very fortunate to clerk for
25	Judge Pyle when I began my career, and I learned so much

Page 57

1	from him. He was an incredible trial judge.
2	After that, I was fortunate to come to this
3	wonderful city and be with Ellis, Lawhorne & Sims. When I
4	was with Ellis, Lawhorne & Sims earlier in my career, I had
5	the opportunity to try three civil trials with David
6	Morrison, one of the senior partners in my firm.
7	After that, I primarily have practiced workers'
8	compensation defense. More recently, probably in the last
9	five to seven years, I've served as certified mediator.
10	And that has been incredible as far as just just looking
11	at things objective, being fair and neutral.
12	But I do feel like the experience that I've had,
13	particularly sitting the Judge Pyle, and just my
14	interaction throughout my career, dealing with attorneys
15	across the table from me, just the fact that I've litigated
16	numerous workers' compensation cases over my career, I feel
17	like it has prepared me.
18	Just workers' comp involves a lot of discovery,
19	sometimes more complex than others. It also, like I said,
20	involves interaction with other attorneys. We have a lot
21	of cases. At least in the past, we have.
22	And just the workers' comp context itself is
23	hearings, it's like mini-trials. And I do feel I that has
24	prepared me in just ways to be an appropriate trial judge.
25	Q. Thank you. Mr. Fant, the Commission received 211

Γ

1	ballot box surveys regarding you, with 23 additional
2	comments. The ballot box, for example, contained the
3	following positive comments:
4	"Mr. Fant has a wealth of applicable experience,
5	exceptionally keen intellect, and the personal traits to be
6	one of our finest judges."
7	Also, another statement mentioned, "I have known
8	this candidate for 30 years. He enjoys an outstanding
9	reputation among people who have known him for decades. He
10	would be outstanding judge."
11	Four of the written comments expressed concerns.
12	Two of the comments mentioned your lack of civil or
13	criminal experience. How would you respond to those
14	concerns?
15	A. Well, I would go back and say that I was very
16	fortunate to clerk for Judge Pyle. And while sitting with
17	Judge Pyle, I was able to observe a death penalty case from
18	beginning to end. I was able to observe several other
19	trials that were complex medical malpractice case, a
20	nursing home negligence case, a criminal sexual conduct
21	case that was up in up in Walhalla. And then, of
22	
	course, just observe Judge Pyle as he dealt with the Bar
23	course, just observe Judge Pyle as he dealt with the Bar and took pleas.
23 24	
	and took pleas.

1	fortunate to, early in my career, have three civil jury
2	trials.
3	I would also say that, you know, my career has
4	been involving litigation in the workers' comp context,
5	which while it's not a jury trial, it certainly does
6	involve, like I've already alluded to, litigation. You
7	have examination. You have evidentiary stuff. You have
8	medical causation issues. So I feel like even though
9	that's administrative law, that in ways that's prepared me
10	from a civil standpoint.
11	I would also say that I've had to opportunity to
12	clerk or I'm sorry to be a mediator. And I've done
13	primarily workers' comp, but I've also been able to do
14	civil mediations.
15	I served on the board for the Upstate Mediation
16	Center, which is a mediation program up in Greenville,
17	South Carolina. And they also are they work with the
18	Greenville County Magistrate Mediation program, and so I've
19	been able to serve as a mediator in that program.
20	Q. Thank you. Mr. Fant, two of the comments
21	expressed concerns about your temper and professionalism.
22	How would you answer these concerns?
23	A. I hope that my peers would say that, that's not
24	an accurate reflection of who I am. I hope that I am known
25	as being caring, compassionate, worthy adversary. When you

1	shared those with me, I was a little taken back. But at
2	the same time, I never want to take a comment such as that
3	lightly.
4	And I just I don't think that that, that
5	reflects me. And I'm a I really was trying to reflect
6	back on who may have said that, just so if there's some
7	issue that I need to resolve with them, that I could you
8	know, could resolve. But I do not think that is
9	characteristic of who I am. So thank you.
10	MR. MALDONADO: I would note the that
11	Upstate Citizens Committee reported Mr. Fant is well
12	qualified as the ethical fitness, professional and academic
13	ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial
14	temperament.
15	The Committee reported Mr. Fant is qualified
16	as to the constitutional qualifications, physical health
17	and mental stability.
18	BY MR. MALDONADO:
19	Q. I'll finish up with some housekeeping issues.
20	Mr. Fant, are you aware that as a judicial candidate you
21	are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule
22	501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
25	contacted any members of the Commission about your

1	candidacy?
2	A. No, sir. For the record, I would say that prior
3	to Senator Talley being appointed, I did send an
4	introductory letter. And then I made an introduction at
5	his office.
6	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
7	sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either
8	prior to this date or pending the outcome of your
9	screening?
10	A. No, sir.
11	Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
12	the limitations on contacting members of the General
13	Assembly regarding your screening?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
16	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
17	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
18	your behalf?
19	A. No, sir.
20	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
21	Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina Code
22	Section 2-19-70(E)?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	MR. MALDONADO: Mr. Chairman, I would note
25	for the record that any concerns raised during the

Page 62

1	investigation by staff regarding the candidate were
2	incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today.
3	Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Maldonado.
5	All right. Questions of the Commission members? Senator
б	Talley.
7	SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you Mr. Chairman.
8	Good still morning, Mr. Fant. Nice to see you. You and
9	I have known each other for several years. And I just
10	wanted to make a comment. You know, we get these ballot
11	box surveys, and a lot of other information, as we vet
12	candidates for these positions. And I was surprised, too,
13	when someone referred to you as unprofessional or
14	temperamental.
15	Our dealings, even of late in certain
16	matters, have always been professional. Way above board.
17	Other than scheduling a doctor's deposition way too early
18	in the morning one time, I've always enjoyed working with
19	you.
20	And I would just say, too, for the record,
21	while your experience of late has been thoroughly in
22	workers' compensation, I know your intellect, I know your
23	acumen. I know that you would be able to adapt at handling
24	the role of a Circuit Court judge well. So I appreciate
25	you offering.

1	MR. FANT: Thank you.
2	SENATOR TALLEY: And I wish you the best as
3	this process continues.
4	MR. FANT: Thank you, Senator.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Murphy.
6	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Thank you, Mr.
7	Chairman.
8	EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY:
9	Q. Thank you, Mr. Fant, for your offering to serve.
10	And looking through your resume, and it's been and
11	you've discussed as predominantly, if not your entire
12	practice, has revolved around workers' compensation?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. And I know that one of the comments that or
15	two of the comments were that you have little to no
16	experience in criminal or civil law, and you responded that
17	workers' compensation is essentially civil litigation. So
18	I would say 50 to 60 percent of my practice as a solo
19	practitioner is in the court of General Sessions.
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. So how would you alleviate the concerns of my
22	colleagues in the criminal defense Bar, that you are
23	unprepared from day one to be able to preside over a
24	General Sessions trial
25	A. Yes, sir.

Page 64

1	Q and the complexity that goes with that? How
2	would you alleviate those concerns?
3	A. That's a very fair question. And thank you.
4	Q. Yes, sir.
5	A. I would just tell you I would go back to the
б	incredible experience that I had with Judge Pyle and the
7	opportunity to observe there. I would also let you know
8	that since I have announced for my intention for this
9	candidacy, over the last couple of months I have had I
10	think four times I have gone and observed court with Judge
11	Hayes, I've observed his pleas.
12	I have also observed HIP revocations with Judge
13	Miller. I have observed Judge Verdin take pleas. I also
14	observed a three-quarter day criminal trial with Judge
15	Verdin up in Oconee County. I also have gone in front of
16	Judge Gravely, and watched him do pleas.
17	Q. Wow, that might be an issue. That might be an
18	issue.
19	A. Am I allowed am I allowed to laugh? But I
20	would just say that everybody else's going to have a
21	learning curve. Part of this is always continuing to
22	learn.
23	I would just submit to you that I desire to or
24	one of the things that excites me about the potential for
25	this is the intellectual stimulation and that you do have

Page 65

1	to learn. And I and I realize that I am going to have
2	to study hard.
3	But I do feel like I can be fair, that I can be
4	impartial. I do feel I try to read the advance sheets.
5	I know this may come across crazy, but even before I was
6	judicial candidate, I would not read the Family Court cases
7	or the Master in Equity cases, but of course I immediately
8	look for any workers' comp cases and then I would look at
9	the criminal and the civil.
10	I do know, just in preparing for when we had the
11	questions for the South Carolina Bar, I did spend time
12	looking at just criminal cases over the last year.
13	One thing that Judge Pyle taught me and instilled
14	in me was just he always read the advance sheets, and he
15	would always take excerpts out of those cases, which he
16	would utilize for his charges.
17	So I just I would promise to you, I would
18	study hard and do everything I can to prepare.
19	Q. Well, and I would just and I commend you on
20	those steps that you've taken. And I think I noted in your
21	PDQ, your son is a law clerk for Judge Verdin?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. And then your two other children are currently in
24	law school. One at Georgia and one at Carolina?
25	A. That's correct. My daughter's going to be coming

Γ

1	back to Greenville, to work for Dority & Manning. I call
2	that not really practicing law, doing that intellectual
3	property and patent stuff. And then my son's here at USC
4	in his first year.
	-
5	Q. Well, good for you. And then I would just, you
6	know, also tell just, you know, avail yourself of the
7	on-line CLEs that currently everything is on-line.
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. And video. Particularly the criminal law CLEs
10	and everything. But I want to and I was just informed
11	that your son also clerked for judiciary
12	A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. And I also would add
13	just when you had commented on CLEs, I have from time to
14	time in the past even done criminal CLEs. And this year at
15	the Greenville CLE, I did I did the breakout session
16	that did pertain to some discovery of victims and the
17	defendants in just criminal cases.
18	And Judge Verdin also spoke, I think, it was like
19	but it's not like every CLE. Obviously, I've been
20	practicing workers' comp, so I go to workers' comp CLEs.
21	But I have when there's been like a multiple offering,
22	tried to avail myself of CLEs. And I certainly will do,
23	you know, what you
24	Q. Thank you. And I appreciate and, again, thank
25	
43	you for your willingness to serve.

Page 67

1 Α. Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any other questions of 3 Thank you. Mr. Safran. anybody? 4 MR. SAFRAN: Mr. Fant, happy to see you 5 today. б MR. FANT: Thank you. 7 MR. SAFRAN: And, basically, I think you've 8 heard what is pretty standard from this group, at least in 9 the last several years, in that when you look at a Circuit 10 Court job, there are issues that come up in terms of what 11 your focus has been up to, to that point. 12 And, certainly, I'm very, very aware of that 13 fact that when you get into a certain area of practice, and 14 you get proficient in it, you tend to kind of stay there. 15 I think there are ways to remedy it. And you've certainly 16 tried to do that. You've gone and done these observations of 17 18 trials. And that's something that's important to us. 19 Because I think, repeatedly, we find people haven't even 20 made the effort. And certainly, you have. 21 We see often, you know, folks kind of coming 22 in and saying, you know, "I really want to do it," but they 23 don't necessarily always take the steps to really try to 24 prove it. And I think you have. 25 I agree with you, having done a lot of

Page 68

1	workers' comp myself, that in a lot of ways there's very
2	little difference in terms of how those cases may progress
3	as compared to other civil cases. So I really didn't think
4	you're at any major disadvantage in the respect.
5	And, again, you know, the fact that you've
6	stuck with this stuff, you've done well, you've established
7	a good reputation over the course of a lot of years speak
8	very highly.
9	And I will agree with Senator Talley. I've
10	dealt with you, periodically, over a number of years. I've
11	never found you to be anything other than cordial,
12	respectful, and very up front. And somebody that I can
13	
	trust. And that's really what you look for in these kind
14	of situations.
15	So I think you've got a lot of assets that
16	you can basically point to in terms of your candidacy, and
17	we hope that you continue on and see where we go with it.
18	MR. FANT: Thank you. That means a lot
19	coming from you, Mr. Safran.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Other questions?
21	Comments?
22	(Hearing none.)
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Fant, I am impressed
24	with you. I don't know you that well, but I know you. And
25	I don't know why I know you, other than perhaps by

Γ

1	reputation. But I'm struck by a couple of things. And one
2	of which includes the legacy of lawyers that you and your
3	wife have created; one a clerk, and two active law
4	students.
5	The tuition at Georgia versus the tuition at
6	South Carolina dramatically different? Your wife has
7	nodded already, so you don't need to say a word. You don't
8	need to say a word.
9	MR. FANT: It's true. And Lillian, my
10	daughter, was a physics major at Wofford and, again,
11	wanting to be brief but they offered her just great
12	incentives. And they had a I think it's called a
13	Tuition Equalization Program.
14	And she has really thrived down there.
15	She's the Student Bar president, and but it has been a
16	great opportunity for her. And she's loved it down there.
17	And, actually, Professor Shipley, who used
18	to teach at South Carolina, was the dean down there at UGA
19	and I ran into him. And I heard the name Shipley, and I
20	was like, I wonder if that's the that's the same one.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are a year apart in law
22	school. And I we had a book called The Face Book back
23	in the day. The young people in this room, and anyone that
24	might read this record, it literally was a paper book that
25	we had our faces and names in it. And I, again, had

1	forgotten that we were that close. You're much, much
2	younger than me.
3	But one other little quick aside, and that
4	is your personality and what, clearly, Frank Eppes would
5	applaud, and that being highlighted in a letter that you
6	have from Major General U.S from the Marines, Mastin
7	Robeson.
8	MR. FANT: Robeson.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Robeson. Who I know most
10	of you all have read this, but I did want to include it in
11	the record. How did you two meet? You introduced yourself
12	to him on an airplane flight to Washington D.C. So that is
13	a that is a wonderful trait. And, obviously, it landed
14	you a bride and a wonderful career. So I want to commend
15	you for your extroverted personality.
16	MR. FANT: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Unless there's
18	anything else, we will at this time end this portion of the
19	screening process. Mr. Fant, you are to be reminded of our
20	expectation that the Commission, both in the letter and the
21	spirit of the law adheres very closely to the South
22	Carolina ethics laws.
23	Any violation or the appearance of
24	impropriety will be deemed serious and potentially
25	deserving of heavy weight in our screening deliberations.

Page 71

1	You're aware that our record is not closed
2	and won't be until the final report of qualifications is
3	issued. In the event the unlikely event that we would
4	need to ask you further questions, you understand that we
5	could do that and this record is not closed until that
6	point, correct?
7	MR. FANT: Yes, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Thank you for
9	being here, and for your bride joining us. And we are now
10	off the record.
11	(Candidate excused.)
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will, raise your
13	right hand.
14	WHEREUPON,
15	WILL GROVE, being duly sworn and cautioned
16	to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
17	truth.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Have a seat. And you have
19	the documents that you have worked long and hard to fill
20	out, the PDQ and the sworn statement before you. Do we
21	or do you need to make changes to those before we hand them
22	over to Caroline to put in the record?
23	MR. GROVE: No, sir. I don't have any
24	changes. I do have one addition that I'd like to make,
25	verbally. I didn't know where in my PDQ to include it. On

Γ

1	my driver record, I believe my license was suspended for
2	about 24 hours back in 2011. And that was for a delayed
3	resolution on an expired registration ticket that was
4	issued out of Society Hill, that was supposed to be
5	resolved and it wasn't. And they sent me a notice and it
6	got resolved within 24 hours. I didn't find a question
7	that appropriately addressed that, but I did want to
8	include that.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, sir.
10	MR. GROVE: Other than that, I have no
11	objection to that being made a part of the record.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Great. All right.
13	(EXHIBIT NO. 7 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
14	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF WILL
15	GROVE)
16	(EXHIBIT NO. 8 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
17	COMMISSION AMENDED PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF
18	WILL GROVE)
19	(EXHIBIT NO. 9 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
20	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF WILL GROVE)
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We have thoroughly
22	investigated your qualifications for the bench. And we've
23	looked, as you know, at the nine evaluative criteria.
24	We've also looked at your ballot box survey, a study of
25	your application materials, verification of your compliance

Page 73

1	with the state ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles
2	in which your name appears. And this is your first
3	screening, correct?
4	MR. GROVE: That's correct.
5	MR. CHAIRMAN: So no prior screenings. But
6	we obviously look at those, too, if it applies. And then a
7	check for economic conflicts of interest. No affidavits
8	have been filed in opposition to your to your election,
9	and no witnesses are here to testify. Unless the young
10	lady you've got behind you to the right wants to come
11	forward. We can accommodate her, if she's got something to
12	say.
13	MR. GROVE: Oh, I don't think that will be
14	necessary, Senator.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: For the record, young
16	lady, stand up and Mr. Grove, if you'll tell us who this
17	person is.
18	MR. GROVE: Thank you. Yes. This is my
19	wife, Katie.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Katie, take the mask down.
21	We make everybody do it so we can see the smile. We want
22	to make sure you're smiling instead of frowning.
23	MS. GROVE: I am smiling. It is an honor to
24	be here.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, welcome. You can

1	put the mask back on and start frowning all you want.
2	MS. GROVE: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Grove, you have the
4	opportunity, if you like, to make an opening statement.
5	You are early, as we said, and you've really got an hour
6	and five minutes to take up. No, make it brief.
7	MR. GROVE: I don't have anything. Just a
8	general appreciation for the effort and consideration that
9	goes on to making these sorts of decisions. And I am
10	grateful to be here and even to be considered.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Super. Ms. Mottel will
12	take it away from here.
13	MS. MOTTEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I
14	do note for the record that based on the testimony
15	contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included
16	in the record with the candidate's consent, that Mr. Grove
17	meets the constitutional and statutory requirements for
18	this position regarding age, residence, and years of
19	practice.
20	EXAMINATION BY MS. MOTTEL:
21	Q. Mr. Grove, how do you feel your legal and
22	professional experience thus far renders you qualified and
23	will assist you to become an effective Circuit Court judge?
24	A. I think I've had a fairly varied experience, and
25	not just with a combination of civil and criminal law, but

Page 75

1 a varied experience across the state of South Carolina. 2 I've been fortunate to practice in three 3 different circuits, seven counties and counting, which 4 means that I have practiced in front of a number of Circuit 5 Court judges. 6 I've practiced with a number of different 7 practitioners through the civil Bar and the criminal Bar. 8 I think that's given me a wide and varied experience, and a 9 lot of different situations to draw on in terms of making 10 the right decisions and lots of different variations of 11 legal analysis that have been made, and lots of different 12 variations of situations that I've ever been in or had 13 witness to. 14 And that's an invaluable thing that I'm not sure 15 could be gathered with an experience in just one limited 16 area of the law or one limited area of the state. 17 Q. Thank you, Mr. Grove. The Commission received 18 215 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 50 additional 19 comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments. And I'd like to highlight 20 21 those for the record. 22 "Will is one of that smartest, most thoughtful 23 and compassionate attorneys I know. He is the exact sort 24 of person we need on the bench. Will would be a fair 25 jurist with an ability to empathize with all sides. He has

Γ

Page 76

1	vast amounts of experience in the criminal law arena, and
2	would bring a wonderful perspective to this position."
3	And finally, "Mr. Grove is an outstanding
4	attorney, an innovative thinker, and as fair and
5	compassionate an individual as we could ever have on the
6	bench."
7	Three of the written comments expressed concerns.
8	Two of the comments involved your level of experience to be
9	a judge, whether it be in civil matters or your age. What
10	response would you offer to this concern?
11	A. One, I hope that my relative youth can be looked
12	at as a positive rather than a negative. This past week
13	made eleven years since I was licensed. I started
14	practicing the day after I was sworn in, and so I guess
15	Tuesday of this week made eleven years of practice.
16	That means I've got a lengthy career ahead of me.
17	At least I hope. And I'd like to be considered as a member
18	of the bench for a significant portion of that.
19	I'm hopeful that a younger jurist can bring fresh
20	ideas to the bench, a new perspective to the bench, and
21	also the opportunity to serve as a judge as a career rather
22	than a halfway point or even sort of on the tail end of a
23	career, but that a judicial seat can be one that is looked
24	at as a career choice rather than an afterthought.
25	Q. Thank you. Mr. Grove, what would you do if you

Page 77

1 had to master an unfamiliar are of the law in a relatively 2 short amount of time? 3 "Study" is the short answer to that. And that's Α. 4 just the hard work of not just being a jurist but being a 5 lawyer. You know, there are often times where even as a 6 practitioner you're put into a situation where you have to 7 learn something and you have to learn it quickly. I think 8 that, that's equally applicable from the bench. 9 Fortunately, I've had a lot of experience in 10 So I'd like to think that I'm fairly well criminal law. 11 versed in most if not all the aspects that might be 12 presented to me there. 13 In civil law, I would have the benefit of those 14 filings being placed ahead of time and having an 15 opportunity to review them in advance of a hearing. And if 16 I didn't feel comfortable with my own level of preparation, 17 I certainly also would have the benefit of the local bench 18 in the 13th Circuit, where there is a wealth of experience 19 and knowledge, already, that I could call on if needed. 20 Thank you. Mr. Grove, the second concern that Q. 21 was raised in one comment indicated that you lack 22 fundamental fairness and an understanding of both sides in 23 a criminal case. How would you respond to that? 24 I've probably got a lengthy list of clients that Α. 25 might disagree with that approach or consideration. But I

Page 78

1	can understand, with 215 people who issued a survey, that
2	one person might have an opinion like that.
3	I don't know who it was or what situation they
4	were talking about. I would point out that three of my
5	five letters of recommendation come from former prosecutors
6	that I've worked with.
7	And I imagine at least some, if not lots of the
8	comments, the other 50 comments that were issued can come
9	from former prosecutors. I do think that I have a deep
10	empathy. I think I'm a good advocate for my own clients,
11	and so sometimes that's misinterpreted.
12	But I also think that I have an ability to see
13	both sides of an argument. I think that makes me a good
14	advocate for my client in a with an ability to
15	anticipate what another argument might be, or appreciate
16	another person's position.
17	I try not to put myself in a situation where I'm
18	before the court asking for something unreasonable, or even
19	approaching a prosecutor with something that's
20	unreasonable, so that my client and I don't lose
21	credibility in our situation.
22	So I can't really change that comment. But I
23	would take exception to it, though. I don't know. Maybe
24	that's a one-off.
25	Q. Thank you. And, Mr. Grove, your SLED report

Γ

Page 79

1	indicated that you that there was a prisoner-related
2	lawsuit filed against you by in January 2014 in U.S.
3	District Court, by Derrick L. Jones. The suit was
4	terminated in March 2014.
5	You were not served in this matter, and you were
6	not aware that you were named as a defendant until your
7	candidate interview; is that correct?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. Do you have any additional information that you
10	would like to share with the Commission about this case?
11	A. No, ma'am.
12	Q. Thank you.
13	MS. MOTTEL: I would note that the Upstate
14	Citizens Committee reported that Mr. Grove is well
15	qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness,
16	character, professional and academic ability, reputation,
17	experience, and judicial temperament. And he was found
18	qualified in the criteria of constitutional qualifications,
19	physical health and mental stability. The Committee had no
20	other comments.
21	BY MS. MOTTEL:
22	Q. I now have a few housekeeping questions that I
23	have for you. First, are you aware of the as a judicial
24	candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as
25	found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court

1	Rules?
2	A. I am.
3	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
4	contacted any members of the Commission about your
- 5	candidacy?
-	-
6	A. I have not.
7	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
8	sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either
9	prior to this date or pending the outcome of your
10	screening?
11	A. I have not.
12	Q. Are you familiar with South Carolina Code Section
13	2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of
14	the General Assembly regarding your screening?
15	A. I am familiar.
16	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
17	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
18	of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on your
19	behalf?
20	A. I have not, nor am I aware.
21	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
22	Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina Code
23	Section 2-19-70(E)?
24	A. Yes, ma'am.
25	Q. Thank you.

Page 81

1	MS. MOTTEL: Mr. Chairman, I would note for
2	the record that any concerns raised during the
3	investigation by staff regarding Mr. Grove were
4	incorporated into the questioning today.
5	And, Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any questions by members
7	of the Commission? Senator Talley.
8	SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	Mr. Grove, nice to see you. Ms. Grove, nice
10	to see you again as well. I just wanted to comment on
11	something that is spoken to in some of these ballot box
12	surveys we've gotten, but also your letters of
13	recommendation, and that is your service to the Bar in our
14	profession.
15	You and I have corresponded numerous times
16	over the last couple of years, as you engage in our
17	profession, trying to make us all better, more collegial,
18	and grow relationships amongst the local Bar.
19	So I appreciate that. And it shows your
20	commitment to this profession outside of your own practice
21	for that of us. So I commend you for that.
22	MR. GROVE: Thank you.
23	SENATOR TALLEY: You made an interesting
24	comment, too, in response to some of the ballot box
25	surveys. Obviously, most of those are overwhelmingly

Γ

1	positive. And we're aware of the anonymity of those,
2	obviously, and can read through all of those. But with
3	your youth, I think it I still consider myself young,
4	and I hope I never lose that.
5	But you have a lot of positive comments that
6	I think go beyond your eleven years or practice. And
7	you're to be commended for that as well.
8	So there are, obviously, multiple people in
9	this race that we have to consider as we go through this
10	process, but I appreciate your willingness to step up and
11	further serve this state in this role
12	MR. GROVE: Thank you, Senator. And I would
13	point out that there are quite a number of assistant public
14	defenders in Greenville that would take exception with my
15	description as being young.
16	EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
17	Q. Mr. Grove, I want to comment on one of the or
18	explore, rather, one of the comments offered by Lucas
19	Marchant, in which you referenced generally, but not
20	specifically, as to though lacking in civil trial practice
21	experience.
22	Your familiarity with the Circuit Court and being
23	in front of a judge and dealing with people equips you in
24	his view and I'm paraphrasing to be on par with
25	someone who may have just as much civil practice exposure.

1 That's not the great equalizer, necessarily, but do you --2 how do you see that? And do you agree with that? 3 Thank you for that. I think one of the Α. I do. 4 things that's worth noting is in my work as a public 5 defender. My frequency with which I appear in front of the 6 Circuit Court sort of magnifies the eleven years of 7 experience that I do have. 8 I'm sure that there are plenty of people in 9 private practice that have been in for the same amount of 10 time that I have, that haven't appeared or had nearly as 11 much experience in interacting with the bench or the bench 12 with the frequency that I have. 13 When I started in the 4th Circuit, I was working 14 a little bit in all four of those counties, which meant 15 that we had court every week, and that we were in front of 16 the court every week. 17 Then I moved to Florence, and we were on a 18 rotation of maybe every -- about half the time, two or 19 three weeks at a time, with two or three weeks off in-20 between. And that's a similar schedule that we have in 21 Greenville now. 22 But the frequency and that -- that sort of 23 accumulation of experience in such a short amount of time 24 can equalize to some degree what might otherwise look like 25 a limited level of experience. And those rules of evidence

Page 84

1 are generally applicable through civil court and the court 2 of General Sessions. And so I'll have that advantage as 3 well. 4 It's worth noting that at the beginning of my 5 career, I did work in civil practice. I tried a case to a 6 jury there, and participated in discovery. So I'm 7 generally familiar, already, with the inner workings of 8 common pleas. And I'd like to think that I'd be able to 9 take the experience with me to the bench, if I was deemed 10 fit. 11 A similar line of questioning that we had in this 0. 12 race as well as others, this one, likewise, attracted young 13 attorneys aspiring to an early ascension to the bench. You 14 were born in '83. You practiced, you said, eleven years? 15 Α. That's correct. There have been younger, perhaps, but why would 16 0. 17 you want to forego what clearly will be an upward 18 trajectory of an earning capacity at such an early age to 19 offer for this position? 20 Α. I was given some advice when I applied to law 21 school, that if I was doing it for the money, I was doing 22 it for the wrong reason. And I'm not sure that I fully 23 appreciated how true that was at that time. But over the 24 last eleven years, I've come to find out that, that's very 25 real. At least for me.

I

Page 85

1	I had a little, what I sometimes joke, as a cup
2	of coffee in civil court before I found my home as a public
3	defender, and I've been doing that for over ten years now.
4	And that really is my passion is public service. So I'd
5	like to continue that public service.
6	And I think that I'd be well equipped to do that
7	from the bench. If that means potentially foregoing an
8	earning capacity, or that I might otherwise limit myself in
9	that way, I don't even view that as a sacrifice.
10	But I suppose that's a sacrifice I'm willing to
11	make. I think that the passion that I have for public
12	service. And the rewards that I get that come from that,
13	that aren't financial, far outweigh any money that might be
тЭ	that aren t rinanciar, far outwergn any money that might be
14	earned.
14	earned.
14 15	earned. Q. And the lack of civil experience, again, you
14 15 16	<pre>earned. Q. And the lack of civil experience, again, you referenced in your ability to, I guess, catch up with that</pre>
14 15 16 17	<pre>earned. Q. And the lack of civil experience, again, you referenced in your ability to, I guess, catch up with that and reach out to the to the local Bar or others.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18	earned. Q. And the lack of civil experience, again, you referenced in your ability to, I guess, catch up with that and reach out to the to the local Bar or others. Obviously, you're a quick study. Given the
14 15 16 17 18 19	earned. Q. And the lack of civil experience, again, you referenced in your ability to, I guess, catch up with that and reach out to the to the local Bar or others. Obviously, you're a quick study. Given the advent of mediation more and more, it is a motions practice
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	earned. Q. And the lack of civil experience, again, you referenced in your ability to, I guess, catch up with that and reach out to the to the local Bar or others. Obviously, you're a quick study. Given the advent of mediation more and more, it is a motions practice that occupies the Circuit Court judge's time, which either
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	earned. Q. And the lack of civil experience, again, you referenced in your ability to, I guess, catch up with that and reach out to the to the local Bar or others. Obviously, you're a quick study. Given the advent of mediation more and more, it is a motions practice that occupies the Circuit Court judge's time, which either to the chagrin of some or to the pleasure of others, you
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	earned. Q. And the lack of civil experience, again, you referenced in your ability to, I guess, catch up with that and reach out to the to the local Bar or others. Obviously, you're a quick study. Given the advent of mediation more and more, it is a motions practice that occupies the Circuit Court judge's time, which either to the chagrin of some or to the pleasure of others, you often don't rule from the bench.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	earned. Q. And the lack of civil experience, again, you referenced in your ability to, I guess, catch up with that and reach out to the to the local Bar or others. Obviously, you're a quick study. Given the advent of mediation more and more, it is a motions practice that occupies the Circuit Court judge's time, which either to the chagrin of some or to the pleasure of others, you often don't rule from the bench. So there is an opportunity to study it and ponder

Page 86

1 how do you see yourself able to go on a dime, to turn from 2 a General Sessions' more active roster to the civil? 3 Could you clarify that question? Α. 4 Well, in other words, other than just reaching 0. 5 out to the local Bar, and taking time to review the 6 competing submissions before you, how are you going to get 7 up to speed quickly? 8 Again, I think it really is just the grunt work Α. 9 I think that there's no real substitute for of studying. 10 And at that end of the day, it's the judge's that. 11 responsibility to make sure that they're the one that's 12 prepared. 13 I'd like to think that I'm always prepared or --14 I try to be to most prepared person in the courtroom when I 15 have to appear there. And as a jurist, I would take that 16 same discipline to the bench, and make sure that I was 17 educating myself, whether that is through the advance 18 sheets or just generally studying the case law. 19 Or, obviously, preparing based on the pleadings that have been filed and presented that I'm getting ready 20 21 to preside over, to make sure that I'm educated, to 22 understand what the arguments are going to be, anticipate 23 where I think those things will go, and be prepared to 24 issue a ruling. 25 It's nice to have the security blanket of taking

Γ

1	things under advisement, but it's also important to make
2	sure that things are resolved quickly and that they're
3	moving forward at a regular pace.
4	And so I think it might be an attractive
5	consideration to think that you can just hear a motion and
6	then wait and decide later, but that tends to drag on cases
7	unnecessarily, perhaps.
8	So I think it's also important to make sure that
9	a judge is prepared to rule as quickly as possible so that
10	litigants can have their resolutions, good, bad, or
11	otherwise, but that their cases can be heard and can be
12	resolved in a timely manner.
13	Q. Well, very well. Very well. And to your two
14	children, Mary and Margaret
15	A. Mary Mack and Maggie. Yes, sir.
16	Q. Mary Mack and Maggie. So those are strong names
17	and strong nicknames too. So I'm proud that you are here
18	with your bride.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And unless there are other
20	questions by anybody from the Commission, we will at this
21	time, unless you have I'm sorry, Mr. Safran.
22	EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:
23	Q. Mr. Grove, we appreciate you being here. I just
24	had a few questions. It looked like that at least in your
25	journey as a public defender, you went to several different

1	circuits. And what kind of moved you around from the 4th
2	and over to the 12th, and kind of where you are now?
3	A. Sure. I started in the 4th Circuit. I was
4	living in right outside of Darlington, and commuting to
5	Bennettsville, that's where my office was. When I wasn't
6	in court in either Chesterfield or Dillon or Darlington, I
7	was driving to Bennettsville every day.
8	An opportunity presented itself, a former
9	coworker of mine from the 4th Circuit had moved to
10	Florence, and a job opening came open in the public
11	defender's office there. And so I readily took that
12	opportunity to move to what at the time was the big city of
13	Florence as far as I was concerned.
14	I was there for about three and a half years
15	before I left. And I'd probably still be there today but
16	for the lady sitting behind me. She and I met. Matt
17	Ozment, who wrote one of my letters of recommendation, a
18	former prosecutor from Florence, we actually met at his
19	wedding. And he's now godfather to one of our daughters.
20	I wasn't from Florence. Katie is from
21	Greenville. So that was a easy decision for the two of us
22	to come to on who should relocate. And I was fortunate
23	enough to find an opening in the Greenville public
24	defender's office, so I could continue my public service
25	there.

Page 89

-	
1	While at Greenville in the public defender, I
2	started as an assistant public defender. At some point in
3	the last year, year and a half, two years ago maybe, I was
4	promoted to what was considered a senior level lawyer. I'm
5	now serving as the deputy public defender there.
6	Q. Let me ask also you did have a short period of
7	time in civil practice, and I think you said you at least
8	had some involvement in discovery and some trial work.
9	Have you found as a public defender, that at least the
10	basics are tremendously different in terms of what you have
11	to do going to court?
12	A. I don't think so. There is a lengthy discovery
13	process, through both civil and criminal law. Preparation
14	is certainly key. I know that some states perform
15	depositions in criminal law. And sometimes I wish that we
16	did. It's a because sometimes it feels like you're not
17	exactly sure what an individual is going to say when they
18	get up there. You might have a general idea.
19	But I think the general tenants from both
20	branches of the Circuit Court are similar enough, that
21	those skills are readily transferrable from one to the
22	other.
23	Q. And what I'm hearing from you, at least it seems
24	like that having made the switch, and apparently found, as
25	you said, your home, you're kind of in it for the duration.
	Garber Reporting

Γ

Page 90

1	Is that basically it? If for some reason this time it
2	wasn't successful as far as your candidacy, I'm assuming
3	you're going to remain being a public defender, at least in
4	the near future?
5	A. I don't have any intentions of leaving right now.
6	Having been in there for ten and a half years, it's hard
7	for me to imagine doing anything else.
8	Q. Okay. Well, we appreciate your appearance. And
9	thank you very much.
10	A. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Anything else?
12	(Hearing none.)
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Grove, thank you
14	again. And now this concludes this portion of our
15	screening process. You're so familiar with this
16	Commission's work in our evaluative criteria. Perhaps you
17	also know, but I do need you to acknowledge this on the
18	record, if you don't, that we expect both a strict
19	adherence to the letter and the spirit of the South
20	Carolina ethics laws.
21	Any violation or the appearance of
22	impropriety would be deemed serious and potentially serving
23	of heavy weight in our screening deliberations.
24	This record does not close until the report
25	of the qualifications and our release of that. And you

Page 91

1 understand that we could call you back should there be any 2 questions about your potential. And certainly we don't expect any violation or the appearance of impropriety of 3 4 that. 5 MR. GROVE: I understand, Chairman. Thank 6 you. 7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right, 8 sir. So again, thank you all both for being here. And you 9 all have a safe trip back. Again, I appreciate your being 10 here early today. 11 MR. GROVE: Happy to oblige. Thank you so 12 much. 13 Thank you. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 14 (Candidate excused.) 15 MS. CRAWFORD: So we'll start back up at 16 one. (Off the record from 12:17 p.m. to 1:35 p.m.) 17 18 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, folks. We're 19 now back on the record. And for the record, during our 20 executive session, no decisions were made and no votes were 21 taken. 22 And now we will proceed to Mr. G.D. Morgan, 23 who stands with us. Sir, if you will raise your hand. 24 WHEREUPON, 25 G.D. MORGAN JR., being duly sworn and

Page 92

1 cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing 2 but the truth. 3 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Have a seat, 4 sir. And thank you for being here early. And we are --5 you've been here a while, I know. And we are ten minutes 6 ahead of your appointed schedule, so if you're successful 7 as a judge, please remember that I was early. 8 MR. MORGAN: Noted. I'll remember. 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Morgan, you have 10 before you that PDQ, personal data questionnaire and your 11 sworn statement. My question to you is: Do you need to 12 make any changes, or are they ready to go into the record 13 as is? 14 MR. MORGAN: I do not. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Caroline will get 16 those. 17 (EXHIBIT NO. 10 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION 18 COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA OUESTIONNAIRE OF G.D. 19 MORGAN JR.) 20 (EXHIBIT NO. 11 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION 21 COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF G.D. MORGAN JR.) 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And now, sir, as we 23 investigate your qualifications, we look at the nine 24 evaluative criteria, of which Erin can remind each of us of 25 at length if you'd like to go through those. But you're

Page 93

1	very familiar with those, correct?
2	MR. MORGAN: Yes, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: In addition to which we
4	look at the ballot box survey, a thorough study of your
5	application materials, a verification of your compliance
б	with the state ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles
7	in which your name appears.
8	And you have not screened before, have you?
9	MR. MORGAN: That's correct.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So and a check for
11	economic conflicts of interest. No affidavits have been
12	filed in opposition to your campaign, and no witnesses are
13	present to testify for you or against you.
14	And, sir, with that I'll open it up the
15	record up for you to make any opening remarks that you
16	would like before we turn it over to Emma for questions.
17	MR. MORGAN: I'll waive any opening. I just
18	appreciate the opportunity to be here.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you, sir.
20	MS. DEAN: Great. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	Thank you, Mr. Morgan. I note for the record that based on
22	the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has
23	been included in the record with the candidate's consent,
24	Mr. Morgan meets the constitutional and statutory
25	requirements for this position regarding age, residence,

1 and years of practice. 2 EXAMINATION BY MS. DEAN: 3 Mr. Morgan, how do you feel your legal and 0. 4 professional experience thus far renders you qualified and 5 will assist you to be an effective Circuit Court judge? 6 I think the fact that I've been doing this for 35 Α. 7 years is a big factor. And I think it's going to give me a 8 lot of experience on the bench, experience of being in the 9 trenches. 10 And I think it kind of can be divided, too, into 11 professional and legal. The legal part of it, the fact 12 that I have been practicing 35 years, I've tried a ton of 13 cases in state and federal court. Mainly state court. But 14 I've argued hundreds of motions. 15 I've taken probably over a thousand depositions. 16 I've participated in over hundred mediations. So I think 17 from a legal standpoint, that really, I think, gives me the 18 experience to be on the bench. 19 And I think the profession is a little bit --20 there's somewhat related, but I think it's a little bit 21 different as well. From a professional part of it, I look at it from a business standpoint. I've been in two law 22 23 firms in my career. 24 I started out with a Columbia firm, McCutcheon, 25 Blanton, Rhodes and Johnson, many years ago. We were a

Page 95

1 small eight-, nine-person firm. And, you know, we did 2 everything. We ran the business. We practiced law. But 3 we ran a business from everything to how many pencils we 4 need to get and what -- paper for the legal assistants and 5 everything like that, making a -- you know, meeting a 6 payroll. So that gave me the experience there for doing 7 that for 16-plus years.

And then I switched over to McAngus, Goudelock & 9 Courie. And I've been with them almost 20 years now. It's 10 hard to believe. And I've been in the Greenville office --11 I started here and then I moved back to Greenville about 13 12 years ago.

I'm from Greenville, born and raised up there.
And I've managed that office up there for about -- well, 14
years, ever since I've been up there. And we've got -we're up to probably, if you total lawyers and staff, close
to 45, 50 people.

So I've -- while I'm practicing law, I've also done the business part of it. And so I think I -- that's sort of part of the professional part. And then the legal part is just 35 years of trying cases and being in the trenches.

And I think there may be one more thing, too, is sort of the life experience. I know you've got your professional, you've got your legal experience. Just as

1	you can probably tell I'm not young, I'm 60, so I've got
2	some life experience that I think will bode well for me if
3	I'm very lucky and fortunate to get on the bench.
4	Q. Thank you, Mr. Morgan. Moving on to the ballot
5	box questions. The Commission received 232 ballot box
6	surveys regarding you, with 48 additional comments. The
7	ballot box survey, for example, contained the following
8	positive comments:
9	"Doc is well respected by all lawyers. He would
10	have the prefect temperament for the bench. Mr. Morgan has
11	the intelligence, judgment, and proper temperament to be an
12	excellent judge. And Doc has an amazing amount of trial
13	experience, is unflappable, smart, fair, and would be an
14	excellent judge."
14 15	excellent judge." Two written comments notes your lack of civil
15	Two written comments notes your lack of civil
15 16	Two written comments notes your lack of civil experience. First, before you address that, I should note
15 16 17	Two written comments notes your lack of civil experience. First, before you address that, I should note that those two were actually very positive comments with
15 16 17 18	Two written comments notes your lack of civil experience. First, before you address that, I should note that those two were actually very positive comments with just a that sandwiched the notation that you had limited
15 16 17 18 19	Two written comments notes your lack of civil experience. First, before you address that, I should note that those two were actually very positive comments with just a that sandwiched the notation that you had limited civil experience. And I was wondering if you could
15 16 17 18 19 20	Two written comments notes your lack of civil experience. First, before you address that, I should note that those two were actually very positive comments with just a that sandwiched the notation that you had limited civil experience. And I was wondering if you could MR. CHAIRMAN: Criminal.
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Two written comments notes your lack of civil experience. First, before you address that, I should note that those two were actually very positive comments with just a that sandwiched the notation that you had limited civil experience. And I was wondering if you could MR. CHAIRMAN: Criminal. MS. DEAN: Thank you. Criminal. Thank you.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Two written comments notes your lack of civil experience. First, before you address that, I should note that those two were actually very positive comments with just a that sandwiched the notation that you had limited civil experience. And I was wondering if you could MR. CHAIRMAN: Criminal. MS. DEAN: Thank you. Criminal. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: That was almost like the
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Two written comments notes your lack of civil experience. First, before you address that, I should note that those two were actually very positive comments with just a that sandwiched the notation that you had limited civil experience. And I was wondering if you could MR. CHAIRMAN: Criminal. MS. DEAN: Thank you. Criminal. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: That was almost like the waive in the stadium.

Page 97

1 BY MS. DEAN:

2 Q. Could you address that concern of limited 3 criminal --

A. Yeah, I'd be glad to. And first of all, I accept
service on that. I have not been a criminal lawyer. And I
did when I was a young lawyer, when we all did, I did
little small criminal stuff. But that was a long time ago.
But I have been a civil trial lawyer for all

9 these years. And, again, I accept service. And I think10 it's a -- it's a fair question to ask.

I can tell you this: I will work hard at it. I've been working hard at it for 35 years. I've been working 60-plus hours a week for all these years, and I'm not going to stop -- I'm not going to stop, you know, working hard at it. So I can guarantee you, and put any concerns to bed on that, that I'll work hard at it.

I think one good thing is it -- is that we've got -- if I am very fortunate and lucky to get elected, I'll probably have about five more months. Judge Stilwell is not retiring until July, as I understand, so that gives me a good five months to really kind of, you know, work on it and study it.

And I've already talked to -- I've got several good criminal defense lawyer friends of mine, as well as a couple of the judges that I've talked to, and so they are

Γ

Page 98

1	willing to, you know, work with me and help me with that.
2	And I will work hard on that. It's a you
3	know, in any kind of field, and even in the civil field
4	I mean, things that I've done in the past, where I might
5	not have had experience I can remember when I first
6	started my old law firm, I did a decent amount of medical
7	malpractice.
8	And one of I started out with a probably my
9	mentor was a guy named Jeter Rhodes. And Jeter kind of got
10	me involved to start doing some medical malpractice. Well,
11	just as I got involved and started handling some cases with
12	him, he unfortunately was killed in an accident.
13	And so those cases that I had just started doing
14	med-mal work with him all of a sudden fell on me. And I
15	had not tried medical malpractice cases, I had not done
16	medical malpractice cases and but I took on the
17	challenge and I studied.
18	And med-mal, as some of y'all have done, it's not
19	an easy area of the law. I mean, it's a difficult area of
20	the law. And so I took that challenge on, studied it and
21	worked hard at it, and tried a number of med-mal cases.
22	So I think any area of that law, you're going to
23	have that challenge.
24	And, you know, I have not had the criminal
25	experience, but I'll learn it. I think a good if I can

Γ

1	follow his example, is a classmate of mine from college and
2	law school, who's now Justice James. Justice James did the
3	same exact work that I did.
4	And we were obviously knew each other and went
5	were roommates in law school, so I know him well. So I
6	know the practice he had. In fact, we did a lot of the
7	same kind of cases. He and I were one of the few lawyers
8	that did a lot of arson did a lot of arson. I still do
9	a lot of arson work.
10	In fact, I just tried an arson case last year.
11	So he did all civil, he did the same exact thing that I
12	did. And, you know, from what I know when he got on the
13	bench on the trial bench, you have you know, you've
14	never heard anything but good things about how good he did
15	and how he adapted as a criminal trial judge.
16	And I think that's a good if I can emulate him
17	and follow him, I'd be very lucky. I know this is public
18	record and he's going to see this, so he's probably going
19	to get the big head.
20	But in all honesty and seriousness, I think that
21	is a good example. It shows that even though somebody like
22	Justice James, who did all civil work like me, and on the
23	same side for the most part and I've done plaintiffs
24	work too he was able to achieve what he did and become a
25	good trial judge and now a Supreme Court justice.

1	So the bottom line is, I'm going to work hard.
2	Always have and always will.
3	Q. Great. Thank you, Mr. Morgan. And, again, just
4	to clarify for the record, those two comments were actually
5	primarily positive, one stating praising your experience
6	as a civil litigator, and stating you'd be an outstanding
7	judge on the bench, and the other said you'd be a fantastic
8	judge and can learn what was needed quickly. So just for
9	clarification. But thank you for addressing
10	A. Thank you.
11	Q those concerns.
12	MS. DEAN: I would note the that Upstate
13	Citizens Committee reported that Mr. Morgan is qualified in
14	the criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical
15	health and mental stability. The Committee found Mr.
16	Morgan well qualified in the criteria of ethical fitness,
17	professional and academic ability, character, reputation,
18	experience, and judicial temperament.
19	The Committee stated in summary, "Mr. Morgan
20	is very well respected among the Bar, statewide and in his
21	community. His demeanor is exactly what one looks for in a
22	judicial candidate."
23	BY MS. DEAN:
24	Q. Mr. Morgan, we're just going to move to some
25	housekeeping issues, briefly. Are you aware that as a

1	judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial
2	Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina
3	Appellate Court Rules?
4	A. I am.
5	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
6	contacted any members of the Commission about your
7	candidacy?
8	A. No, I have not. Now, before let me I do
9	want to disclose. Before Senator Talley was made a member
10	of the Commission, I had had a cup of coffee with him.
11	But that was before he was on the Commission. And that's
12	all we did. I didn't we just had a cup of coffee is all
13	we did.
10	
14	Q. Thank you for disclosing that. Since submitting
14	
14	Q. Thank you for disclosing that. Since submitting
14 15	Q. Thank you for disclosing that. Since submitting your letter of intent have you sought or received the
14 15 16 17	Q. Thank you for disclosing that. Since submitting your letter of intent have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or
14 15 16 17	Q. Thank you for disclosing that. Since submitting your letter of intent have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
14 15 16 17 18	Q. Thank you for disclosing that. Since submitting your letter of intent have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening? A. I have not.
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Thank you for disclosing that. Since submitting your letter of intent have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening? A. I have not. Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Thank you for disclosing that. Since submitting your letter of intent have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening? A. I have not. Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Thank you for disclosing that. Since submitting your letter of intent have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening? A. I have not. Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 Q. Thank you for disclosing that. Since submitting your letter of intent have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening? A. I have not. Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening? A. I am familiar with it.

I

Page 102

1	your behalf?
2	A. I have not.
3	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
4	Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina Code
5	Section 2-19-70(E)?
б	A. I'm very familiar.
7	MS. DEAN: Mr. Chairman, I would note for
8	the record that any concerns raised during the
9	investigation by staff regarding the candidate were
10	incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today.
11	Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Questions of
13	the Commission members? Representative smith.
14	EXAMINATION BY VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH:
15	Q. Mr. Morgan, let me kind of go back through some
16	of your testimony that caused me some concern. And the
17	first one was when you mentioned that you wanted to emulate
18	Justice James. I've got a lot of concerns about that. And
19	so while you said he's going to look at this and get a big
20	head, why don't I help humble him for you.
21	And so I do want to tell the story I know the
22	people who have been on here with me for a while. But he
23	was a great criminal judge and he and people had a lot
24	of respect for him, but he was a real bad criminal lawyer.
25	And let me tell you the story about his one foray

1	into the criminal arena. I was watching him when we all
2	used to get appointed to probation violations I'm sure
3	you had the pleasure too and they line up every lawyer
4	in Sumter, and we go over there and we have to handle
5	probations.
6	And you knew Buck James. He didn't sometimes
7	have the greatest perspective on everything, and so he goes
8	up there. And we had a judge who started chiding his
9	client and asking him why his client didn't do this and
10	didn't do that.
11	And I'll never forget he said, "Judge, look, I'm
12	not up here as a character witness. I'm up here as the
13	attorney. And if you want to put him in the clink, that's
14	your decision. It's not my job to do that."
15	A. That sounds real familiar.
16	Q. So he took about enough. So his one client that
17	he represented in criminal court, that I saw during the
18	years I practiced with him, went off to what we call across
19	the river in Sumter County.
20	So I hope you take that and you correct your
21	testimony you will make a judge and not the type of
22	attorney that he was in criminal cases.
23	A. That's right. So corrected.
24	Q. So, Mr. Morgan, let me just tell you a couple of
25	things. And I say this in all sincerity is you know,

Page 104

we've struggled through the years in this commission, with
 candidates coming before us who really don't have the
 requisite amount of legal experience.
 And there were times we that come and watch this
 and say there are candidates here who, while they are

6 energetic and while they may one day be a good judge,
7 they're just not that ones that are what we are looking for
8 in South Carolina.

9 And so now, you know, this go around we see
10 people like you that come back and bring a wealth of
11 experience to the bench, who are well respected members of
12 their Bar and their -- and their communities and throughout
13 the state.

And, you know, I -- I'm just interested from the perspective of you, now 60 years old, you're a managing partner at a successful law firm that's, I think, all over the Southeast, as I believe now. But what attracts you -why do you want to come back and do this?

19 A. And that's a good question. I have been asked 20 that question a lot here in the last couple of months. And 21 it comes down to this: It really, in all honestly, it's --22 I've been in the trenches for 35 years, I've been doing 23 this, I've had a good career, and I still enjoy it.

I mean, I still love being in the courtroom. And it's something I really love to do. And I started thinking

1 -- to be honest with you, I had not thought about being a 2 And when Judge Stilwell made the announcement that judqe. 3 he was going to retire, you know, I got to thinking about 4 it. 5 I am 60. I'm 35 years old -- I mean, 35 -- been 6 practicing 35 years, so I've done a lot in the practice, 7 and what can I do to sort of give back. And that's really 8 what it's all about. 9 It may sound hokey, but it really is the truth. 10 I got to a point, I guess, in my life, well, what can I do? 11 I can keep doing this for the next decade and love it. 12 And I get a lot of satisfaction out of practicing 13 I think all of us do that -- you know, we're all in law. 14 here to do this. But what could I kind of give back? How 15 can I contribute to the judicial system? Which I have --16 I've only been a lawyer for all these years, but how can 17 give back? 18 And the with experience -- you know, your comment 19 about, you know, at times there may be lack of experience 20 on the bench, I think this is an opportunity to sort of, 21 you know, give back and give my years of experience to the 22 system. And hopefully that would help out. 23 I would like to see more of us do it. I know for 24 various reasons people don't, but I wanted to do it. And 25 it was a matter of public service, to be honest with you,

1	to use my experience to see if I can help out the next
2	and if I'm very fortunate and lucky to get elected, and if
3	I get on and were to get elected again, it gives me a good
4	12 years almost 12 years on the bench. And I think I
5	can really contribute and give back.
6	Q. And you started practicing in the '80s, I guess,
7	if you graduated sometime around Buck James. So, you know,
8	I started practicing in the early '90s, and I back then
9	the judges seemed to be a little more grayer, a little more
10	seasoned. And that curve kind of inverted on itself in the
11	last decade, I would think.
12	And, you know, I'm not casting aspersions on any
13	judge. You know, people got all sorts of abilities and
14	talents that they bring to the bench. But it's refreshing
15	to see people who had I just can remember when I was a
16	young lawyer when judges say, "Oh, I remember I had this
17	case and I did that."
18	The people with experience always made the better
19	judges in my opinion. Wouldn't you agree with that?
20	A. A hundred percent. I mean, you've got to have
21	been somebody that's been in the courtroom. And, you know,
22	that only comes with age.
23	And especially now with you know, we were
24	trying several of us in here were trying cases all the
25	time when we started in the '80s and '90s. I mean, on a

Page 107

1	
1	Monday morning, I may be in on the roster in Richland.
2	I may in Orangeburg. I may be in Florence. So we were
3	just trying cases all the time. And it went on for years.
4	And then it's you know, especially over here,
5	the last ten, fifteen years with mediation, unfortunately -
б	- and I'm a big fan of trying in ABOTA, and so you know,
7	we all like trying cases. We're all trial lawyers.
8	And I hate I mean, I think mediation is great,
9	there's no question about it. It does settle cases and it
10	does get rid of cases, but I think it's really hurt that
11	trial bench I mean, and our trial Bar.
12	'Cause we've got even in our firm, we've got
13	lawyers who have been out ten or fifteen years and hardly
14	tried a case. And I think that's going to get worse and
15	worse.
16	And what the effect of that is the lawyers aren't
17	trying the cases. And then at some point those lawyers are
18	going to be trying to get on the bench, so they hadn't
19	tried cases, and you're going to have judges that have not
20	tried cases.
21	And so I think it's a again, I'm not knocking
22	mediation, 'cause I think mediation has its its good
23	points. But I really hate to see how the how the trials
24	are going now. There are very few of them.
25	Q. I couldn't agree with you more. I did similar

1	work, much insurance defense work, and every Monday morning
2	you had two or three cases on the roster, and you just
3	crank it up and go.
4	And now I you know, I've got young associates
5	handling all those, and they haven't seen the inside of a
6	courtroom in four or five years of doing it.
7	A. That's right.
8	Q. So I mean
9	A. The biggest thing, too, you'd be on those rosters
10	in three different places, and it was whoever got you. You
11	know, so you would you'd spend that weekend getting
12	ready for three trials, 'cause you don't know where you're
13	going to be. You may get that call from Williamsburg
14	County or somewhere, "Hey, you're up."
15	And it just depends on, you know, who get who
16	would get you back then. Now, that's a heck of a way to
17	live life. It's tough. It was tough. We all did that.
18	And it's a little bit easier now, a little bit
19	less stress-free, But I think we all look back on it and
20	you know, that was pretty good.
21	Q. That's those good old days.
22	A. Yeah, good old days. I kind of miss the days,
23	too, where, you know, you're back in the chambers with the
24	judges, you all are in there drinking a cup of coffee.
25	And, you know, I think the young lawyers today are going to

1	miss that. They're not going to have what we all had, and
2	that experience. And I hate to see that.
3	Q. Yeah. And the old adage I have to say you
4	probably remember Rusty Weinberg as fondly as I remember
5	him, is when I was on those rosters, he would always say,
6	"Son, you can only be in one courtroom at a time. So don't
7	worry about it."
8	A. That's right.
9	Q. A couple questions I ask everybody through this
10	just you've been through it, you've seen judges as
11	tyrants, and judges who don't control their courtrooms
12	throughout the process. And, you know, if you had to model
13	yourself after a judge, you've had 35 years of experience,
14	who would that be?
15	And then the followup questions just to save some
16	time is you know, I also am always concerned the
17	stresses that you have. You've described them on lawyers
18	and the demands to move cases, and continuances come in
19	front of you for a mediation, which doesn't take priority
20	in anything, or I got this deposition of this out-of-state
21	witness or you know, I've got my kid's dance recital
22	this weekend. How are you going to handle those things if
23	you're successful at that?
24	A. To your first question, judges gosh, there are
25	so many. Especially all of them in Greenville all the

1	judges in Greenville.
2	Q. It's a great day where we can always vote for the
3	losing Circuit Court judge.
4	A. So many judges that I do respect. You know,
5	Judge Cooper Tommy Cooper in Manning. Tommy Cooper, you
6	know, I've tried cases back in the day. I don't think you
7	can get a better, you know, example to follow there.
8	Judge Joe Anderson. In fact, I just tried a case
9	it was that arson case last year. You know, Judge
10	Anderson. Both of those I think are very similar. They're
11	a they let you try your case. They're respectful in the
12	cases I've tried in front of both of them.
13	And a number of other judges that are very
14	polite, respectful, and they let you try your case. But
15	most importantly, they're prepared. Both Judge Cooper and
16	Judge Anderson, you know, they're pretty tough to beat.
17	The second question, I think times have changed.
18	And I think when most of us were coming up there wasn't the
19	work/life balance thing out there. You know, that
20	judges didn't really care about the you know, the
21	work/life balance thing. You got a conflict, you know,
22	rearrange your vacation. "And sorry that you got that
23	birthday, you know. She'll have another birthday next
24	year." That kind of stuff.
25	And I think fortunately it has changed and I

1	
1	mean, society has changed, and probably for the better. We
2	do need to have to work/life balance. I don't disagree
3	with that at all.
4	So I think you've got to really now you know,
5	I the Covid thing has kind of put it all into
6	perspective, and, you know, the importance of family and
7	being around family and things like that, and the diseases
8	that people are having. But you've got to give them a
9	break.
10	You've got to give lawyers what we do is hard
11	a job in my opinion as there is. And I know there are
12	other professions out there, that say they're stressful and
13	tough. But I just think as a especially as trial
14	lawyers, it's tough and stressful.
15	And we do need a break and we need when we
16	have a vacation, or when our kids got a baseball game or
17	something, we need to for a judge to give you a break.
18	Now, I understand if there's something in the
19	trial or in the court that just can't be done, and that's,
20	you know, going to be an unfortunate thing. But if we can
21	give if I were lucky enough to be on the bench, if I can
22	give lawyers the opportunity to do whatever they got to do,
23	whether it's vacation, if their kids have a ball game
24	that kid has a ball game that night, you know, if it's not
25	going to really hurt the situation too much, I think we got

1	to do that.
2	Q. Well, and I'll conclude and let others ask
3	questions. But again, looking at your bench Bar surveys.
4	In 35 years of practicing law, you're bound to make some
5	enemies from time to time. But it appears to me you've
6	found very few you made very few enemies over that.
7	And so I always want to point out while, you
8	know, I know they're anonymous and they're easy to hide
9	behind or say don't you know, "They don't really reflect
10	what my colleagues think of me," sometimes I think that
11	they do.
12	And then when you look at yours, it is obviously
13	you're overwhelmingly qualified, you're overwhelmingly
14	respected, you're an esteemed member of not only the Bar in
15	the Upstate, but in South Carolina. And I appreciate you
16	offering your service to the state in this capacity.
17	A. Thank you, Mr. Smith.
18	MR. CHAIRMAN: Senator Talley.
19	SENATOR TALLEY: Mr. Morgan, good afternoon.
20	MR. MORGAN: Good afternoon, Senator.
21	SENATOR TALLEY: I just want to follow up on
22	what Representative Smith said. You and I, I think, first
23	had a case together years and years ago. And one thing we
24	all do is, the first time I got a case with this guy, and
25	tell me about him.

Page 113

1	
1	And these ballot box surveys reflect exactly
2	what I was told some probably fifteen years ago when we
3	first had a case. So I echo the thanks to you for offering
4	for the position.
5	The only thing I was sad to see is in your
б	list of five most significant litigated matters, you didn't
7	put our Charter Communications case on here that we had
8	both planned to retire on.
9	MR. MORGAN: That's right. Yeah.
10	SENATOR TALLEY: But thank you for offering,
11	sir. I wish you the best.
12	MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Senator.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Strom.
14	MR. STROM: Mr. Chairman. I just want to
15	add a "me too." I've known Doc since he was in law school
16	and when he practiced in Columbia. And I can't think of a
17	finer person to be on the bench. And thank you for
18	offering.
19	MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Pete. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran.
21	MR. SAFRAN: Thank you. I have I'm
22	overjoyed to see that you finally got out of the office and
23	came over here and going to do something constructive with
24	your life. I have known Mr. Morgan, pleasantly, for almost
25	30 years. And, frankly, he is everything that these

Page 114

1	comments reflect.
2	MR. MORGAN: Thank you.
3	MR. SAFRAN: You know, and when he says that
4	they did the long hours, they did that long hours, 'cause
5	we used to commiserate about that a little bit.
6	MR. MORGAN: Yes, we did.
7	MR. SAFRAN: We were down the street from
8	each other. And with many an afternoon, I'd stop over
9	there about seven o'clock, between him and Mr. Johnson, and
10	we'd all still be there.
11	MR. MORGAN: Yeah.
12	MR. SAFRAN: I think you are exactly what we
13	have been seeking in terms of candidates that have the
14	experience, and that not only have gained from it but have,
15	basically, created an atmosphere among the Bar of respect
16	and admiration.
17	When these people say this I'm sure a lot
18	of the folks who are commenting are people who have been on
19	the other side of cases from you. And I sit and think if
20	it was me, and I'd go, God Almighty, I'd be ducking more
21	than I you could ever imagine, how somebody can be as
22	good a lawyer as Doc Morgan and not have people having some
23	of the sour grapes comments is really beyond me.
24	Because it's a tough particularly with
25	the kind of cases you do. Arson cases are hard-fought,

Page 115

1	med-mal. And I can honestly say that in the time that I've
2	known him, he has impressed me, immensely.
3	And we've talked for years about what we're
4	looking for, Doc Morgan is exactly that. And I have no
5	doubt whatsoever, based upon what you've proven during the
6	course of your career, that doing the job with criminal
7	cases, you will be as good as Justice James.
8	And that's a tall order, but I think you'll
9	certainly be up to it. And, again, we are very
10	appreciative of the fact you've offered. And I wish you the
11	best of luck.
12	MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Andy.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Rutherford.
14	Representative Rutherford.
15	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I just want to
16	jump in, if I could. Because I haven't met you before, but
17	I can tell you I've been on this commission for years and
18	you are exactly the reason why we raised the pay.
19	You are who we wanted to attract and not
20	make it so that the people that came in, that were your
21	age, that when we looked at their resume there was a reason
22	why they were here, and it was to get pay raise because
23	they had never made that much money in their life.
24	You are exactly the type of person that
25	young lawyers need to be looking to, to receive guidance,

I

1	to say "Your Honor" to, rather than some of the people that
2	we've gotten in the past, that had no experience
3	whatsoever, don't remember what it was like.
4	And even I remember what it was like to try
5	cases in single-wide trailers and double-wide trailers, and
6	have to have the bench Bar conferences in the kitchen of
7	the trailer. That's a it's a dying breed.
8	We were talking to one of the new House
9	members the other day, and I was recalling how politicians
10	used to always get up and say, "Don't worry, crowd, I'm
11	going to treat you like Elizabeth Taylor did her last
12	husband. I'm not going to keep you long."
13	And he laughed a little bit. And I said,
14	"Do you know who Elizabeth Taylor is?"
15	He said, "No."
16	I said, "Dear God, have we gotten to that
17	point now?"
18	But I think the past four years, I believe
19	I've been on here, what we got were typically and I'm
20	not going to call all of them bad, but I mean it just was
21	not the cream of the crop. But you are.
22	And I'll be honest with you, over the past
23	days that we've been here, that's what we've seen. We've
24	seen cream of the crop lawyers, who their time was slated,
25	they came early, and they were out of here early because we

1	weren't looking at their resume going, "But you've never
2	tried a case. But you've never picked a jury and you want
3	to be on the Circuit Court? But you've never done blah,
4	blah, blah, blah or blah, blah, blah, blah," and trying to
5	convince them that maybe this is not the right move at the
6	right time.
7	But for you it's more of a situation where
8	we're looking at in what your what your stepping down
9	from, saying, "Wow, this is why we raised the pay. This is
10	why we do this. This is why all of us sit here to try and
11	get like you."
12	And so kudos to you. And thank you again
13	for doing it.
14	MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Mr. Rutherford.
15	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Absolutely.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Sabb.
17	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
18	just want to lend my voice to the discussion as well. I
19	think I've known Doc for 32 years, ever since I've been
20	practicing law. And he's just been a prince of a guy, a
21	worthy adversary. But certainly somebody that young
22	lawyers should look up to. And I, like the rest of you
23	all, have been excited about the quality of people that
24	have offered at this time.
25	Obviously, we're but one fraction of the

I

Page 118

1	process. But I think for us to screen people who we know
2	and have extreme confidence that our system's going to be
3	in good hands if they're elected, I think is something that
4	we all take joy and pride in.
5	And so my only problem with you is when I
6	saw G.D. Morgan Jr., I had no idea who it was.
7	MR. MORGAN: Nobody knows my real name.
8	SENATOR SABB: Nobody knows your real name.
9	But your reputation precedes you. And I've been honored
10	and privileged to try cases with you.
11	MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Senator.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You've done so much for
13	Representative Smith today, I'm just telling you.
14	Continue, please. I offer the proxy of Mr. Smith.
15	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Thank you, Mr.
16	Chairman. But, Mr. Morgan, thank you for your offering to
17	serve. And I just want to make an observation here. We
18	started this screening for this seat with a Furman grad, we
19	had a Winthrop grad. Unfortunately, we followed that up
20	with two Wofford grads.
21	And so I am thankful that we have finally
22	gotten to a Citadel grad. So I wish you the best. And if
23	you're able to follow in Judge Stilwell's footsteps,
24	another Citadel grad, I knew based on your experience that
25	you will be a wonderful addition to the to the bench.

1	So thank you for your willingness to serve.
2	MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Mr. Murphy.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And it's not J.D., but
4	it's "Doc."
5	MR. MORGAN: That's just an old nickname.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I mean, not J.D. But
7	"Doc."
8	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: You're not going to
9	tell us what G.D. stands for, are you?
10	MR. MORGAN: I can't in a public hearing.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Gosh darn it, Murrell.
12	MR. MORGAN: I think Mr. Murphy will know
13	this. You know, at The Citadel, when you're a Knob, you
14	have to when you have to go by an upperclassman, you
15	have to what we call "pop off," you know, Cadet Morgan and
16	your initials. So my initials were Cadet Morgan G.D. And
17	so you can imagine the hard time that I got. They didn't
18	like that. So I was glad to get that Knob year out of the
19	way.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Hell Night probably
21	wasn't a lot of fun.
22	MR. MORGAN: No, it wasn't.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: J.D. is what you have.
24	G.D. is what you are legally, but everyone knows you as
25	"Doc."

Page 120

1	MR. MORGAN: That's right. That's right.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And I've never heard of
3	G.D. Morgan either.
4	EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
5	Q. I am interested in your five significant cases
6	you listed, and I just I don't want you to tell us what
7	firm you beat in a plaintiff-friendly venue
8	A. You noticed how I worded that.
9	Q. And I not asking who it is. But however did you
10	prevail representing that trucking company?
11	A. I was very lucky. I had an elderly couple, who
12	was from New Jersey, that witnessed it. And it was a
13	terrible it was a terrible accident on I-95. They were
14	going to see their son graduate from Parris Island, and
15	missed the exit, and I believe backed up and my client,
16	a trucking a trucker hit it and killed them. And it was
17	a terrible, terrible thing.
18	But I had a couple from an elderly couple from
19	New Jersey, who I found and convinced them to come down.
20	And they didn't have to, obviously. They were I
21	couldn't subpoena them or anything like that. And they
22	agreed to come down and testify. Because had I not had
23	them, it was it would have been terrible.
24	Because this was the first of and there was
25	another death. So they agreed to come down. And they

1	testified. And even with them, I was in this particular
2	county, and it was a very tough jury. I just got lucky.
3	Just lucky. I was very lucky. You know, we all get lucky
4	in some trials sometimes. And that was just one of them.
5	Q. And I'm familiar with Jones v. Winn Dixie of
6	Greenville. And I just had to refresh my memory, Judge
7	Shaw affirming the motion that you made, and reversing the
8	judge's not granting it as he should have. If you're
9	successful on the bench, I bet you will not forget this
10	case either.
11	A. I will not. That was a that was over in
12	Winnsboro. And we tried that in Fairfield County, and that
13	was an interesting an interesting case.
14	And the judge I won't name that judge either.
15	But he sua sponte, made me elect remedies. I had a I
16	got a verdict it was a plaintiff's case. I got a
17	verdict on assault and battery and false imprisonment. And
18	he sua sponted, made me elect the remedies, which I
19	objected to.
20	And so I took it up. And, fortunately, the court
21	agreed and said you shouldn't have had to do that. So I
22	got both both of my I got a verdict on assault and
23	battery, and I got a verdict on the false imprisonment. So
24	it worked out pretty well.
25	Q. Your early exchange with a number of our

Γ

1	Commission members here reminded me of my early days as
2	well, and the approachability of the Circuit Court judge,
3	which afforded everyone who would avail themselves of it,
4	either socially when the clerk took the judge out to
5	supper, or the "come on back in chambers and let's talk"
6	kind of camaraderie, an education in a sense of confidence
7	that you could have in approaching the judge.
8	Now, that wasn't to a judge, necessarily. Some
9	you wouldn't want to be anywhere near, let alone go have a
10	cup of coffee with them, for fear of their style, perhaps.
11	But those days are gone, unfortunately.
12	You can't get back to see the judge, with
13	security at the maybe the more modern courthouses, and
14	the judge is not going to want to necessarily talk to the
15	person for fear of being accused of an ex parte
16	communication.
17	So how do you get back to the way it was before
18	it got to the way it is, without violating either security
19	or the canons of ethics?
20	A. I tell you that's a tough thing. And I've been
21	thinking about that. 'Cause that's one thing I really do
22	want to do if, I'm able and fortunate and lucky enough to
23	get on, is I want to somehow figure out a way to bring that
24	back.
25	Now, it's tough because as we all know, those

Page 123

1	roster meetings on Mondays, you know, you got thirty
2	lawyers. That's where we all got to be friends. That's why
3	there's Pete, Andy we were all in a group we even saw
4	each other, waiting on especially on Mondays, we saw
5	each other and we became friends and we'd go across the
6	street to the Elite, and eat breakfast and you know,
7	just we all, you know, were close.
8	And the difficult thing, I think and, again, I
9	hate to blame mediation again, but you go over to over
10	on Monday morning to a roster meeting, it's you know,
11	you got a case over there and you're you may be the only
12	one. There may be another one.
13	And so there are no lawyers there. Whereas, we
13 14	And so there are no lawyers there. Whereas, we would go over here in Richland County and there would be
14	would go over here in Richland County and there would be
14 15	would go over here in Richland County and there would be thirty of us. And they'd be running two courts at the same
14 15 16	would go over here in Richland County and there would be thirty of us. And they'd be running two courts at the same time, and we'd go back into chambers and all. So I have
14 15 16 17	would go over here in Richland County and there would be thirty of us. And they'd be running two courts at the same time, and we'd go back into chambers and all. So I have been thinking about that.
14 15 16 17 18	would go over here in Richland County and there would be thirty of us. And they'd be running two courts at the same time, and we'd go back into chambers and all. So I have been thinking about that. And, obviously, the canons you've got to be
14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>would go over here in Richland County and there would be thirty of us. And they'd be running two courts at the same time, and we'd go back into chambers and all. So I have been thinking about that. And, obviously, the canons you've got to be careful on that. But if I can figure out a way to somehow</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>would go over here in Richland County and there would be thirty of us. And they'd be running two courts at the same time, and we'd go back into chambers and all. So I have been thinking about that.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>would go over here in Richland County and there would be thirty of us. And they'd be running two courts at the same time, and we'd go back into chambers and all. So I have been thinking about that.</pre>

25 lawyer, you know, sitting back and listening to the guys

Γ

Page 124

1	that were our age now, and interacting with the judge and
2	other lawyers, you could learn just sitting there in the
3	corner, you know, scared to death, just listening to
4	just the banter back and forth, and the things you learn.
5	But more than anything is the war stories, you
6	know. You get you know, get back there and everybody
7	would talk about, "Remember that time I was trying a case.
8	Judge, you know directed that verdict?" Just those kind of
9	things.
10	You know, you just I miss that. And I think
11	the young lawyers just they're not going to have the
12	benefit of that. So if I can somehow figure out a way to,
13	you know, bring that back in some fashion, I'm going to
13 14	you know, bring that back in some fashion, I'm going to try.
14	try.
14 15	try. Q. You mentioned the other point of mediation that I
14 15 16	try. Q. You mentioned the other point of mediation that I was going to raise again. And Judge Anderson has written
14 15 16 17	try. Q. You mentioned the other point of mediation that I was going to raise again. And Judge Anderson has written about this. Joe Anderson has written about it,
14 15 16 17 18	try. Q. You mentioned the other point of mediation that I was going to raise again. And Judge Anderson has written about this. Joe Anderson has written about it, effectively, bemoaning the death of the jury trial and the
14 15 16 17 18 19	try. Q. You mentioned the other point of mediation that I was going to raise again. And Judge Anderson has written about this. Joe Anderson has written about it, effectively, bemoaning the death of the jury trial and the voice of the community rendered by or spoken by a jury
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	try. Q. You mentioned the other point of mediation that I was going to raise again. And Judge Anderson has written about this. Joe Anderson has written about it, effectively, bemoaning the death of the jury trial and the voice of the community rendered by or spoken by a jury verdict, and how mediations are just effectively taking
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	try. Q. You mentioned the other point of mediation that I was going to raise again. And Judge Anderson has written about this. Joe Anderson has written about it, effectively, bemoaning the death of the jury trial and the voice of the community rendered by or spoken by a jury verdict, and how mediations are just effectively taking that away.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	try. Q. You mentioned the other point of mediation that I was going to raise again. And Judge Anderson has written about this. Joe Anderson has written about it, effectively, bemoaning the death of the jury trial and the voice of the community rendered by or spoken by a jury verdict, and how mediations are just effectively taking that away. Now, again, you've got two willing and able
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>try. Q. You mentioned the other point of mediation that I was going to raise again. And Judge Anderson has written about this. Joe Anderson has written about it, effectively, bemoaning the death of the jury trial and the voice of the community rendered by or spoken by a jury verdict, and how mediations are just effectively taking that away. Now, again, you've got two willing and able litigants agreeing to accept, agreeing to pay and,</pre>

1	wiping out your jury trial roster, and it becomes now more
2	a non-jury civil docket and a criminal docket.
3	Where effectively I don't know if trials are
4	fewer and fewer. But it's a plea practice now. How do you
5	effectively not discourage mediation, but how do you
6	encourage in your role of the guy who's needing justice,
7	and getting the case moved along, how do you encourage
8	someone absent as you've mentioned in two of your cases,
9	effectively a no-offer case, what do I have to lose, how do
10	you encourage people to try the case?
11	A. I tell you it's a difficult thing to do. And
12	I'll tell you one of the main reasons, and it gets back to
13	exactly what you're talking about, mediation has taken away
14	all the trials, and lawyers are not getting experience, or
15	just scared to try cases.
16	I mean, I think that's why most of these case
17	I know to some degree the carriers are scared of big
18	verdicts, the plaintiffs lawyer are getting pretty good
19	plaintiffs lawyers are getting pretty good offers, 'cause
20	the carriers are a little hesitant to try cases in big
21	verdicts.
22	It doesn't happen all the time, but I think
23	because of that, lawyer young lawyers and medium-age
24	middle-aged lawyers are not trying cases, I think they're
25	to some degree a little don't want to get in there. I

Page 126

1	know a bunch of them that denit want to true a gage
	know a bunch of them that don't want to try a case.
2	So that's that's a difficult thing. If you
3	try and you want to get those lawyers, you want to try to
4	encourage them, don't obviously, we can't encourage them
5	not to settle, but to try to foster of getting back in a
6	courtroom and trying cases.
7	I think the problem we may have is lawyers,
8	themselves, may not want to get in there. Because, again,
9	we've all tried cases and we're not scared of going in
10	there, where I think because not many lawyers are trying
11	cases anymore, the young ones, that may be kind of tough.
12	But I think the mediation, Senator, in all
13	honesty, is that's tough. It really is. You know,
14	again, when you go into a roster meeting on Monday, and
15	you've got one case over there I served jury duty in
16	Greenville, you know, not too long ago, and we were
17	dismissed on Tuesday.
18	And that was nice I didn't have to sit there all
19	week, but I kind of wanted to. I wanted to be there, be a
20	part of the process. But in Greenville, and the same thing
21	here in Richland or anywhere else, on a Monday morning and
22	you got one case to try, or two maybe.
23	So I think to answer your question, it's going to
24	be a difficult process to get the trials back as long as
25	the mediation has taken over, which it has. So I don't

Page 127

1	know what the solution to that is. It really
2	Q. Well, and a final comment, and I'm going to turn
3	it I think there was another question coming up. But I
4	do well remember before mediation was required, that
5	effectively the judge played the mediator, and those who
6	judges who were not afraid to try the case were able to
7	move the people together, perhaps sensing weakness on one
8	side or the other, but the judge effectively we're not
9	going to get this resolved, but you all got to do better
10	than this, again, to either side.
11	You know, you're demanding too much or you're
12	demanding too little I mean, you're not offering enough.
13	Again, it worked on both sides. But perhaps there's a
14	sense that some attorneys might have, that the judge
15	doesn't want to take the time to try that case. That's a
16	long memory, a distinct memory
17	A. I agree. Yeah.
18	Q. So the judge's sense of investment in that case,
19	to not just want to move it along, again, it takes the
20	willing parties on all sides. But I don't sense that
21	you're going to be a judge that will be afraid of trying a
22	case as a judge.
23	A. Absolutely not. I mean, that's why I'm doing
24	this. That really is. I don't want to I'm not doing
25	this to come sit back in chambers. I want to get out there

1	on the bench and try cases.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. That's it for
3	me. Senator Sabb has another question.
4	SENATOR SABB: Actually, Mr. Chairman, I
5	wanted to share a war story. So when I started practicing
6	law 33 years ago, there was a judge named Dan Laney. And
7	in those days, it was not uncommon for judges to yell at
8	the lawyers.
9	And I was watching a case being tried, and
10	Billy Jenkinson was one of the lawyers on the it was a
11	criminal case, and so Billy was defending it. And Judge
12	Laney just yelled at him throughout the course of the
13	trial.
14	I mean, just and in my mind, you know, I
15	was a young lawyer, it just wasn't called for. I couldn't
16	understand it. But the beauty of it was, the lawyer never
17	raised his voice, was always respectful to the court.
18	And so I had an appreciation for the way
19	that the lawyer responded to the Court, and couldn't
20	understand what the Court was doing. But what was
21	fascinating was in those days to have what they call court
22	suppers.
23	So on that Thursday, the Bar would take the
24	judge to usually a lawyer's cabin or something like that,
25	and they'd just eat and enjoy refreshments and those kinds

Page 129

1	of things. And what amazed me was how well the judge and
2	Billy Jenkinson got along out of the courtroom setting.
3	I mean, they were just having fun and, "Oh,
4	I gave you a hard time, didn't I?" I mean, it was just
5	it was really as a young lawyer, it was good for me to
6	see that.
7	And so when I listen to you all talk about
8	the chamber conversations and those kinds of things, then
9	one of the things that we know I mean, when we look at
10	education, and education is not only taught in the
11	classroom.
12	And when I think when it comes to practicing
13	law and understanding relationships and all of those kinds
14	of things, that's not always seen in the courtroom. A lot
15	of that takes place in the chambers and the other
16	interactions that lawyers and judges have.
17	And I heard a comment by one of the members
18	of this body, that one of the other candidates when they
19	talked about yeah, a candidate, but it was a person with
20	a judge, and they talked about the importance of the judge
21	coming to social events, and availing himself to meet other
22	lawyers and let other lawyers kind of see the judge away.
23	Would you be inclined, should you be
24	elected, to engage in that kind of social
25	MR. MORGAN: Absolutely. And one judge, and

Γ

Page 130

1	the one I mentioned that I would think that I would like
2	the follow, is Judge Anderson. Joe Anderson goes to he
3	goes to you know, he goes to all kinds of things. I
4	mean, he is always there.
5	And that's another thing that I like about
б	Judge Anderson is he is you know, he goes to those
7	things and he wants to interact with lawyers. And I think
8	that's we ought to do that.
9	I mean, even a even though he's a
10	judge is a judge on the bench, he was a lawyer he or she
11	was a lawyer at one point. So, you know, we were all
12	we're all lawyers. We're all from the same cloth. So I
13	just because somebody gets on the bench, I don't think they
14	need to stop interacting with other lawyers.
15	And I think that's an important thing. I
16	agree a hundred percent with that. And so, yes, I will
17	if I'm very lucky and fortunate to get on, I will try to do
18	that.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Mr.
20	Safran.
21	MR. SAFRAN: Just I know we've gone a long
22	ways, but I'll say this and thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	But it can happen exactly the way that we would expect it
24	to, where you can have a cordial meeting with a judge, with
25	the coffee or whatever, before you start.

1	I had an experience many years ago with
2	Judge Bristow, who some of us may remember, and basically
3	we had done exactly that: sit around, everybody's talking.
4	As soon as we got on the record, he looked at me and said,
5	"Mr. Safran, you can stay here till tomorrow, you'll never
6	convince me that this is the way it's going to be."
7	And that was the end of the story. But we'd
8	all had a great time leading up to it. And so it can
9	happen and it can work.
10	MR. MORGAN: Yeah.
11	MR. SAFRAN: Thank you.
12	MR. MORGAN: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Representative
14	Rutherford for a few more questions.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Chairman, I just
16	want to make one remark.
17	Mr. Morgan, I'm going to tell you this, is
18	this is the longest screening that we've probably had this
19	entire session this year, and there's nothing negative
20	that's coming out of this screening.
21	So, again, I appreciate your willingness to
22	kind of tell war stories, and for us to enjoy and yearn for
23	the good old days of practicing law. So thank you for
24	coming.
25	MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Mr. Smith.

Γ

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Morgan, have you met
2	Lucy Grey McIver? She's on the front here. She might have
3	a question or two. In fact, she's got some questions for
4	you. Fire away, Lucy Grey.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: He knows her daddy.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So for the record, Todd
7	Rutherford is leaving the boardroom. And now we wrap up,
8	Mr. Morgan, unless you have anything further you'd like to
9	say to Mr. Rutherford as he is making his way out.
10	MR. MORGAN: Nothing further.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. We are going
12	to close this portion of the record. And you know this
13	well, but we take very serious any violations or the
14	appearance of violation of the South Carolina ethics laws,
15	and you know that we could call you back, should we need
16	to, in the unlikely event that something along those lines
17	were to arise.
18	This record is not closed until the formal
19	release of the report of qualifications. And so, again,
20	you understand that, that could happen if we would need to.
21	MR. MORGAN: I do.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Mr. Morgan,
23	thank you for being here. And thank you for being here
24	early. For the record, it is 4:38. We've been going 80
25	no, anyway.

Page 133

1	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: No, it's 2:30 p.m.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you so much. Take
3	care.
4	(Candidate excused.)
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Raise your right hand, if
б	you will. We're going to swear you.
7	WHEREUPON,
8	ANTHONY R. GOLDMAN, being duly sworn and
9	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
10	but the truth.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Come on down
12	here. And make sure that this mic is picking you up. Have
13	a seat there. And we appreciate your being here early. We
14	are right on time. And that is a rare statement.
15	You have before you the PDQ and the sworn
16	statement, correct?
17	MR. GOLDMAN: Yes, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Any changes
19	that need to be made to those before they are put in the
20	record?
21	MR. GOLDMAN: No, sir.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And no objections to them
23	being included with your testimony?
24	MR. GOLDMAN: No, sir.
25	MR. CHAIRMAN: Very well.

Page 134

1	(EXHIBIT NO. 12 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
2	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF ANTHONY
3	R. GOLDMAN)
4	(EXHIBIT NO. 13 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
5	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF ANTHONY R. GOLDMAN)
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Goldman, we in our
7	efforts to investigation your qualifications for this
8	position, have looked at and focus on the nine evaluative
9	criteria. And we also look at the ballot box survey, a
10	thorough study of your application materials, verification
11	of your compliance with the state ethics laws, a search of
12	newspaper articles in which your name appears.
13	And have you previously screened for a
14	position with the state?
15	MR. GOLDMAN: I have not.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. So no prior
17	screenings. But also a check for economic conflicts of
18	interest. No affidavits have been submitted in opposition
19	to your election, and no witnesses have been asked to be
20	present to testify.
21	With that, we now offer to floor to you, to
22	make some brief introductory comments before Mr. Pearce has
23	some questions for you. Take it away.
24	MR. GOLDMAN: This would be the culmination
25	of my legal career. I've worked at the Administrative Law

1	Court for 13 years. And in that time, I've cultivated an
2	expertise in the Administrative Procedures Act. And
3	through the recommendations of some of my coworkers and
4	peers, and my own career interests, I find myself today
5	here before you. And I look forward to this process. And
6	I appreciate I appreciate everybody who's helped me
7	along to get here today.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Thank you.
9	All right. Mr. Pearce.
10	MR. PEARCE: To your knowledge, Mr. Chairman
11	and members of the Commission. I note for the record that
12	based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ,
13	which has been included in the record with the candidate's
14	consent, Mr. Goldman meets the constitutional and/or
15	statutory requirements for this position regarding age,
16	residence, and years of practice.
17	EXAMINATION BY MR. PEARCE:
18	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Goldman.
19	A. Good afternoon.
20	Q. Why do you want to serve as an Administrative Law
21	Court judge, and how do you feel your legal and
22	professional experience thus far will assist you to be an
23	effective judge?
24	A. As I stated in my preliminary comments, I've
25	worked at the Administrative Law Court for 13 years. And

1	in that time I've worked very closely with General
2	Counsel's office as a staff attorney.
3	I worked for Judge the retired Judge The
4	Honorable Judge McLeod from Winnsboro, who is now retired,
5	and I've worked for The Honorable Judge Milton G. Kempson
б	for three and a half years.
7	And as anybody who's ever clerked for a judge
8	knows, it's a very intimate relationship, you work very
9	closely on cases, do a lot of research, review records,
10	draft decisions.
11	And I have gained an expertise in the law at the
12	Administrative Law Court, which is a very specialized area
13	of practice in the State of South Carolina. Not too many
14	attorneys make a career of it. And I have thoroughly
15	enjoyed every minute that I've gone into work at the court
16	over the past 13 years.
17	Prior to starting my career at the Administrative
18	Law Court, I had a career in banking. I have a masters in
19	economics. And I worked at Bank of America at their
20	headquarters in Charlotte. And I thought that, that was
21	the career I wanted until I went to law school and I
22	started working at the court.
23	And I truly can say that I love what I do. And I
24	would like to continue to grow my career at the court. And
25	I feel like I have a lot to offer the State of South

Page 137

1 Carolina. So that is why I would like to be an 2 Administrative Law Court judge. Are there any areas of the law for which you 3 0. 4 would need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge? And how would handle that 5 6 additional preparation? 7 Α. Well, every day at the court, sometimes seems 8 like law school. Because just when you think you've seen 9 it all, sometimes a new issue comes up. But as far as 10 preparation to be a judge on the court, I don't think that 11 there's any more that I need to know about other areas of 12 the law. 13 I think that working under the Administrative 14 Procedures Act for the past 13 years has given me the tool 15 set that I need to be an effective judge, and produce the 16 type of work that I need to, to make sure that cases are 17 adjudicated fairly and properly. 18 Please explain the types of cases you've handled 0. 19 with the Administrative Law Court, and what experiences specifically qualify you for the Administrative Law Court. 20 21 Well, in my 13 years at the court, I think I've Α. 22 seen a case from almost every state agency that we have 23 jurisdiction over. I've sat in many hearings involving the 24 certificate of need cases. 25 I've worked very diligently on cases from the

Page 138

1 South Carolina Department of Revenue, including sales tax 2 cases, county tax matters, matters involving the 3 applications for alcohol licensing in the state, matters 4 dealing with violations for illegal gambling in locations 5 holding alcohol licenses. I've reviewed and drafted orders in many cases 6 7 from the South Carolina Department of Employment and 8 Workforce over the years. The South Carolina Department of 9 Motor Vehicles, involving habitual offenders, DUIs. 10 I could go on and on. But the point I'm trying 11 to make is, I'm very familiar with all the state agencies, 12 and very familiar with their procedures below that, in how 13 cases come before us. 14 What is your vision for the Administrative Law 0. 15 Court? And what changes would you want to see, and why 16 would you want to see those changes made? I think my only vision for it court is for the 17 Α. 18 State of South Carolina to understand how fairly the judges 19 at the Administrative Law Court preside over cases, and how 20 objective they are in making their decisions. 21 And every day that I work at the court, I 22 interact with the public. Because I am the liaison to 23 Judge Kimpson's office, and I think the only vision that I 24 try to live every day, is to let every litigant that's 25 before the court in that we are going to review their case

Page 139

1 thoroughly and fairly. And as far as changes to the court, I don't know 2 3 if I'm in a position, really, to give an adequate answer. 4 Because in my position as a law clerk, I'm not necessarily 5 privy to some of the discussions that go on behind the 6 But I think that the court as it is structured is scenes. 7 a great place. 8 What instances do you see appropriate for the 0. 9 judiciary to work in cooperation with other branches of 10 government? And in what areas must the judiciary stand 11 apart from the other branches of government? 12 Α. As far as working with the other branches of 13 government, I think it's -- the court is in a good position 14 to know where the laws are clear and where the law or 15 procedure could be improved. But I don't think it is the 16 court's position or a judge's position to make rulings 17 beyond what laws have been written for us to follow. 18 You're a candidate in a judiciary race that has 0. 19 generated a lot of interest, with a lot of applicants 20 announcing their candidacy as well. If you were elected 21 Administrative Law Court judge for this seat, how would you describe a successful first term? And what goals would you 22 23 set in order to achieve those successes? 24 The goals that I would set would be to preside Α. 25 over cases and issue decisions in an efficient manner, to

Γ

Page 140

1	do it fairly, and treat everybody courteously.
2	I'm sorry, could you repeat the second half of
3	the question? My apologies.
4	Q. The first part of the question is: How would you
5	describe a successful first term as an Administrative Law
6	Court judge?
7	A. I think a successful first year would entail me
8	just adjudicating the cases that come before me, and
9	meeting my responsibilities on a day-to-day basis, coming
10	into the office and working cases and doing my job.
11	And then maybe after my first term if I were to
12	be reelected, which I'd be thankful for, to try to
13	contribute more to the growth of the court.
14	Q. Mr. Goldman, the Commission received 137 ballot
15	box surveys regarding you. There were 38 additional
16	comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained
17	the following positive comments:
18	"Long administrative court experience. Well
19	qualified. High moral character. Energetic. Very
20	familiar with the workings of Administrative Law Court, and
21	would be a great addition to the Administrative Law Court
22	bench."
23	Five of these written comments expressed
24	concerns. One comment indicated you have spent your entire
25	legal career as an Administrative Law Court law clerk, and

Γ

1	have never tried a case. That commentor further went on to
2	say that you would benefit from some years in private
3	practice. What response what responses would you have
4	to these expressed concerns?
5	A. Well, I have 13 years of experience at the
б	Administrative Law Court. And as I mentioned earlier, it's
7	a very specialized are of practice. We have our own court
8	rules, which are supplemented by the South Carolina court
9	rules.
10	And in my 13 years, I've become very similar with
11	the substantive law for each of the state agencies. For an
12	attorney who's concerned that I haven't practiced law, I
13	talk with attorneys on a daily basis, and I understand the
14	demands of their offices and how they're trying to run a
15	business themselves.
16	We often get continuances, requests other
17	requests for opening up time frames for discovery if they
18	have issues come up with their case. And I'm very
19	sensitive to their needs. And I often, in communicating
20	with them, will make recommendations to the judge.
21	We've never really denied an attorney, and I
22	think that really speaks to the testament to the legal
23	community and the individuals who practice before the
24	court.
25	But I think as far as practicing law, I

I

Page 142

1	understand what it entails. And I think as a judge, I
2	would be sensitive to that in meeting my goals as well.
3	But I'm familiar with the law. And they can rest assured
4	that I understand the case law that's coming before me.
5	And they're going get a fair decision.
6	Q. A second concern indicated you maybe have too
7	cozy of a relationship with lawyers who appear before the
8	Administrative Law Court. What response would you offer to
9	this concern?
10	A. Well, I'd never want there to be a perception
11	that there's any imbalance in how people are treated at the
12	court. But we do have attorneys, I think, from time to
13	time, who appear more often than others. And that's the
14	only reason I could think that somebody would have this
15	concern.
16	And I like to keep things informal. I want
17	attorneys who practice before the court to feel comfortable
18	communicating with the court. And maybe at times I've been
19	too informal and used first names. And that communication
20	might have involved an attorney who's new to the court, and
21	might perceive things to be need to be more formal.
22	And so maybe there was a perception that I was
23	too friendly with an attorney appearing before the court.
24	But that's all I could really say to explain that concern.
25	Q. Mr. Goldman, since submitting your letter of

1	intent have you sought or received the pledge of any
2	legislator, either prior to this date or pending the
3	outcome of your screening?
4	A. I have not.
5	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
6	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
7	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
8	your behalf?
9	A. I am not.
10	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent to run for
11	this judicial seat, have you contacted any members of the
12	Commission about your candidacy?
13	A. I have not.
14	Q. You understand that you are prohibited from
15	seeking a pledge or commitment, directly or indirectly,
16	until 48 hours after the formal release of this
17	Commission's report, and are you aware of the penalties for
18	violating it pledging rules as set forth under South
19	Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(E)?
20	A. Yes, sir. I am aware of those rules.
21	MR. PEARCE: Mr. Chairman, I would note that
22	the Midlands Citizens Committee found Mr. Goldman qualified
23	in the evaluative criteria of constitutional
24	qualifications, physical health and mental stability. They
25	found him well qualified in the evaluative criteria of

1	ethical fitness, professional and academic ability,
2	character, reputation, experience, and judicial
3	temperament.
4	The Committee also added in summary,
5	"Experienced," underlining that word, dash, "well
б	qualified."
7	I would note for the record that any
8	concerns raised during the investigation regarding this
9	candidate were incorporated into the questioning of him
10	today.
11	And, Mr. Chairman, I have no further
12	questions at this time.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Chairman, would
14	you like to preside?
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: No.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Well, Mr.
17	Goldman, I guess I assume the mantle of Chairman over here
18	for a little bit. Any members have questions of Mr.
19	Goldman? Representative Rutherford.
20	EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:
21	Q. Mr. Goldman, tell me, if you would there are a
22	lot of people that would say that in a lot of your
23	ballot box surveys, because you've been a law clerk and
24	have not had the pleasure, and sometimes displeasure, of
25	representing someone in front of a judge, that you may not

1	know what that feels like, and because you don't, that
2	would make a difficult transition for you becoming a judge.
3	Can you speak to that?
4	A. Well, I feel like I would treat everybody fairly.
5	And I've been in the courtroom for hundreds of cases. And
6	I can understand what it's like to feel the pressure when
7	you're in the moment and have to perform. And I feel I
8	that I have the understanding to give attorneys their
9	opportunity to present their case to the court.
10	So I don't think that my 13 years at the court is
11	detrimental to this opportunity. I feel like, if anything,
12	the 13 years in sitting in the courtroom with the judge
13	would give me more experience to understand how the bench
14	operates. Particularly in this instance, we don't have
15	jury trials, we have bench trials.
16	And so, you know, I would be in charge of the
17	courtroom and I would give attorneys every opportunity that
18	they need.
19	Q. But sometimes it's that feeling of having a
20	client that may not have a case, and you're standing there
21	next to them and you really need to judge to explain to him
22	that he does not have a case, while being very careful to
23	demonstrate that the lawyer has done about as fine a job as
24	possible, but the client simply doesn't have a case.
25	And sometimes having been on the receiving end of

Page 146

1	that, or having been on the receiving end of a judge that
2	simply ignores the lawyer and speaks to the client in a
3	very demeaning way, and then having to go outside and
4	explain to the client what the judge really meant, having
5	been through that kind of gives you a feel that you can't
6	get while always sitting on the side of the bench. Can you
7	speak to that?
8	A. Firstly, I would never speak to another member of
9	the Bar in a demeaning manner. And I would be very
10	respectful of every attorney that appears before me. And I
11	can tell you that we have cases all the time where the
12	client doesn't have a case, and we would never put an
13	attorney in that position.
14	We are always mindful that the attorney's just
15	trying to do the best they can for their client. And I
16	would never put an attorney in the position with his
17	client.
18	We I mean, we just had a case the other day,
19	and I can speak to it now because the order's been issued,
20	but we recently put somebody in jail. And we knew from the
21	very beginning that the attorney's client had no case. But
22	the attorney appeared before us, doing the best that he
23	could to represent his client.
24	And we made that attorney feel as if the we're
25	not trying to present a situation where there's smoke and

Page 147

1	mirrors, but we want to generally have the client and the
2	attorney understand that we're here to hear everything that
3	they have to say.
4	Because the client's file documents with the
5	court when they request a case before us, whether it's an
6	appeal or a contested case. But you need to hear
7	everything that a party has to say because you never know
8	what's going to come up at trial.
9	And so I would never have a perception of the
10	case from the beginning, and I would want to hear
11	everything the attorney and his client has to say, in a
12	dignified manner.
13	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Yes, sir. Mr.
14	Safran.
15	EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:
16	Q. Mr. Goldman, first of all, let me tell you that
17	we are extremely appreciative of your offering. I have
18	read the comments that have been made about you in terms of
19	your character, and they are impeccable. I think you are
20	not only described as somebody who is well versed in the
21	law, hardworking and capable, but compassionate and decent.
22	And just hearing your response to Mr.
23	Rutherford's question, I get the same impression that you
24	would have empathy in terms of people that appear in front
25	of you, as well as the people who you know, I guess it's

1	not just the clients, but it's the lawyers too. And I get
2	that completely.
3	What I'm concerned about, and what I need you to
4	kind of help me with is this: You have apparently done an
5	outstanding job in the capacity of a staff attorney and a
6	law clerk, and they have very defined roles. And there's a
7	lot of what you did in those position, certainly lend
8	themselves to being a good judge.
9	What I think the question really is, though, is
10	does not a judge really need to have been someone who
11	experienced it not just watched it, but experienced it
12	from the standpoint of the litigant side of having prepared
13	a case as an advocate and then ultimately presented a case,
14	and more or less kind of had to see the practical aspects
15	of it as opposed to purely the academic or the behind-the-
16	bench aspects of it.
17	I know that there have been many people over the
18	years, myself included, that when I finished clerkship many
19	years ago, I thought I was ready for the bench, I could do
20	this, I'd be great. And then over the course of years, the
21	more I practiced, the more I realized I was completely
22	wrong. I would have been abysmal. Because I hadn't lived
23	it from the standpoint of a practitioner, I hadn't been out
24	in the world, had to put the case together, and had
25	developed, I guess, the sense of it from the other side of

1	the bench.
2	And I know over the course of time judges, and
3	the ALJ court is no different, have become more included to
4	keep people long-term, quote, career clerks. Because they
5	find somebody that works for them, they find somebody that
6	they're happy with, that really serves the purpose.
7	But I guess from my standpoint, there's a
8	fundamental aspect of being a lawyer that requires you to -
9	- as the gentleman before you, who's not in this race
10	talked about being in the trenches, being in the pit,
11	basically having had to go out and experience the other
12	aspects of the case.
13	And I found, personally, that in order to be the
14	kind of judge that you would need to be in any
15	circumstance, that you have to have that experience in
16	order to be able to truly be empathetic, to truly
17	understand some things that maybe go beyond the academic
18	and the book side of it.
19	And so what I'm asking is again, I cannot say
20	anything derogatory about you, because I think you sound
21	like you're a fine person and you're a very bright very
22	bright guy.
23	But how do you compensate for not having that
24	aspect of what we, as lawyers, all kind of consider to be
25	many times an essential part of being a judge, that, that

1	experience from the other end?
2	A. Thank you for the question. And I appreciate it.
3	I think empathy I can have empathy for the attorneys
4	who've gone through the trenches and are practicing before
5	the court.
6	I understand what the needs are in a practice of
7	law. I have many friends who are members of the bench. I
8	communicate the attorneys on a daily basis, and you
9	know, not ex parte but we have communicates regarding their
10	needs.
11	And, you know, often, I'll go back to them and
12	tell them to talk to opposing counsel and come back to us
13	with a proposal. But I understand how hard it is for them
14	to balance a practice. And I understand how hard it is for
15	members of the General Assembly, who are attorneys and
16	practice before us, and then have to serve the state.
17	And I think sometimes, yes, in certain instances
18	in life you need to suffer with other people to understand
19	their suffering. I don't think that, that's the same
20	instance at the Administrative Law Court.
21	It's a very unique area of law under to
22	Administrative Procedures Act, and I have a very sound
23	understanding of it in the 13 years I've been with the
24	court. And I can be very fair and understanding to the
25	attorneys.

Page 151

1	Now, they an attorney might go through a very
2	difficult time in a discovery matter, or producing evidence
3	to the court, but what I can do to the best of my ability
4	is give them an opportunity to build their case. And I
5	don't think that it's necessary that I have suffered
6	practicing property law or doing insurance defense.
7	What I bring to the table is that I feel that I
8	can be an excellent judge and serve the State of South
9	Carolina, with my knowledge of the law that we practice on
10	a daily basis, and that I have used in helping the judges
11	adjudicate cases.
12	Q. Well, and I guess again, that's the question.
13	Really, the entire sum of the career has been helping the
14	judges. And I think to kind of take a little bit of a next
15	step from Representative Rutherford's question is, you
16	don't believe that there is a certain aspect of the
17	practical experience that comes with representing a client,
18	with comes that comes with having to go through the
19	process of preparing a case, of having to deal with the
20	human element of the client, and all the different ends
21	that may necessarily come up in the context of litigation?
22	I mean, isn't there something to be gained from doing that?
23	A. There is certainly that type of experience can
24	prepare you for certain instances. But as far as with the
25	Administrative Law Court, which has bench trials, I think

1	that as long as I'm have an understanding of the demands
2	that an attorney has, in working with his client and
3	bringing his case to the court, what they need from me is
4	my expertise in the procedure and the law, to make the
5	fairest decision for them that I can.
6	Q. Well, and I guess the other question is, is
7	aren't there many instances where you are having a question
8	brought before that court, where you've got to look at not
9	just what the black letter of the law may be, but also what
10	the practical implications of it the application with
11	would?
12	A. I'll give you a very good example of that will
13	get to the heart of your question. Recently we had a case,
14	and I'm still referring to the same one, where we had to
15	put an individual in jail, and we ordered that the client
16	appear before the court. And the attorney appeared before
17	the court without his client, and had to stand before the
18	court and explain that he had asked his client to be
19	present.
20	And I think that's an instance where I've never
21	been in that position practicing law, where I have a matter
22	where my client's about to go to jail, and I ask him to be
23	present and before the court, so that the court might find
24	it its way to be a little bit more lenient.
25	But I understand what the attorney's going

1	through, because we have delved very deep into the record
2	of this case, and the character of this individual, and I
3	feel that I have enough understanding of the case and the
4	client and his attorney, to make sure that we still give
5	him a very fair decision based upon the facts and the
6	record and the law that we have to work with.
7	Q. Well, and, again, I don't want to belabor it. So
8	let me finish it up with this. But again, you talked to
9	me, as you should at this time, in the context of "we."
10	Meaning, at the end of the day you're not making the
11	decisions.
12	Up to now, these decisions have been made where
13	you certainly have made contributions to the decision-
14	maker. But do you not see that there's a different role
15	between the one who's putting the information in front of
16	the decision-maker, and becoming the decision-maker
17	himself?
18	A. Certainly, the judge at the end of the day is the
19	individual who renders the decision. But I can tell you in
20	my experience at the Administrative Law Court, especially
21	as I've added to my tenure there, that I have worked cases
22	very thoroughly to the point where I put it before the
23	judge for his review and my recommendation is most often
24	followed, if not most of the time.
25	And I'm not saying that the judges aren't doing

Page 154

1	
1	the work. The judges work incredibly hard. We all do.
2	But I have been given a great responsibility as the clerk
3	over the years.
4	Q. Sure. No, and I think with good reason. Because
5	I'm sure you have demonstrated to them, a level of
6	confidence that they want to that they feel very
7	comfortable relying on. But I appreciate your responses
8	and certainly thank you for offering.
9	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Mr. Goldman,
10	thank you so much. And this concludes that portion this
11	portion of your screening process. And I want to take this
12	opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the Commission's
13	evaluative criteria, the Commission expects candidates to
14	follow the spirit as well as the letter of the ethics laws.
15	And we will view violations or the
16	appearance of impropriety as serious and potentially
17	deserving of heavy weight in screening deliberations.
18	On that note, as you know, the record will
19	remain open until the formal release of the report of
20	qualifications. And you may be called back at such time as
21	the need arises.
22	And I thank you for offering and I thank you
23	for your service to South Carolina.
24	MR. GOLDMAN: Thank you very much for having
25	me here today.

Г

Page 155

1	(Candidate excused.)
2	(Off the record from 3:31 p.m. to 3:42 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Lawrence?
4	MS. LAWRENCE: Hello.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Stephanie Lawrence? Is
б	that correct?
7	MS. LAWRENCE: That's correct.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will, please stand
9	for me and raise your right hand.
10	WHEREUPON,
11	STEPHANIE LAWRENCE, being duly sworn and
12	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
13	but the truth.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you, ma'am. Before
15	you, as you sit back down, thank you, you have your
16	personal data questionnaire and sworn statement; is that
17	correct?
18	MS. LAWRENCE: Yes, I do.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any changes need to be
20	made to those?
21	MS. LAWRENCE: There were two changes. One
22	at the time I filled this out, my son was 18. And he's
23	since turned 19. So that needs to be changed.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you can make that
25	change on the record, as you just had. We don't need to

Γ

1	write that in. Other than that, any other changes?
2	MS. LAWRENCE: Not that I can recall.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You don't object to those
4	being made a part of the record?
5	MS. LAWRENCE: No, I do not.
б	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Caroline will grab those
7	from you now.
8	(EXHIBIT NO. 16 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
9	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF
10	STEPHANIE LAWRENCE)
11	(EXHIBIT NO. 17 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
12	COMMISSION AMENDED PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF
13	STEPHANIE LAWRENCE)
14	(EXHIBIT NO. 18 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
15	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF STEPHANIE LAWRENCE)
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Lawrence, our
17	investigation of your qualification has included and
18	focused on the nine evaluative criteria, and has included a
19	ballot box survey, a thorough study of your application
20	materials, verification of your compliance with state
21	ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in which your
22	name appears, and a check for economic conflicts of
23	interest. You have not screened before?
24	MS. LAWRENCE: I have not. This is my first
25	time.

Page 157

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. I look forward
2	to hearing from you, here in just a moment. No affidavits
3	have been filed in opposition to your campaign or election,
4	and no witnesses are present to testify for you or against
5	you.
6	I do note that you have a couple folks with
7	you, perhaps.
8	MS. LAWRENCE: I do.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Have those folks stand and
10	introduce themselves, if you will
11	MS. LAWRENCE: This gentleman here is my
12	husband, Anthony Lawrence.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: F.A.?
14	MS. LAWRENCE: Anthony Lawrence.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I'm sorry. I'm old and
16	can't hear. Mr. Lawrence, take your face mask down. Let's
17	see your good-looking face. I haven't had that opportunity
18	I haven't had the opportunity to say that about a
19	husband. It's been the wives that I so an equal
20	opportunity
21	MR. LAWRENCE: It's all because of her.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: That smile is bright.
23	Thank you.
24	MR. LAWRENCE: Good to see everyone. Thank
25	you so much.

Page 158

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yes, sir. And?
2	MS. LAWRENCE: And this is my friend and
3	colleague, Kristian Cross. She and I used to practice
4	together and Dickie McCamey.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Welcome. I
6	recognize you. Super. Thank you all both for being here.
7	All right. Now, Ms. Lawrence, you have the
8	opportunity to make a brief opening statement, if you like.
9	Otherwise, we'll turn it over to Ms. Barton for questions.
10	MS. LAWRENCE: No, it's pretty late. Thank
11	you for having me. And I appreciate your service.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you very much. Ms.
13	Barton?
14	MS. BARTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
15	note for the record that based on the testimony contained
16	in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the
17	record with the candidate's consent, Candidate Stephanie
18	Lawrence meets the constitutional and statutory
19	requirements for this position regarding age, residence,
20	and years of practice.
21	EXAMINATION BY MS. BARTON:
22	Q. Ms. Lawrence, why do you now want to serve as the
23	Administrative Law Court judge, and how do you feel your
24	legal and professional experience thus far will assist you
25	to be an effective judge?

Page 159

-	
1	A. Well, I want to be a judge because of the
2	tremendous respect I have for our judicial system and the
3	role that judges play in that. I want to be an
4	Administrative Law Court judge, because my preference is
5	administrative law is what I'm interested in and is what I
6	like what I like to do.
7	My experience thus far, I think, collectively,
8	has prepared me for this role. I started off practicing at
9	Boykin & Davis Law Firm as an education attorney. I
10	represented school districts, higher education
11	institutions, and small municipalities across the State of
12	South Carolina.
13	That litigation initially started off at an
14	administrative level. It would be before school boards,
15	hearing officers, reviewed by the South Carolina Human
16	Affairs Commission, reviewed by EEOC.
17	Also during that time, I was assigned to work
18	with an attorney who came to us from LLR, who prosecuted
19	OSHA citations. Those matters were before administrative
20	agency was before LLR, and they were appealable to the
21	Administrative Law Court.
22	I sat second chair with that attorney, her name
23	was Shunna Vance, on a couple of those matters having to
24	deal with serious and other-than-serious OSHA citations for
25	excavation sites.

Page 160

1	Also during that time, I appeared before LLR for
2	life issues for administrators of long-term healthcare
3	facilities. And then from there I went on to practice
4	workers' compensation defense before the South Carolina
5	Workers' Compensation Commission.
6	And anyone who's practiced workers' compensation
7	law, or knows anything about workers' compensation law in
8	the state, would know that our commission is very similar
9	to the Administrative Law Court in the way the proceedings
10	are handled and the way the practice is done there.
11	In addition to the South Carolina Worker's
12	Compensation Act, as guided by the Administrative
13	Procedures Act, South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure,
14	and although they're not obligated to the South Carolina
15	Rules of Evidence they're their commissioners are
16	attorneys and everyone follows those rules.
17	And then now I sit as a hearing officer as an
18	administrative hearing officer for one of our state
19	agencies, the South Carolina Department of Education. I
20	preside over matters for them concerning teacher
21	certifications revocations and suspensions for
22	unprofessional conduct matters. That too those are full
23	evidentiary hearings that I preside over.
24	And there Administrative Procedures Act, as
25	followed in the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure,

1	the South Carolina Rules of Evidence. I prepare a report
2	and recommendations following those hearings which are
3	being considered and reviewed by the State Board of
4	Education for their final decision. And then those final
5	decisions are directly appealable to the Administrative Law
6	Court.
7	So I'm already hearing matters, now, that are
8	heard before our Administrative Law Court. And I feel
9	collectively those things all position me and qualify me
10	for a seat on this court.
11	Q. Thank you. Ms. Lawrence, are there any areas of
12	the law for which you would need additional preparation in
13	order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge? And
14	how would you handle that additional preparation?
15	A. Well, sure. As you know, our Administrative Law
16	Court, the scope of this jurisdiction is very broad. It's
17	
	probably easier to name the types of cases it doesn't hear
18	probably easier to name the types of cases it doesn't hear than to remember the exhaustive lists of cases that come
18 19	
	than to remember the exhaustive lists of cases that come
19	than to remember the exhaustive lists of cases that come before it.
19 20	than to remember the exhaustive lists of cases that come before it. So I'm sure that there is going to be a learning
19 20 21	than to remember the exhaustive lists of cases that come before it. So I'm sure that there is going to be a learning curve there. It's not one that I'm concerned about. I've
19 20 21 22	<pre>than to remember the exhaustive lists of cases that come before it. So I'm sure that there is going to be a learning curve there. It's not one that I'm concerned about. I've had to transition between different areas of law before. I</pre>
19 20 21 22 23	<pre>than to remember the exhaustive lists of cases that come before it. So I'm sure that there is going to be a learning curve there. It's not one that I'm concerned about. I've had to transition between different areas of law before. I plan to do it the same way, and that is with a diligent</pre>

Page 162

1	I also plan to observe hearings and call on other
2	more senior leaders of the bench as needed. And I've
3	already started reviewing decisions by that court.
4	Q. Thank you. Ms. Lawrence, please explain the
5	types of cases that you have handled before the
6	Administrative Law Court, and what experience specifically
7	qualify you for the Administrative Law Court.
8	A. So cases I've handled before the Administrative
9	Law Court, the ones I mentioned earlier, I sat second
10	chair, of course, on OSHA citation issues that came before
11	the Administrative Law Court.
12	And I now hear cases as an administrative hearing
13	officer for the Department of Education, those cases are
14	appealable to the Administrative Law Court.
15	Q. Thank you. Could you tell us what is your vision
16	for the Administrative Law Court, and what changes would
17	you advocate, and why?
18	A. Well, my vision for the court is basically to
19	continue its current mission, which is to provide a new
20	a neutral arena for persons who are affected by decisions
21	or possible action of state agencies to have an opportunity
22	for a fair and prompt hearing.
23	As far as changes, I don't know if I will presume
24	any changes without having an opportunity to observe the
25	court, serve on the court, and at least have conversations

Page 163

1	with those members who have been long-term serving to see
2	what the needs are.
3	Q. Thank you. Ms. Lawrence, the Commission received
4	90 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 13 additional
5	comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained
6	the following positive comments:
7	"Temperament is unmatched. She is a brilliant
8	lawyer and a kind person. She is she is very organized,
9	thorough, patient, and a zealous advocate. Her attention
10	to detail along with her calm, steady demeanor would be
11	well served on the bench. And she has an amazing work
12	ethic."
13	One of the written comments expressed
13 14	one of the written comments expressed concern about your lack of experience before the ALC. What
14	concern about your lack of experience before the ALC. What
14 15	concern about your lack of experience before the ALC. What response would you offer to this concern?
14 15 16	concern about your lack of experience before the ALC. What response would you offer to this concern? A. I think that my experience my long-term
14 15 16 17	<pre>concern about your lack of experience before the ALC. What response would you offer to this concern? A. I think that my experience my long-term experience practicing before the South Carolina Workers'</pre>
14 15 16 17 18	<pre>concern about your lack of experience before the ALC. What response would you offer to this concern? A. I think that my experience my long-term experience practicing before the South Carolina Workers' Compensation Commission more than make makes up for</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>concern about your lack of experience before the ALC. What response would you offer to this concern? A. I think that my experience my long-term experience practicing before the South Carolina Workers' Compensation Commission more than make makes up for that.</pre>
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	<pre>concern about your lack of experience before the ALC. What response would you offer to this concern? A. I think that my experience my long-term experience practicing before the South Carolina Workers' Compensation Commission more than make makes up for that. As I said before, practicing before the</pre>
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	<pre>concern about your lack of experience before the ALC. What response would you offer to this concern? A. I think that my experience my long-term experience practicing before the South Carolina Workers' Compensation Commission more than make makes up for that.</pre>
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<pre>concern about your lack of experience before the ALC. What response would you offer to this concern? A. I think that my experience my long-term experience practicing before the South Carolina Workers' Compensation Commission more than make makes up for that.</pre>

Page 164

Since submitting your letter of intent have you sought or
received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this
date or pending the outcome of your screening?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
your behalf?
A. No, I have not. And no, I am not.
Q. Since submitting your letter of intent to run for
this seat, have you contacted any members of the Commission
about your candidacy?
A. I have. Initially, before he was a member of
this commission, I sent a introductory letter to Senator
Talley.
Q. That was prior to him being announced as a
member.
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Do you understand that you are prohibited from
seeking a pledge or commitment, directly or indirectly,
until 48 hours are the formal release of the Commission's
report, and are you aware of the penalties for violating
the pledge pledging rules?
A. I do. And I am.

1	Citizens Committee found Ms. Lawrence qualified in the
2	evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications,
3	physical health and mental stability. The Committee found
4	her well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical
5	fitness, professional and academic ability, character,
6	reputation, experience, and judicial temperament.
7	The Committee stated in summary, "Very
8	extensive experience with ALC."
9	I would just note for the record that any
10	concerns raised during the investigation regarding the
11	candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the
12	candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions
13	at this time.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Questions by
15	members of the Commission? Senator Talley.
16	EXAMINATION BY SENATOR TALLEY:
17	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Lawrence. Thank you for
18	being here. I was just looking at your PDQ, and the AFR
19	Hearing Services entity you started earlier this year. And
20	you've talked about conducting hearings for the Department
21	of Education. Are you currently doing that for any other
22	state agencies?
23	A. I'm not. I'm doing it for the Department of
24	Education, currently.
25	Q. And you're acting as the hearing officer for the

Γ

Page 166

1	Department of Education; is that correct?
2	A. I am.
3	Q. I was just wondering when I read this, are you
4	serving as counsel or for other agency hearing officers?
5	A. Am I serving as counsel for other agencies?
6	Q. For hearing officers of other agencies.
7	A. No, I'm not.
8	Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. And, you know, I
9	just made this other comment to one of the questions that
10	you were asked. We obviously look at a lot of information
11	that's submitted, and that ballot box comment was sort of a
12	one-offer.
13	You didn't have anybody that spoke or responded,
14	that said that you were unqualified as far as experience or
15	temperament. And I think that speaks volumes much more
16	than one other comment. So thank you for offering and
17	being here today.
18	A. Thank you. It's always good to hear what people
19	say about you when they don't have to say who they are.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Smith.
21	EXAMINATION BY VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH:
22	Q. This is probably not so much towards your
23	qualifications as it is to my own curiosity. What is AFR
24	Hearing Services? I understand you're a hearing officer.
25	They have a is that a do they contract it out to

1	somebody?
2	A. So that is my company that I started this year.
3	And I started it for the purpose of getting some judicial
4	experience. I knew the I wanted to run for a judicial
5	seat.
6	And I'm a planner. I wanted to kind of try the
7	role on a little bit. And so it's a AFR stands for A
8	Firm Resolution Hearing Services. And I do contract out as
9	an attorney hearing officer.
10	I've been contracting out with the South Carolina
11	Department of Education for their teacher certification
12	matters, and I started doing some of their special
13	education due process. It just they were looking for
14	someone, I had the background, and I just I was able to
15	help them out.
16	Q. And I have the unenviable task of sitting next to
17	Representative Rutherford, who talks the entire time that I
18	sit over here, so forgive me if this if you answered
19	this question.
20	A. That's okay.
21	Q. But so are you also practicing law outside of
22	that hearing service?
23	A. No, I'm not.
24	Q. Okay. Well, I was looking at your PDQ, and it
25	looked like you stopped in January of this year, and then

ĺ	
1	you start was it to start this service?
2	A. It was.
3	Q. Okay. That's interesting. What did the what
4	did the Department of Education do before that? Did they
5	have a dedicated hearing officer?
6	A. Well, they've always contracted out with
7	different hearing officers. And they had a spot that came
8	open they had a couple people leave, I guess, and a spot
9	came open.
10	And a colleague of mine knew that I was stopping
11	practice, and that I wanted to run for a judicial seat, and
12	knew about their need and kind of connected us. And it
13	I knew some of those people from working before in
14	educational law, and so it was just a matter of coming back
15	in but from a different perspective.
16	Before, I used to be representing the school
17	districts at those hearings. And now I sit and preside
18	over them.
19	Q. Well, I always say I thought I had a lot of
20	knowledge about state government. But, you know, I learned
21	something, today, I never knew, that you had your
22	hearing officers were contracted out.
23	I'm sure you said this, but, you know, you look
24	at your career and you know, you graduated law school, I
25	think, 2003? Is that right?

1	A. 2006.
2	Q. '6. But you practiced with Boykin & Davis, which
3	is you know, I've handled a number of cases with them in
4	the past, doing insurance reserve fund work and school
5	district work. And so you got a lot of experience.
6	Were you doing that type of defense work? Or
7	were you also doing advising school districts too?
8	A. Yes. I was doing the defense work for school
9	districts, for some higher ed institutions, some technical
10	colleges, South Carolina State University at the time, and
11	some small towns and municipalities.
12	But we were also handling the day-to-day needs of
13	the school districts. Which it's like being general
14	counsel, there's always something going on. It's a 24/7
15	job. And it's actually what how I ended up going to
16	workers' comp.
17	It's kind of the nature of the practice, and
18	then that guy's fault, 'cause we had a second child, and
19	then all of a sudden it wasn't so feasible to do you
20	know, at board meetings at midnight in Allendale County.
21	And so I had to shift my practice.
22	Q. Okay. And then, obviously, I saw you shifted
23	your practice toward where most young lawyers go, McAngus,
24	to learn how to do workers' comp work. And then you went
25	there and, again, had to learn the APA and everything that

1	goes with that.
2	And is that where and the last, were you of
3	counsel the last firm, again, where you just mainly were
4	practicing workers' comp and employment law? I think you
5	already said
6	A. Is that Dickie McCamey?
7	Q. Yeah.
8	A. Yeah. So since I went to McAngus, since then I
9	only practiced workers' comp after that.
10	Q. Have you ever appeared in front of the
11	Administrative Law Court before?
12	A. I have during my time at Boykin & Davis.
13	Q. All right. I made one appearance one time. And
14	I embarrassed myself, so I've never come back. So you
15	won't ever worry about seeing me over there. All right.
16	And lastly, we talked about this and you know,
17	to some degree it's also here with Administrative Law
18	Courts, and, you know, I you've got a family, you've got
19	a law practice, and you've got a life outside of it law.
20	And, you know, when you I'm always interested
21	when people are judges and you know, all of us lawyers
22	get busy.
23	And I'm from Sumter, so I do a small-town
24	practice where I do a little bit of everything. I had
25	courts I'm in magistrates court, or I could be in a

Γ

Page 171

1	General Sessions court, or I could be in a civil court.
2	I mean and so we all get stretched thin to practice law.
3	Unfortunately, I think the hourly rate of
4	practicing law goes down instead of going up these days.
5	So, you know, oftentimes I'm faced with a situation that I
6	get a couple weeks' notice that I've got a motion over
7	here, or we're going to be on a trial roster. And, you
8	know, unfortunately our calendars don't work in one-week
9	increments, they work in months and years ahead about.
10	And so I if we have a deposition where we're
11	all having to go to Florida to take an expert's deposition,
12	or we got things that come up, and even in the personal
13	life where the you know, you got a child who's got a
14	soccer game at five o'clock, but the hearing's going on at
15	five.
16	How are you going to handle those conflicts of
17	and stressors that we all feel as lawyers, and how are you
18	going to work handle that when those are presented to
19	you?
20	A. I'm sensitive to that. That happened to me
21	plenty as a practicing attorney, practicing workers'
22	compensation all across the state of South Carolina. So
23	I've had that happen a time or two. And balancing that
24	with the needs of my family, I'm sensitive to it.
25	I'm the type of person who will accommodate and

1	consider those needs of the attorneys practicing in front
2	of me, and just as long as I'm sensitive to the docket as
3	well.
4	Q. And I presume what you're saying is you've got to
5	balance, you know, what's before you, and also you've got
6	to dispose of cases.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. They can't sit over there and grow mold. Okay.
9	All right. Well, I appreciate you offering. I appreciate
10	your service to the State of South Carolina. And I also
11	appreciating you educating me on the hearing officer
12	process over at the Department of Education.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Murphy.
14	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Thank you, Mr.
15	Chairman.
16	And thank you, Ms. Lawrence, for offering to
17	serve. But I just want to commend you for realizing a
18	dream that you wanted to be a judge. That's something that
19	you aspired to after your career in private practice. And
20	you started the AFH business in order to get the judicial
21	experience; is that correct?
22	MS. LAWRENCE: That's correct.
23	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: And we see a lot of
24	candidates that you know, we also talk to them and say,
25	you know, "Maybe you need to try to do something to get to

1	experience, you know, to make yourself more rounded."
2	And so I just want to commend you for that.
3	I think that's that's just great. And I appreciate you
4	doing that. I just was looking through your PDQ, and I
5	noticed you were born in Vicksburg?
6	MS. LAWRENCE: Yes.
7	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: And you went to
8	Hinds Community College about the I was at Mississippi
9	College School of Law.
10	MS. LAWRENCE: Oh, you were right down the
11	street, around the corner.
12	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Yes, ma'am. At the
13	same time. So I was curious as to what brought you to
14	South Carolina after. 'Cause you went to Southern
15	University, then Mississippi State University, and then
16	finished up at Carolina. So what brought you to South
17	Carolina?
18	MS. LAWRENCE: I'm laughing because I'm
19	going to have to turn again.
20	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: That guy?
21	MS. LAWRENCE: That guy. Yeah. We started
22	dating our senior year at Mississippi State, and he
23	interviewed up and down the East Coast and ended up
24	settling on an architectural firm here in Columbia. He
25	took that job and I followed him here.

Page 174

1	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Okay. Well, I'm
2	from here, met my wife she's from Texas, in law school
3	in Jackson, and she followed me back. So it was a win-win
4	situation for both of us. So thank you for offering to
5	serve.
6	MS. LAWRENCE: Thank you.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: I'll just ask her one
8	question. You went to Mississippi State?
9	MS. LAWRENCE: Go Dogs.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. I just did
11	you ring all those obnoxious cowbells when y'all beat
12	Carolina?
13	MS. LAWRENCE: I ring it every time we
14	scored a touchdown. Ask the guys at McAngus, it used to
15	drive them crazy. I carried it to the office.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Was that the team that
17	came to South Carolina and beat Spurrier so badly his last
18	year?
19	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: No, that was A&M.
20	MR. CHAIRMAN: Texas A&M. Good God.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: That name is worse.
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, there was no cowbells.
23	But Good God, there was a stampede. Ms. Lawrence, I
24	remember you. And I'm fast and furious trying to recall
25	MS. LAWRENCE: We had a case together.

I

Page 175

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: the name of the case.
2	And I knew when I saw Dickie McCamey, I knew instantly. I
3	
	can't find my client's name. But anyway, I remember you
4	fondly at the deposition, and a wonderful resolution with a
5	smile.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: You must have paid
7	him.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I'm talking about your
9	smile. It does not pain me it does not pain me to
10	mention your smile. But anyway, I remember. And I
11	literally have your cell number. I will not publish it for
12	the record, but I looked to see.
13	You have been in the throws of an active,
14	active, killer defense practice. You and your partner,
15	frontiersman, frontiers ladies with a wonderful reputation.
16	And Kenya the two of you set off and opened that office
17	with them, correct?
18	MS. LAWRENCE: Well, we left McAngus
19	together to start our own practice, and had no idea that we
20	would end up merging the Dickie McCamey. We were minding
21	our own business and we had, had some clients in contact
22	with another firm out of Charlotte.
23	And a member of the firm contacted us
24	because Dickie McCamey wanted a South Carolina office. And
25	so they'd heard about us, they came to us, courted us for

I

Page 176

1	about a year, and then we decided to merge with them.
2	It was kind of a blessing that happens in
3	the middle of your plan. And it's been great. I mean,
4	that experience has allowed me to be able to sit before you
5	guys today and feel qualified for this seat.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, again, a personal
7	sense of incredible professionalism. You're doing a great
8	job fighting for your client. But you have that human
9	quality that, again, allowed you to be as fair to the
10	opponent as you would want to be treated by the other side.
11	So I was I would be impressed. And I'm
12	so glad your husband did come here, because otherwise we
13	wouldn't be seeing you here. So will you give him a little
14	love for that right now? Just a little love on the record.
15	All right. Pete Strom.
16	MR. STROM: Just quickly. Thank you, Mr.
17	Chairman. I just want to say I was looking through your
18	letter reference letters, and I see that you have one
19	from Commissioner Aisha Taylor, a workers' comp
20	commissioner. And I hold her in very high regard. And she
21	wrote a very kind letter about you. That goes a long way
22	with me, so
23	MS. LAWRENCE: Thank you. She's the best.
24	MR. STROM: Yeah, she is. Please tell her I
25	said "Hello."

Page 177

1	MS. LAWRENCE: I will.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Senator Sabb.
3	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And
4	it's interesting that Mr. Strom highlighted that letter,
5	because I was going to highlight it as well. I agree with
6	him, I think it speaks volumes. Very well written.
7	You know she talks about the 17 years the
8	two of you have known each other and all of that, so I
9	really enjoyed mentioning it. So I guess it bears
10	repeating.
11	And so I'm glad to see you. Glad that
12	you're offering. And I also wanted to echo the sentiments
13	of Chris, who talked about this idea of preparing for a
14	moment. And I think that was very insightful on your part.
15	And the other thing that I noticed is your
16	Greek-dome. And so I wanted to let you know, although I
17	wear purple and gold, I wore pink and green for a long
18	period until after the election, okay? And so
19	MS. LAWRENCE: Thank you.
20	SENATOR SABB: I was in AKA for a period of
21	time in my life.
22	MS. LAWRENCE: I have it with me here today.
23	Yes.
24	SENATOR SABB: Amen. Thank you, Mr.
25	Chairman.

I

Page 178

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. All right.
2	Unless there are other comments from the Commission
3	members, Ms. Lawrence, this will conclude this portion of
4	your screening process. And you are to be reminded that we
5	in this commission are very serious about both the letter
6	and the spirit of the South Carolina ethics laws.
7	Any violation or the appearance of
8	impropriety will be deemed very serious and deserving of
9	heavy weight in a subsequent screening deliberation.
10	You're aware of that. I just want to get your
11	acknowledgment of that on the record.
12	MS. LAWRENCE: I am aware.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you understand that
14	this record will remain open until the formal release of
15	the report of qualifications. We could call you back in
16	the unlikely event that, that would occur.
17	And with that, Ms. Lawrence, and your most
18	lucky husband, and your dear friend, we thank you all for
19	being a part of this today and being with us.
20	MS. LAWRENCE: Thank you all. I appreciate
21	it.
22	(Candidate excused.)
23	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. We're
24	going to go back on the record. And, Mr. Reibold, I
25	appreciate you being here today. How are you doing?

1	MR. REIBOLD: I'm good. Thank you very
2	much.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: It doesn't appear that
4	you brought anybody. I think when we've seen you before,
5	you bring your wife every once in a while, or probably
б	every time.
7	MR. REIBOLD: I have brought my wife. But
8	she was supposed to have a job interview today. She's a
9	Covid job casualty, so she had to go try to find work.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, sorry to hear
11	that, but certainly understandable. So will you raise your
12	right hand, please, sir.
13	WHEREUPON,
14	ROBERT L. REIBOLD, being duly sworn and
15	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
16	but the truth.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: You can have a seat,
18	Mr. Reibold. Hopefully, before you they probably did
19	that while we were talking you have your PDQ and your
20	sworn statement. Are those both documents that you
21	submitted to the Commission?
22	MR. REIBOLD: Yes, sir.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are there any
24	amendments or updates that need to be made to those at this
25	time?

Γ

Page 180

1	MR. REIBOLD: I have one slight amendment.
2	Since I submitted my application, I've taken a CLE that
3	would not have been reflected in my report. It was
4	Administrative Regulatory Law CLE on regulation of the
5	liquor industry.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. We'll let that
7	be an amendment that will be on the record. And do you
8	have any objection to us making these two documents a part
9	of your sworn testimony here today?
10	MR. REIBOLD: No, sir.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Without
12	objection, we're going to mark those as exhibits.
13	(EXHIBIT NO. 19 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
14	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF ROBERT
15	L. REIBOLD)
16	(EXHIBIT NO. 20 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
17	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF ROBERT L. REIBOLD)
18	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr.
19	Reibold, the Judicial Merit Selection Commission has
20	thoroughly investigated your qualifications for the bench.
21	As you know, our inquiry focuses on the nine evaluative
22	criteria. And I believe you've familiar with those.
23	And so, also, in addition to those
24	evaluative criteria we've include we've reviewed a
25	ballot your ballot box survey, a thorough study of your

Γ

1	of your application materials, verification of your
2	compliance with state ethics laws, a search of newspaper
3	articles in which your name appears, a study of previous
4	screenings, and checks for economic conflicts of interest.
5	There are no affidavits that have been filed
6	in regards to your election, and there are no witnesses
7	here to testify today. So do you wish to make a brief
8	opening statement?
9	MR. REIBOLD: No, you all are here on
10	it's been a long day and a long week, so let's go ahead and
11	get to it.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you
13	very much. Answer any questions Counsel may have for you.
14	MS. BENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
15	note for the record that based on the testimony contained
16	in Mr. Reibold's PDQ, which has been included in the record
17	with that candidate's consent, he meets the constitutional
18	and statutory requirements for this position regarding age,
19	residence, and years of practice.
20	EXAMINATION BY MS. BENSON:
21	Q. Mr. Reibold, why do you want to serve as an
22	Administrative Law Court judge? And how do you feel that
23	your legal and professional experience thus far will assist
24	you to be an effective judge?
25	A. A two-part question. Well, I'll start with the

Γ

1	first the first one. To me this is not about a job or a
2	title. I've got a comfortable position where I am. The
3	robe is not a cloak of authority. The robe is a mantle of
4	responsibility in my view.
5	Judges do meaningful work. They protect the law.
6	They protect our rights. And I have always felt, as you
7	can see by the fact that I'm here again, that this is
8	important work, it's meaningful work, it's work that I want
9	to do.
10	I want to do a meaningful work with my life. And
11	the administrative law judge position provides an avenue
12	for it, and I think it does fit with my training and
13	experience.
13 14	experience. Q. Thank you. Is there any additional preparation
	-
14	Q. Thank you. Is there any additional preparation
14 15	Q. Thank you. Is there any additional preparation that you feel that you need in order to serve as an
14 15 16	Q. Thank you. Is there any additional preparation that you feel that you need in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you go about
14 15 16 17	Q. Thank you. Is there any additional preparation that you feel that you need in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you go about obtaining it?
14 15 16 17 18	Q. Thank you. Is there any additional preparation that you feel that you need in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you go about obtaining it? A. Well, let me go back. Because the second part of
 14 15 16 17 18 19 	Q. Thank you. Is there any additional preparation that you feel that you need in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you go about obtaining it? A. Well, let me go back. Because the second part of that question was how does my training and experience
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Q. Thank you. Is there any additional preparation that you feel that you need in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you go about obtaining it? A. Well, let me go back. Because the second part of that question was how does my training and experience prepare me to an be an ALJ, I think these go together. And
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Q. Thank you. Is there any additional preparation that you feel that you need in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you go about obtaining it? A. Well, let me go back. Because the second part of that question was how does my training and experience prepare me to an be an ALJ, I think these go together. And the Administrative Law Court judges handle essentially
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Q. Thank you. Is there any additional preparation that you feel that you need in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you go about obtaining it? A. Well, let me go back. Because the second part of that question was how does my training and experience prepare me to an be an ALJ, I think these go together. And the Administrative Law Court judges handle essentially three types of matters: one is appeals of administrative
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Q. Thank you. Is there any additional preparation that you feel that you need in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you go about obtaining it? A. Well, let me go back. Because the second part of that question was how does my training and experience prepare me to an be an ALJ, I think these go together. And the Administrative Law Court judges handle essentially three types of matters: one is appeals of administrative decisions; one is they preside over contested cases from

Γ

Page 183

1	I'll take the appellate level first. My very
2	first job out of law school was a law clerk for Judge
3	Kinard. And at that time, which unbelievable to me is 25
4	years ago, appeals from agencies came straight to the
5	Circuit Court.
6	And so right out the gate I handed several
7	appeals of administrative decisions the Judge Kinard, and
8	became real familiar with the substantial evidence
9	standard.
10	In private practice I've done a varied amount of
11	appellate work. I've argued cases, appeals of magistrates
12	court decisions, in Circuit Court. I've argued in front of
13	the Court of Appeals.
14	I've argued in front of the South Carolina
15	Supreme Courts. I've even argued a patent appeal in front
16	of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal
17	Circuit in D.C.
18	I can't tell you how many briefs I've been a part
19	of. I've got three pending appeals right now. So I feel I
20	have a fair amount of appellate experience that could apply
21	to that role at the ALJ.
22	The other facet that they do is the contested
23	cases. And these are in these matters, they're the
24	judge of law and the facts, and so it's a it's very
25	similar to a Circuit Court judge motion hearing or non-jury

1	trial. And I have done a multitude of that kind of work.
2	Obviously, with the judge, I handled those things
3	from the bench that point of view of the bench. And I
4	don't know what Judge Kinard did to displease someone when
5	I was with him, but we had seven consecutive weeks of non-
6	jury motions in Richland County. So I got a baptism by
7	fire, again, right out the gate.
8	But I have argued hundreds of motions in Circuit
9	Court. And I tried non-jury cases most recently some of
10	my law partners and I tried a two-week trust dispute in a
11	non-jury matter in front of Judge Toal. So I feel that I
12	have plenty of experience in those matters.
13	The same rules of evidence that I'm used to
14	applying every day, apply in the Administrative Law Court.
15	The same rules of civil procedure that I'm intimately
16	familiar with apply in a supplementary capacity at the ALJ.
17	So on those levels, I feel I have strong experience that
18	qualifications me for the position.
19	But let me also address some specific
20	administrative law issues. You wouldn't know it unless you
21	practice with me, but when you represent business clients
22	and some individuals, they have disputes with
23	administrative agencies.
24	I have represented contractors in licensing
25	disputes before the LLR. I have been involved in

Page 185

1	unemployment matters, both as a as counsel and as an
2	employer at the Department of Employment and Workforce,
3	including appeals of those issues within the agency.
4	And I do some work for car dealers, and I've
5	helped some of them through the licensing process at DMV.
6	And many people may not know this, but just like you and I
7	can get points on our drivers license from the DMV, the DMV
8	can put points on a dealers license. And I've navigated
9	those disputes with the agency for my clients as well, so I
10	do have some direct administrative experience.
11	Q. And have you ever actually appeared in an
12	Administrative Law Court proceeding?
13	A. I've not been counsel of record in an
14	Administrative Law Court proceeding. I have been involved
15	in two cases I made a point of it, getting involved in
16	two cases that my firm has handled. And I've gone over
17	there, but I was not counsel of record on those occasions.
18	
	Q. Thank you. Mr. Reibold, what is your vision for
19	Q. Thank you. Mr. Reibold, what is your vision for the Administrative Law Court? And what changes would you
19 20	
	the Administrative Law Court? And what changes would you
20	the Administrative Law Court? And what changes would you advocate, and why?
20 21	<pre>the Administrative Law Court? And what changes would you advocate, and why? A. Well, I think that the Administrative Law Court</pre>
20 21 22	<pre>the Administrative Law Court? And what changes would you advocate, and why? A. Well, I think that the Administrative Law Court is going to take on an increasing role, I foresee, with the</pre>

1	know appearing more and more frequently over there. So I
2	think that going forward, we need to be cognizant of the
3	fact it's going to play a greater role.
4	Now, it may take some more resources. One of the
5	issues that I see or that I would like to like to do
б	for the court is to sort of reconnect it. I think that,
7	that Bar and the court can be insulated from the rest of
8	our practice, the rest of the judges, and the rest of the
9	lawyers. And I think it would benefit if we could be more
10	connected.
11	I've been fortunate to be in the House delegates
12	and serve our Bar committees. If elected, I would want to
13	continue doing that and to promote that interaction. I
14	think all of us could benefit from that.
15	Q. Mr. Reibold, let me follow up a little bit on
16	that, and ask what kind of instance would it be appropriate
17	for the Administrative Law Court and the judiciary to work
18	in cooperation with other branches of government? And what
19	areas must the judiciary stand apart from other branches of
20	government?
21	A. Well, that's a that's a pretty general and, to
22	some extent, constitutional question. So, you know,
23	
	obviously, the judiciary's role is to decide cases on facts
24	obviously, the judiciary's role is to decide cases on facts and apply the law as it exists. It's the role in my

Γ

Page 187

1	And so there's a lot of demarcation there between
2	the members of the General Assembly and the judiciary.
3	That would be a role that the Administrative Law Court and
4	the judiciary in general should not undertake.
5	But there are ways to work together. Members of
6	the Administrative Law Court, lawyers who practice in
7	administrative law, can serve and have valuable
8	contributions to make on other Bar committees.
9	Access to justice. For example, people need
10	representation in these areas as well as they do in other
11	areas. So I think there is a lot of opportunity for
12	coordination.
13	Q. Thank you. Mr. Reibold, the Commission received
14	158 ballot box surveys regarding you, and twenty there
15	were 27 additional comments. Following are some of the
16	positive comments that were contained in the ballot box
17	survey:
18	"Excellent candidate. Extremely well qualified.
19	Hardworking. Intelligent. Smartest candidate. Perfect.
20	Wonderful temperament, and fair."
21	Four of the written comments expressed some
22	concerns, and one of them indicated that you were involved
23	in an ex parte communication in a case. That's all the
24	information that I have. Would you want to make a response
25	to that?

Γ

1	A. I have no idea what that's a reference to. I'm a
2	rules guy. I'm very familiar with Rule 3.5 of the Rules of
3	Professional Ethics. I don't I don't do that. I know
4	what I'm not supposed to do, and I don't engage in ex parte
5	communications. I wish I knew more what was behind this,
б	'cause I could give you a better response, except to say,
7	"No."
8	Q. Thank you. The other three concerns indicated
9	that they were concerned about your lack of experience with
10	administrative law. You've already addressed this issue.
11	Is there anything that you'd like to add?
12	A. I anticipated this. And to address it, I went to
13	some sitting members of the Administrative Law Court and
14	asked, "Here's my background, here's my experience, what
15	would I need to do to be better prepared to be a judge
16	here?"
17	And I was told a few things. One was get
18	involved in some cases. And I've done that. Like I said,
19	I've worked in the past six months, I've worked in two
20	cases in my office that are before the ACL.
21	One was, "Come watch us." And I've done that.
22	I've gone over and sat in three different ALJ hearings,
23	now, in front of three different judges. I feel like I'm
24	getting my 403's all over again. But that was a valuable
25	experience.

Page 189

1	One was, "Read the advance sheets." Which I have
2	done. One was, "Take CLEs." Which I've done. So I all
3	of the things that I was told to do to prepare for myself
4	for that position, by sitting members of the bench, I have
5	done.
6	Q. Thank you, Mr. Reibold. A few housekeeping
7	issues, please. Since submitting your letter of intent
8	have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator,
9	either prior to this date of pending the outcome of the
10	screening?
11	A. I have not.
12	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
13	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
14	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
15	your behalf?
16	A. I am not. I'm very clear with the restrictions
17	on candidates when I have discussions with other people.
18	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent to run for
19	this seat, have you contacted any members of the Commission
20	about your candidacy?
21	A. No, I have not.
22	Q. Do you understand that you're prohibited from
23	seeking a pledge or commitment, directly or indirectly,
24	until 48 hours after the formal release of the Commission's
25	report, and are you aware of the penalties for violating

1	the pledging rules in South Carolina Code Section 2-19-
2	70(E)?
3	A. You all have drilled that well into my head.
4	MS. BENSON: Mr. Chairman, I would note the
5	that Midlands Citizens Committee found Mr. Reibold to be
6	qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional
7	qualifications, physical health and mental stability. The
8	Committee found him well qualified in the evaluative
9	criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic
10	ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial
11	temperament.
12	The Committee stated in summary, concerns
13	about his previous six attempts at being elected to the
14	Circuit Court bench.
15	BY MS. BENSON:
16	Q. Mr. Reibold, would you like to offer a response
17	to that concern?
18	A. I'm not sure I understand that concern. Is the -
19	- is that point that I would be a better candidate if I had
20	run less times? I'm not sure I get that concern. In fact,
21	the fact that I've done it so often should be evidence of
22	my commitment to this process.
23	Q. Thank you.
24	MS. BENSON: I would just note for the
25	record that any concerns raised during the investigation

Page 191

1	regarding the candidate were incorporated into the
2	questioning today.
3	Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you. Any
5	questions for Mr. Reibold?
б	(Hearing none.)
7	EXAMINATION BY VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH:
8	Q. Mr. Reibold, let me just ask you a few myself. I
9	was remarking with Representative Rutherford up here you
10	know, I guess when I look over the type of lawyers who have
11	progressed their way to the Administrative Law Court, I
12	don't see that I mean, they're all very fine judges now.
13	But they are not people who routinely represented solely in
14	Administrative Law Courts.
15	So, you know, I as I see the Administrative
16	Law Court is someone that as long as you understand the
17	APA you're familiar with the Administrative Procedures
18	Act?
19	A. Yes, I am.
20	Q. You have experience in courtrooms and litigation
21	around the state in different areas; is that right?
22	A. Absolutely.
23	Q. Okay. And is the is the procedural aspect of
24	it I mean, the APA is an unusual creature, in and of
25	itself, but the procedural aspects of a hearing is still

1	due process with examination of witnesses and briefs and
2	exhibits and all that. You're familiar with that?
3	A. Yes, I am.
4	Q. And is that what you routinely do on a on a
5	day-to-day basis?
6	A. That is what I routinely do on a day-to-day
7	basis.
8	Q. And you generally, I presume also I think you
9	from the practice I when I run across you, you do a
10	lot of arbitration
11	A. I do.
12	Q work? And so
13	A. I'm a certified arbitrator, actually.
14	Q. Right. And so you practice in those areas in the
15	non-traditional courtrooms around the state too.
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. All right. And is there anything that you think
18	you said you learned going to seminars is that you
19	know, I guess the ultimate question is, is you've run for
20	Circuit Court judge, we've heard six times, and now you're
21	running for the Administrative Law Court.
22	There was there was an open seat for Circuit
23	Court again, so you chose Administrative Law Court. Just
24	tell us why you felt the Administrative Law Court would be
25	your

Page 193

1	A. Sure. As I'm sure almost everyone in this room
2	knows, it takes more to become a Circuit Court in South
3	Carolina than ability and desire to serve. There are a lot
4	of factors that are simply out of the candidate's control.
5	And it's no secret I tried and I tried. I've
6	tried hard before. But at some point you have to look and
7	say is there is there a viable path forward for that
8	position.
9	And I'll be honest, I thought that, you know,
10	maybe maybe I had to put this behind me, that there
11	wasn't a viable path forward. But a few things happened in
12	the last year in my life that changed my mind. One was I
13	did Leadership South Carolina.
14	Senator Talley came and spoke to our class at the
15	Spartanburg new Spartanburg high school. But I saw
16	other people out there in the state, in all areas, still
17	committed, still energized. And to some extent, that re-
18	energized me.
19	I had an interesting conversation with my
20	daughter, which I kind of took as a sign. My daughter's 9
21	now. She was 8 then. This was pre-Covid, January of this
22	year. We were in the grocery store and I ran into a lawyer
23	that I knew. And as we pushed the carts away from each
24	other, he called back to me and said, "Rob, you really
25	should run again."

Page 194

1 And my daughter said, "Daddy, what's he talking 2 about?" 3 I said, "Well, he's encouraging me to try one 4 more time to be a judge." 5 And she said, "Daddy, you should." 6 And I said, "I tried long and I tried hard. And. 7 you know, sometimes things don't work out the way you want 8 them to." 9 And her answer was, "Daddy, that's in the past. 10 You need to think about the future." And to hear this 11 pearl of wisdom come from my 8-year-old girl, it kind of 12 took me aback. 13 And the third thing was there are a couple of 14 members on the court that have been encouraging me to run, 15 and still are encouraging me to run, so I took a look at 16 it. 17 I went over, I watched it and talked to people, 18 and got involved in some cases, and decided this is 19 something I can do, this is something that I can enjoy. 20 And when the opportunity presented itself, I took it. I 21 hope that answers your question. 22 That answers my question. And, you know, I guess 0. 23 I, too, as you are, baffled by the commentary from the 24 Citizens Committee noting this is your sixth time to run. 25 I see that as a dedication to the -- to the aspiration to

1	be a judge more than I take it as a not.
2	You know, I go back to the old Teddy Roosevelt
3	quote, you know, is "The man that's in the arena with his
4	face marred with blood, sweat, and tears." And you
5	certainly have been in that situation, haven't you?
6	A. I sure have.
7	Q. All right.
8	A. No permanent scars.
9	Q. No permanent scars. That's the way it should be.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Anyone
11	else have any questions?
12	(Hearing none.)
13	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Reibold, I think
14	all of us up here are familiar with you, all of us have
15	been through a screening with you, so don't interpret the
16	lack of questions as anything other than we are very
17	familiar with you.
18	MR. REIBOLD: I understand.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr.
20	Reibold, this concludes this portion of your screening
21	process. I want to take this opportunity to remind you
22	that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the
23	Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as well
24	as the letter of the ethics law, and we will view
25	violations or the appearance of impropriety as serious and

Page 196

1	potentially deserving of the heavy weight in screening
2	deliberations.
3	As you are aware, the record will remain
4	open till the formal release of the report of
5	qualifications. And you may be called back at such time,
6	if it need arises. I thank you for you offering for this
7	position. I thank you for your service to the State of
8	South Carolina.
9	MR. REIBOLD: And thank you all for the
10	same.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. See you
12	soon, Rob.
13	(Candidate excused.)
14	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Ms.
15	Rookard? Am I pronouncing it right: Rookard?
16	MS. ROOKARD: Yes, that's correct.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Rookard, I
18	appreciate you being here today. If you'll please stand
19	real quick and let me swear you in, please, ma'am.
20	WHEREUPON,
21	THE HONORABLE CRYSTAL ROOKARD, being duly
22	sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
23	nothing but the truth.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Have a
25	seat, please. Before you is your personal data

Γ

1	questionnaire and your sworn statement. Are these both
2	documents that you have submitted to this Commission?
3	MS. ROOKARD: Yes, sir.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are there any further
5	updates or amendments that you need to make at this time?
6	MS. ROOKARD: I don't think so.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any
8	objection to us marking these as exhibits to your sworn
9	testimony here today?
10	MS. ROOKARD: That's perfectly fine.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Without
12	objection we'll mark those as exhibits to your testimony.
13	(EXHIBIT NO. 21 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
14	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
15	HONORABLE CRYSTAL ROOKARD)
16	(EXHIBIT NO. 22 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
17	COMMISSION AMENDED PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF
18	THE HONORABLE CRYSTAL ROOKARD)
19	(EXHIBIT NO. 23 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
20	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE
21	CRYSTAL ROOKARD)
22	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: The Judicial Merit
23	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
24	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry have focused on
25	the nine evaluative criteria, and this also includes a

Γ

Page 198

1	ballot box survey, a thorough study of your application
2	materials, verification of your compliance with state
3	ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in which your
4	name appears, a study of previous screenings, and checks
5	for economic conflicts of interest.
6	We've received no affidavits today in
7	opposition to your candidacy, and we also do not have any
8	witnesses here present to testify.
9	MS. ROOKARD: That's good to hear.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Just observers today.
11	And so you wish make a brief opening statement to the
12	Commission?
13	MS. ROOKARD: I don't want to make a lengthy
14	opening statement for the sake of time. I just want to say
15	that I'm honored to be here. Thank you for your
16	consideration. And I'm glad to appear before you today. I
17	appreciate the opportunity. Thank you.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much.
19	Answer questions that Counsel may have for you, please.
20	MS. ROOKARD: Yes, sir.
21	MS. BAKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I note
22	for the record that based on the transcript contained in
23	the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record
24	with the candidate's consent, Judge Rookard meets the
25	constitutional and our statutory requirements for this

1	position regarding age, residence, and years of practice.
2	EXAMINATION BY MS. BAKER:
3	Q. Judge Rookard, why do you now want to serve as an
4	Administrative Law Court judge? And how do you feel your
5	legal and professional experience thus far will assist you
6	to be an effective judge?
7	A. Well, first of all, I've dedicated my legal
8	career to public service and state government. For 20
9	years I've served as a state government attorney, handling
10	a variety of legal issues, including administrative law.
11	I believe that my institutional knowledge and
12	experience in state government will be useful in
13	Administrative Law Court.
14	Q. Thank you, Judge. Are there any areas of the law
15	for which you would need additional preparation in order to
16	serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would
17	you handle that additional preparation?
18	A. Well, the first area that comes to mind is tax
19	complex tax cases. I do believe I'd have to come up to
20	speed on those type of issues. Of course, I would do what
21	I've normally done my entire career with novel issues, I'd
22	study. Study. Research. The same that I've done my
23	entire career since law school.
24	Of course, I could rely on the attorneys who are
25	performing to brief the cases, but it would be my

Page 200

responsibility to study and learn that area of law, so that
 I could apply the law to the facts that are presented
 before me.

Q. Thank you. Judge Rookard, please explain the
types of cases that you have handled before the
Administrative Law Court, and what experiences specifically
qualify you for the Administrative Law Court.

8 A. Thank you. Like I said earlier, I've spent 20
9 years in state government as an attorney for three
10 different state agencies.

In addition, I have been an actual HR director and Chief Human Resources officer in state government. I have extensive experience and knowledge of state Human Resource regulations and laws, including a working knowledge of state benefits and retirement; two specific issues that appear before the Administrative Law Court.

During my tenure at the Department of
Corrections, I defended SCDC against inmate litigation.
The inmate litigation was appealed to the Administrative
Law Court. I drafted and filed briefs, prepared documents,
and submitted them to the court.

I am active with the Administrative Law Court staff. I handle inmate cases involving prison disciplinary appeals, sentence calculations, custody, and liberty interests. And the appeals were handled pursuant to the

1	Administrative Procedures Act and the Administrative Law
2	Court rules.
3	Also, I represented SCDC against inmate
4	litigation in Circuit Court for Richland County. I also
5	have working knowledge of the State Employment Grievance
6	process.
7	I argued and defended SCDC in employee grievance
8	hearings before the State Employee Grievance Committee. I
9	conducted employee grievance investigations. I handled
10	employee mediations, arbitrations, and hearings.
11	And this included hearing preparation, oral
12	arguments, legal document preparation, witness prep,
13	opening and closing arguments and questioning witnesses on
14	direct and cross.
15	In addition, I've handled various legal matters
16	with other state agencies on behalf of my client. So I've
17	interacted extensively with the South Carolina Human
18	Affairs Commission, the South Carolina Technical College
19	system, the Commission on Higher Education, the Department
20	of Probation Parole and Parole I'm sorry, the Department
21	of Probation, Parole, and Pardon. And DSS, DHEC, LLR.
22	And from 2015 until the present, I've served as
23	an associates substitute Municipal Court judge. In that
24	role I have conducted bench trials, I've adjudicated cases
25	in criminal court, traffic court, domestic violence court,

1	homeless court, quality of life court.
2	I've handled preliminary hearings. And until
3	recently, I've presided over bond court. We no longer
4	handle bond court at the city. I've ruled on motions,
5	drafted orders.
6	During the bench trials, I listen to the
7	testimony and review evidence from both parties and then I
8	make decisions. And I think based on all of that all
9	those experiences, I'll be well suited for the
10	Administrative Law Court.
11	Q. Judge Rookard, what is your vision for the
12	Administrative Law Court? And what changes would you
13	advocate, and why?
14	A. I spent a lot of time reviewing the
15	Administrative Law Court website, and I spent a great deal
16	of time reading previous decisions. I don't know if
17	there's a whole lot I would change. I think sometimes we
18	can make changes for the sake of changing, just so you can
19	say, "Hey," put your stake in the ground and say, "I did
20	this."
21	I think a lot of what the Administrative Law
22	Court is doing is being done correctly. What I would be
23	focused on is what can I do as an individual judge. And
24	that means being impartial, fair, unbiased, promoting a
25	prompt and efficient court. No different than any other

1 judge in this state. So I really would be focused on -- early on, how 2 3 can I be the best judge I can be. 4 Judge Rookard, in what instances is it 0. 5 appropriate for the judiciary to work in cooperation with 6 other branches of government? And when it -- and in what 7 areas must the Judiciary stand apart from other branches? 8 I think the role of the judiciary, especially in Α. 9 regards to the Legislature -- the Legislature pass --10 passes the laws. It's my role as the judge to enforce 11 those laws that the Legislature has passed. 12 I don't -- I do not think it's my role to set 13 I'm just applying the law that has already public policy. 14 been passed. I definitely want to avoid making decisions 15 that are heavily influenced by my own personal opinions or 16 my own political influences. 17 I do think, however, that we can work together to 18 make the court more efficient. And that's really the 19 focus. What I said earlier about being the best judge I 20 can be, is working with other branches of government to 21 make the process more efficient, more prompt for those who appear in court. 22 23 0. Thank you. Judge Rookard, the Commission 24 received 158 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 16 25 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example,

1	contained the following positive comments:
2	"Absolutely outstanding. I've seen her in her
3	capacity as a magistrate, and she shines. She is the
4	epitome of what a judge who be like. A credit to the Bar
5	and bench. Judge Rookard is a worth communicate for
6	Administrative Law Court. I've known her as counsel and
7	general counsel for several state entities and as a
8	magistrate judge. This judgeship is perfectly tailored to
9	her background and experience."
10	A couple of the written comments expressed
11	concerns regarding lack of experience in front of the
12	Administrative Law Court, or in trial court. What response
13	would you offer to this concern regarding your experience?
14	A. I understand people have opinions. Everybody
15	does. And I obviously would disagree, or else I would not
16	have applied. I think that I have the experience to
17	adjudicate cases.
18	My most recent experience as a sitting part-time
19	judge is that I've had to spend a lot of time reviewing
20	court rules, the rules especially in regards to criminal
21	matters, to make sure I'm to speed.
22	So I am I am prepared to do that. I'm
23	prepared to do what I'm already doing. So I understand
24	people have opinions. And obviously, I disagree with them.
25	But I think that my experience is well suited, as I've

1 already stated. 2 0. Your SLED report indicated that there were two 3 lawsuits filed against the Department of Corrections, which 4 named you as a co-defendant. Both were filed in 2003 in the U.S. District Court by Kenneth Green, a prisoner at the 5 Allendale Correctional Institution. Please explain the 6 7 nature and disposition of the lawsuit. 8 This is -- well, the unfortunate part of being a Α. 9 in-house counsel for the Department of Corrections is you 10 may be sued a lot. And often, you're sued in your official 11 capacity. 12 I don't remember, because my name probably was 13 listed on numerous cases. The case has been dismissed. 14 But it kind of goes with the territory as being in-house 15 counsel for the Department of Corrections. 16 0. Thank you. Your SLED report also indicated there were two lawsuits filed against Midlands Technical College, 17 18 which named you and other employees of the college as co-19 defendants. The cases were filed in 2011 and 2012 in the U.S. District Court, both by Myrtle Cooper. Please explain 20 21 the nature and dispositions of these. 22 Well, the -- when I say going with the territory Α. 23 as far as Department of Corrections, well, going with the 24 territory in higher ed is, you often get sued by unhappy 25 faculty members.

Page 206

1	And this particular faculty member sued all of us
2	in our official capacity. The case was settled. But there
3	were repetitive cases I think there may have even been a
4	third one. I'm not sure off the top of my head. But the
5	case was settled.
б	And no different than what I mentioned about the
7	Department of Corrections, this is sort of the typical
8	process when someone is unhappy with their position and
9	then they end up suing all of us in our official
10	capacities.
11	Q. Thank you.
12	MS. BAKER: I would note that the Midlands
13	Citizens Committee reported the Judge Rookard is well
14	qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness.
15	The Committee reported that Judge Rookard is qualified in
16	the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications,
17	professional and academic ability, character, reputation,
18	physical health, mental stability, experience, and judicial
19	temperament.
20	BY MS. BAKER:
21	Q. Judge, I have a few housekeeping questions.
22	A. Yes, ma'am.
23	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
24	sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either
25	prior to this date or pending the outcome of your

1	screening?
2	A. No, I have not sought the pledge of anyone.
3	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
4	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
5	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
6	your behalf?
7	A. No, not to my knowledge.
8	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent to run for
9	this seat, have you contacted any members of the Commission
10	about your candidacy?
11	A. Yes. I accidentally sent a letter of
12	introduction, I think, to two members. I was sending out a
13	mass group of letters of introduction, and I
14	accidentally sent it to as soon as I realized my
15	mistake, I contacted Erin Crawford. And then subsequently,
16	I spoke to you, Ms. Baker, about this error.
17	Q. Do you understand the you're prohibited from
18	seeking a pledge or commitment, directly or indirectly,
19	until 48 hours after the formal release of the Commission's
20	report, and are you aware of the penalties for violating
21	the pledging rules?
22	A. Yes.
23	MS. BAKER: I would just note for the record
24	that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding
25	the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the

Page 208

1	candidate	today.
2		Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.
3		VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right.
4	Representa	tive Talley.
5	EXAMINATIO	N BY SENATOR TALLEY:
6	Q.	Good afternoon, Judge Rookard.
7	Α.	Good afternoon.
8	Q.	I was looking at your PDQ, and I see that you
9	were born	in Spartanburg.
10	Α.	I was, yes.
11	Q.	very good. And when you went to Lander in 2017,
12	was that a	new position?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	It was. Okay.
15	Α.	Prior to my arrival they did not have in-house
16	counsel.	The new president implemented a lot of best
17	practices,	and on his agenda was to hire a general counsel.
18	Q.	Got you. And when you left Midlands in 2017, was
19	your succe	ssor Carlos Johnson?
20	Α.	It was.
21	Q.	Yeah, he he's our classmate
22	Α.	Yeah, he's a good friend of mine.
23	Q.	in law school.
24	Α.	Well, now he's
25	Q.	I noticed I thought that was about the time he

Γ

1	was in private practice in Spartanburg. And, actually, my
2	firm assisted in some of his files after he left. So nice
3	to meet you. I've never had a chance to meet you, but I'm
4	impressed by your
5	A. I appreciate that.
6	Q resume. And, certainly, you've got some very
7	positive comments, people who think a lot of you in our
8	profession.
9	A. Well, I appreciate that.
10	Q. So thank you for offering. Yes, ma'am.
11	A. Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Other
13	questions of Ms. Rookard?
14	MS. MCIVER: I have a question.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. McIver.
16	MS. MCIVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17	EXAMINATION BY MS. MCIVER:
18	Q. Ms. Rookard, it's nice to meet you.
19	A. Nice meeting you too.
20	Q. Thank you. I've noticed in your PDQ, you listed
21	a couple of businesses that you were involved with, or in
22	the early stages of developing. Can you tell us a little
23	bit about those businesses?
24	A. Of course. So weird, I keep looking down at my
25	face. I don't want to see my face.

1	Yes, I have a couple well, it's not really a
2	company a consulting training business, Crystal Rookard
3	Consulting. I do a lot of training on higher education
4	issues, employee law, Human Resources, state government.
5	Primarily, it's training with the employees about
б	different legal issues that come up in higher ed. And I've
7	been doing that for probably, I don't know, five years.
8	Some years I may do a couple of trainings, and then some
9	years I do nothing.
10	The Business Assist is just something I just
11	started. I haven't quite fleshed it out yet. I'm trying
12	to get prepared for retirement. I can retire in a couple
13	of years, so I'm trying to position myself to do something
14	after I leave state government. Unless I'm so fortunate to
15	become a judge, and then of course I'll put that to the
16	wayside. But I'm trying to be mindful as I approach
17	retirement.
18	Q. Great. Thank you very much.
19	A. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Other
21	questions?
22	(Hearing none.)
23	EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
24	Q. Ms. Rookard, I want to comment as well in terms
25	of your bench Bar ballot box survey responses: You're

1	always prepared, you do a great job analyzing the issues,
2	effectively assess both sides. And that is in your role as
3	a mediator? Or this is perhaps from someone who has
4	observed you mediating cases for you?
5	A. They're probably thinking of my role as general
6	counsel and as a judge. So I always tell people being a
7	Human Resources director made me a better lawyer. I'm more
8	apt to try and resolve issues in-house, rather than let
9	them escalate beyond to organization.
10	I find that it's cheaper for us. It makes
11	makes sense. And so I often am the mediator, or I would
12	say the neutral party. I know that's odd to be in-house,
13	but sometimes I have competing interests. And sometimes I
14	have to bring people together and say, "Okay, what can we
15	do to resolve this to move forward?"
16	And then they may also be referring to my role as
17	a judge. I do try to get the parties to resolve an issue.
18	I guess that's the reoccurring theme. Maybe it's my
19	personality. I don't know.
20	I'm a middle child. But it's just something that
21	I have a knack for, is getting people to come together and
22	resolve issues. So that's probably what they're referring
23	to, I hope.
24	Q. And you are an associate substitute municipal
25	court judge.

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. What does a substitute portion of the title mean?
3	A. I'm not really sure. I really feel like I'm just
4	their fill-in judge. So they have full-time judges, but
5	obviously they can't fill every opportunity there is court.
6	They can't do it all, so I we serve as sort of
7	the second bench, so to speak. It's just there's not as
8	many full-time judges as you would think, so they
9	they've had several substitute judges over the years.
10	Q. Forgive me for re-plowing this, perhaps, but as
11	the General Counsel and Vice President for Lander
12	University, since 2017, how much time does that require of
13	you in a months' time or a weeks' time or
14	A. It just depends. Usually, it's about twice a
15	month. I would be busier on the weekends when we had bond
16	court. But since Covid-19, they're no longer having bond
17	court. Usually, in and around the holidays, it may pick up
18	a little bit more because the full-time judges are out
19	vacationing. But usually it's about twice a month.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Unless there
21	are other questions that any of the other Commission
22	members have, this will conclude this portion of our
23	screening process. And as with every candidate that comes
24	before us, you, ma'am, are reminded of our commission's
25	adherence to both the letter and the spirit of the ethics

1	laws here in South Carolina.
2	JUDGE ROOKARD: Yes, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any violation or the
4	appearance of impropriety will be deemed very serious and
5	deserving of heavy weight in our screening deliberations.
6	Should that occur, which we certainly expect not, because
7	this record is not closed we would have the right to bring
8	you back for further questions under oath. You understand
9	that, right?
10	JUDGE ROOKARD: Yes, sir. I understand.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Ms. Rookard,
12	thank you so much for being with us today.
13	JUDGE ROOKARD: Thank you.
14	(Candidate excused.)
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Debra Sherman Tedeschi?
16	MS. TEDESCHI: Tedeschi. Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. If you will
18	raise your right hand, please.
19	WHEREUPON,
20	DEBRA SHERMAN TEDESCHI, being duly sworn and
21	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
22	but the truth.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Have a seat
24	there, please, ma'am. And thank you for joining us. And
25	we are three minutes early to start. Unfortunately, that's

Page 214

1	not going to help you with the traffic later. But the PDQ
2	and the sworn statement you have before you, are those
3	ready to go in the record, or do you need to amend them?
4	MS. TEDESCHI: There are two amendments that
5	I've made, that are here. So this looks complete.
б	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. And in
7	addition to your sworn testimony, they're be made a part of
8	the record, okay? No objection by you, right?
9	MS. TEDESCHI: No objection.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you.
11	(EXHIBIT NO. 24 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
12	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF DEBRA
13	SHERMAN TEDESCHI)
14	(EXHIBIT NO. 25 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
15	COMMISSION AMENDED PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF
16	DEBRA SHERMAN TEDESCHI)
17	(EXHIBIT NO. 26 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
18	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF DEBRA SHERMAN
19	TEDESCHI)
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Tedeschi, in our
21	efforts to investigation your qualifications, we focus on
22	the nine evaluative criteria, in addition to a ballot box
23	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
24	verification of your compliance the state ethics laws, a
25	search of newspaper articles in where your name appears, a

Γ

Page 215

1	check for economic conflicts of interest. And you've not
2	screened before.
3	MS. TEDESCHI: I have, sir.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Forgive me. It's
5	late and I'm
6	MS. TEDESCHI: That's quite all right. I
7	screened in 2016.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. I may not have
9	been with you at that moment, that's why I'm a little thin
10	on that. So nonetheless, we also look at the prior
11	screenings. No affidavits have been filed in opposition to
12	your election. No one has desired to be present as a
13	witness to testify in your appearing.
14	I do note that you've got three
15	accompaniments. Have these folks stand up and tell us who
16	they are, if you will. Or you tell us who they are.
17	MS. TEDESCHI: Thank you. This is my
18	husband. He's Dr. David Tedeschi. He's a professor at
19	USC.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: What does he teach?
21	MS. TEDESCHI: He teaches physics and
22	astronomy.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, sir, take your mask
24	down so I can see what that looks like. You don't look
25	anything like Mr. Safko.

1	MR. TEDESCHI: A good friend of mine.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Great guy. He taught me
3	astronomy in the self-pace class back in 1981. Or maybe it
4	was '83. I finished undergrad in '84. Welcome, sir.
5	All right. Who else?
6	MS. TEDESCHI: My eldest son, Louis
7	Tedeschi.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Louis, take off your mask
9	and let's see your bright smile. Thank you for being here.
10	Yes, sir.
11	MS. TEDESCHI: And my younger son is Daniel
12	Tedeschi.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well, Daniel. Glad
14	you all are here. Thank you very much. Ms. Tedeschi, you
15	have the right to make a very brief opening statement, if
16	you like, before we turn it over to Ms. Foster for
17	questions of you. The floor is yours, if you'd like.
18	MS. TEDESCHI: Thank you, sir. I just
19	wanted to very briefly say thank you for all your public
20	service, everybody here on this commission, because it's
21	such an important job to thoroughly screen judicial
22	candidates. And I appreciate the thorough screening that
23	is done as a as a South Carolina citizen. So I wanted
24	to thank you all for your service. And I appreciate being
25	here.

Page 217

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. And you
2	screened in 2016 for ALJ?
3	MS. TEDESCHI: Yes. It was Seat No. 2, I
4	believe.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right.
6	MS. FOSTER: I note for the record that
7	based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ,
8	which has been included in the record with the candidate's
9	consent, Debra Sherman Tedeschi meets to constitutional
10	and/or statutory requirements for this position regarding
11	age, residence, and years of practice.
12	EXAMINATION BY MS. FOSTER:
13	Q. Ms. Tedeschi, why do you now want to serve as an
13 14	Q. Ms. Tedeschi, why do you now want to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge? And how do you feel your
14	Administrative Law Court judge? And how do you feel your
14 15	Administrative Law Court judge? And how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you
14 15 16	Administrative Law Court judge? And how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you to be an effective judge?
14 15 16 17	Administrative Law Court judge? And how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you to be an effective judge? A. Yes, ma'am. An important part of my legal career
 14 15 16 17 18 	Administrative Law Court judge? And how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you to be an effective judge? A. Yes, ma'am. An important part of my legal career has been to serve the public in some way. And I have been
 14 15 16 17 18 19 	Administrative Law Court judge? And how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you to be an effective judge? A. Yes, ma'am. An important part of my legal career has been to serve the public in some way. And I have been very fortunate in my 24 years as a practicing attorney to
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Administrative Law Court judge? And how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you to be an effective judge? A. Yes, ma'am. An important part of my legal career has been to serve the public in some way. And I have been very fortunate in my 24 years as a practicing attorney to have spent the overwhelming majority of those years in
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Administrative Law Court judge? And how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you to be an effective judge? A. Yes, ma'am. An important part of my legal career has been to serve the public in some way. And I have been very fortunate in my 24 years as a practicing attorney to have spent the overwhelming majority of those years in public service. Mostly, with the State of South Carolina.
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Administrative Law Court judge? And how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you to be an effective judge? A. Yes, ma'am. An important part of my legal career has been to serve the public in some way. And I have been very fortunate in my 24 years as a practicing attorney to have spent the overwhelming majority of those years in public service. Mostly, with the State of South Carolina. And I feel that I can bring a both a breadth

I

1	I've been a litigator with my own firm.
2	But as I said, the majority of my large practice
3	has been with 18 years, approximately, with the State of
4	South Carolina. I've worked for almost ten years for the
5	South Carolina Supreme Court, so I'm very well versed in
6	
	appellate advocacy and appellate matters.
7	I've also for about seven years, I worked in
8	an executive branch agency, the Department of Employment
9	and Workforce. During that time period, I was I would
10	say 99 percent of my practice was administrative law.
11	I've done I've had some dealings with the
12	Administrative Law Court in all their different all the
13	different jurisdictions that it handles.
14	I'm also a member of the Supreme Court's
15	Character and Fitness Committee. This is a committee that
16	essentially assists the Supreme Court Justices in their
17	evaluation of incoming Bar applicants, as well as attorneys
18	who are seeking reinstatement.
19	And the Supreme Court Justices appointed me to
20	the committee in 2010, and I've been serving since
21	continuously since 2010, so I've been re-upped several
22	times. And so I've been entrusted by the Justices to judge
23	the character and fitness of fellow attorneys as well
24	incoming Bar applicants.
25	So given the appellate experience, litigation

Γ

1	experience, government experience, both in the private
2	sector and the public sector. As well as my current job
3	which is I'm an Army Civilian Attorney with the Department
4	of the Army.
5	I work here at Fort Jackson with the Office of
6	the Staff Judge Advocate. And I work with JAGs and I deal
7	with the civilian workforce, with employment and labor law
8	matters. And I've been doing that for the past couple of
9	years. And so that's been another extension of my
10	experience in administrative law.
11	So I would say a combination of my experience and
12	a desire to serve is would be the answer.
13	Q. Ms. Tedeschi, are there any areas of the law for
13 14	Q. Ms. Tedeschi, are there any areas of the law for which you would need additional preparation in order to
14	which you would need additional preparation in order to
14 15	which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would
14 15 16	which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you handle the additional preparation?
14 15 16 17	<pre>which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you handle the additional preparation? A. So I don't believe that I'd need any additional</pre>
14 15 16 17 18	<pre>which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you handle the additional preparation? A. So I don't believe that I'd need any additional preparation, in part because of the experience that I was -</pre>
 14 15 16 17 18 19 	<pre>which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you handle the additional preparation? A. So I don't believe that I'd need any additional preparation, in part because of the experience that I was - - I was just detailing. However, obviously, you can't be</pre>
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	<pre>which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you handle the additional preparation? A. So I don't believe that I'd need any additional preparation, in part because of the experience that I was - - I was just detailing. However, obviously, you can't be an expert at everything.</pre>
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	<pre>which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you handle the additional preparation? A. So I don't believe that I'd need any additional preparation, in part because of the experience that I was - - I was just detailing. However, obviously, you can't be an expert at everything. And the Administrative Law Court has a wide</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you handle the additional preparation? A. So I don't believe that I'd need any additional preparation, in part because of the experience that I was - - I was just detailing. However, obviously, you can't be an expert at everything. And the Administrative Law Court has a wide ranging jurisdiction with different agencies, such as DHEC,</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you handle the additional preparation? A. So I don't believe that I'd need any additional preparation, in part because of the experience that I was - - I was just detailing. However, obviously, you can't be an expert at everything. And the Administrative Law Court has a wide ranging jurisdiction with different agencies, such as DHEC, the tax, LLR. I mean, there's just all all the</pre>

Γ

Page 220

1	So if I'd be unfamiliar with something,
2	certainly, I would resort to what every good lawyer does,
3	and I'm sure every good judge does as well, which is
4	diligently do my research, read the laws, the case law,
5	statutory law, anything that you'd have to go to get up to
6	speed on an area that I wasn't familiar with.
7	Q. Ms. Tedeschi, please explain the types of cases
, 8	that you have handled before the Administrative Law Court,
9	and what experiences specifically qualify you for the
10	Administrative Law Court.
11	A. So as I've already mentioned, I have had
12	
	extensive experience in front of the Administrative Law
13	Court the South Carolina Administrative Law Court,
14	pretty solidly for from the seven years when I was with
15	the Department of Employment Workforce.
16	I had an active appellate docket, where I would
17	defend the Department's appellate panel's decisions to the
18	Administrative Law Court. So in that vein, I was a
19	frequent a frequent customer of the Administrative Law
20	Court, handling a full docket of appellate cases to the
21	different judges.
22	I would I've appeared before all the judges
23	that are currently on the court, well versed in the
24	administrative court law court's rules. I've also done
25	a contested case for in front of the Administrative Law

Page 221

1 Court under the Set Off Debt Act. 2 So that's your contested case type jurisdiction. 3 I currently -- I'm doing administrative law in the federal 4 sector, so I'm actively litigating in administrative law 5 cases. And in addition -- in addition, during my time at 6 7 the Department of Employment and Workforce, there was -- I 8 handled a regulatory public hearing and the -- all the --9 all the research and writing that went along with that in 10 terms of passing some regulations. 11 Ms. Tedeschi, what is your vision for the 0. Administrative Law Court? And what changes would you 12 13 advocate, and why? 14 So my vision for the Administrative Law Court Α. 15 would be to continue its good reputation in being a fair 16 and independent forum for matters where the citizens of 17 South Carolina interact with the executive branch agencies. 18 In my experience as an advocate before the court, 19 it is run quite well, efficiently. I would hope to 20 continue in that vein. I think that's -- I think that will 21 do for now. 22 0. Yes, ma'am. 23 Α. Unless you want me to expand on that. 24 Ms. Tedeschi, in what instances is it Q. No. 25 appropriate for the judiciary to work in cooperation with

1	other branches of government? In what areas must the
2	judiciary stand apart from other branches?
3	A. So thank you for that question. One of the
4	reasons that I have found, that I have enjoyed practicing
5	administrative law so much, is because it is very much at
6	the interplay between the three branches of government.
7	That's the bedrock of our of our system. And
8	it's so there's the first part of that interaction is
9	that the Administrative Law Court is inherently working
10	together with the judiciary branch, because the
11	Administrative Law Court is actually a creature of statute
12	by the Legislature, and is actually part of the executive
13	branch. So it's its own hybrid entity, shall I say.
14	So that it's actually a great example of
15	cooperation. And, of course, the looking at it as a
16	as a judicial entity, there's there's the appropriate
17	cooperation with respecting how the law is written, not
18	going beyond the statutes when interpreting or being guided
19	by the language of the statute, and just a just a
20	general healthy respect for the interplay between the three
21	branches of government.
22	Q. Ms. Tedeschi, the Commission received 125 ballot
23	box surveys regarding you, with 22 additional comments.
24	The ballot box surveys, for example, contained the
25	following positive comments:

Γ

1	"She is extremely smart, an excellent writer, and
2	has the perfect temperament for the job. Her combination
3	of administrative law and appellate experience makes her
4	particularly qualified and well suited to the ALC. Ms.
5	Tedeschi is very bright and would make an excellent judge
6	of any type. She gives selfishly of her time to many law-
7	related activities, including the Commission on Character
8	and Fitness. She is a very experienced lawyer and her
9	character is beyond reproach."
10	One of the written comments expressed a concern
11	about lack of experience. What response would you offer to
12	this concern?
13	A. So my response to that is an assumption that
14	whoever made that comment wasn't wasn't fully aware of
15	the extent and the length of my service of my experience
16	as an attorney. I've been an attorney for 24 years.
17	Twenty-three of those have been in South Carolina.
18	But I didn't go to law school here and I didn't
19	grow up here, so and for the majority of my first, say,
20	twelve years of practice, I was with the South Carolina
21	Supreme Court for about ten of those years.
22	And as a staff attorney and judicial law clerk,
23	you are governed by a canon of ethics similar to a judicial
24	canon of ethics in our state.
25	And so I just attribute that to maybe somebody

Γ

Page 224

1	maybe not realizing that I've been kicking around South
2	Carolina a lot longer than they than they think.
3	Q. Thank you, Ms. Tedeschi. Some housekeeping
4	issues. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
5	sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either
6	prior to this date or pending the outcome of your
7	screening?
8	A. No, ma'am.
9	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
10	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
11	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
12	your behalf?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent to run for
15	this seat, have you contacted any members of the Commission
16	about your candidacy?
17	A. I have. Inadvertently, I sent an e-mail to
18	Representative Murphy. That is actually the subject of my
19	second amendment to the PDQ. I realized my oversight
20	pretty quickly. It was an introductory-type e-mail to the
21	Judiciary the House Judiciary members, where I
22	introduced myself and announced my candidacy and attached a
23	resume.
24	Within about 30 or 40 minutes, I did a cross-
25	reference and realized that I had mistakenly sent a

Γ

Page 225

1	communication to a member of this commission. So I
2	immediately sent a retraction e-mail to Representative
3	Murphy.
4	I self-disclosed and I copied Counsel Erin
5	Crawford, the Commission's counsel, and self-disclosed the
б	contact. Other than that? No, ma'am.
7	Q. Do you understand that you are prohibited from
8	seeking a pledge or commitment, directly or indirectly,
9	until 48 hours after the formal release of the Commission's
10	report, and are you aware of the penalties for violating
11	the pledge pledging rules? See South Carolina Code
12	Section 2-19-70, Paren E: It is a misdemeanor, and upon
13	conviction the Violator must be fined not more than \$1,000
14	or imprisoned not more than 90 days.
15	A. Yes, I am aware. Thank you.
16	MS. FOSTER: I would note that the Midlands
17	Citizens Committee found Ms. Tedeschi qualified in the
18	evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications,
19	physical health and mental stability. The Committee found
20	her well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical
21	fitness, professional and academic ability, character,
22	reputation, experience, and judicial temperament.
23	The Committee stated in summary, "Very well
24	qualified. Some concern as to whether she could not let
25	her political views influence her decision."

Page 226

1 BY MS. FOSTER:

Q. Ms. Tedeschi, do you have any response to that?
A. Yes, ma'am. So I found that to be a very
interesting comment. Certainly, the South Carolina Supreme
Court Justices have entrusted me with judging character and
fitness for the past ten years.

And for it ten years prior to that, I worked for
8 the South Carolina Supreme Court. And I'm quite at ease
9 with putting aside my own personal views, and especially
10 political views.

I'm very well versed in our ethical rules and the canons, again, both on the judicial side and the attorney side because of my activity, professionally, with working for the court both as a staff attorney and a -- and a law clerk for about ten years, and having served as a character and fitness committee member, giving recommendations to the Supreme Court since 2010.

18 So my response to that would be that I'm well 19 aware of the requirement -- unqualified requirement to put 20 aside your personal biases, your personal politics when --21 when becoming a judge and when judgment.

MS. FOSTER: I would note just for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today.

Page 227

1	Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you, Ms. Foster.
3	Questions by members of the Commission? Mr. Safran.
4	EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:
5	Q. Ms. Tedeschi, it's good to see you again. I
6	recall that you were here back on my first year of doing
7	this. And I don't have any doubt that your record is more
8	than adequate to be able to serve in this position.
9	I think you've done a number of things that show
10	that you've got a very strong legal background. I think
11	that, if anything, you've maintained a lot of different
12	positions. But I was getting the impression that each has
13	been done in a very exemplary fashion.
14	Tell me a little bit about what you're doing now
15	for the Fort, in terms of kind of how that plays into what
16	you're you would necessarily be doing as an
17	administrative law judge.
18	A. Sure. So currently, I am a Senior Labor Attorney
19	GS14 at the at Fort Jackson. I'm an Army civilian. I
20	practice, as I said, solely administrative law with a focus
21	on employment law and labor law.
22	What I do is a wide variety of both representing
23	the Army in litigation, as well as advising all levels of
24	the Command, from the lowest levels supervisors to the
25	Human Resources, all the way up through the Commanding

Γ

1	General.
2	I'm overseeing different arbitrations on the Fair
3	Labor Standards Act litigation. Essentially, I am a
4	practicing administrative law lawyer.
5	Q. And where what forms are you generally
6	litigating in right now?
7	A. EEOC, Equal Employment Opportunity matters, which
8	can run the gamut from I'll usually get it when there's
9	a formal complaint. So throughout the administrative
10	process, if there's a hearing, a summary judgment motions,
11	and all the way up to appeals to the to the EEOC.
12	Also, I would serve as a liaison if any of those
13	cases eventually make their way to the District of South
14	Carolina here in federal court, where I would then team up
15	with our an Army litigation division attorney, as well
16	as a an Assistant U.S. Attorney, taking that.
17	So I'm the other forum that I'm predominantly
18	in is called the Merit Systems Protection Board, the MSPB.
19	Which is similar which is where people take their
20	disputes about their employment if they get suspended over
21	a certain number of days, or if they're removed.
22	And they have due process rights. And that's
23	also an administrative law forum where I have hearings,
24	mediations, settlement. So I'm an active litigator in that
25	sense.

1	Q. So, basically, what you've kind of transitioned
2	to is very similar to what you have been doing, at least
3	administratively, when you were practicing before the
4	Administrative Law Court, albeit it's in the federal system
5	now.
6	A. That's correct. That's correct. And it's a
7	in addition, it's a little bit more trial-oriented, whereas
8	when I was with the Department of Employment and Workforce,
9	it there was a mixture of trial when I was Direct of
10	Appeals. But I did a lot of appellate work.
11	Q. Right. And I got that. And I guess let me just
12	ask quickly, a couple of things. Do you feel like that
13	your experience as a practicing attorney was something that
14	assisted you in your or would assist you as a judge?
15	A. I absolutely think that the that the biggest
16	prerequisite to becoming any kind of worthy judge is to be
17	an experienced attorney first. And because I've had
18	different types of experience in my in my 24 years,
19	including large firm experience where I was a litigator,
20	both in Pennsylvania and here in South Carolina as a
21	litigator.
22	I've had my own firm. So I've been a business
23	owner and I've gotten a taste of what it's like to litigate
24	on your own.
25	And then my various government experiences, which

-	
1	include, as I've said, the Supreme Court. I don't think
2	I've mentioned my time at the Attorney General's Office,
3	where I prosecuted criminal cases.
4	I know that there are a lot of inmate cases that
5	are heard by the Administrative Law Court, so I absolutely
6	think that my that my experiences have prepared me to be
7	a judge.
8	And it's important, I think, for judges to have
9	the practice experience, to understand the pressures of
10	litigation, to understand the pressures of clients, of
11	dealing with pro se clients which I've had in all aspects
12	of my career as well. A lot of attorneys don't have that.
13	But I've had that from my court time as well as
14	currently, and my time at the Department of Employment and
15	Workforce. So absolutely, I think that's a valuable asset
16	that I bring to this position.
17	Q. So I'm safe in saying that you, as one who had an
18	extended period of time as clerkship or as a clerk or
19	in a clerkship with Justice Waller, for instance, you
20	weren't ready to become a judge when you left him, correct?
21	A. I was not.
22	Q. Okay. And, really, the time you spent since then
23	as a private practitioner, working for this different
24	agencies, all of that really allows you to continue to
25	develop and get to a point where, as a judge, you now can

Page 231

1 understand everything other than just necessarily what you 2 may have learned as a law clerk, correct? 3 Α. Absolutely. 4 All right. Thank you very much. 0. 5 Α. Thank you, sir. 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Sabb. 7 SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 Ms. Tedeschi, it's good to see you. 9 MS. TEDESCHI: Good to see you too. 10 I, like Mr. Safran, met you SENATOR SABB: 11 doing screening, I quess, four years ago. And of course 12 I've bumped into you from time to time after that. Your 13 son, Louis, and our daughter, Lindsay, graduated from the 14 Governor's School together, and I see he chose USC and 15 Lindsay chose Clemson. 16 But I'm sure he, like she, are both doing 17 well. But what an extraordinary class of kids. I mean, I 18 was just so proud of all of them. And I know that they're 19 going to do great things for our nation. 20 But, you know, I had forgotten that you 21 graduate Magna Cum Laude from law school, which I think is 22 admirable. And, you know, when I look at your career, in 23 my mind you've engaged in what I consider to be a scholarly 24 career. 25 With your writing skills, you've taught

1	writing. You've done, you know, appellate work. And you
2	continue to practice in the areas of that you've just
3	described to Mr. Safran.
4	And it's good to see you again. I'm glad
5	that you're offering for the position. And, obviously, in
6	my mind you're highly qualified. And I just wish you luck.
7	MS. TEDESCHI: Thank you, Senator Sabb. I'd
8	like to add a plug for my son, Daniel, who is also at the
9	Governor's School, a science and math graduate. And they
10	are they both pursued USC Honors College. So but we
11	welcome Tiger fans, also, in our family.
12	SENATOR SABB: So was Daniel in the group?
13	Because I know shortly after Lindsay and Louis graduated, I
14	saw where it seems like it was a football not
15	football. It was the basketball team and the volleyball
16	team, or something like that, all of them started winning
17	championships. Was he a part of that group? Do you
18	recall?
19	MS. TEDESCHI: So yes yes and no. So the
20	Governor's School for Science and Math has had some really
21	great wins in recent years: track and field, cross country,
22	volleyball, soccer. I'm very proud of my son being on the
23	Governor's School basketball team. The basketball team,
24	however, is was not one of that championship-winning
25	teams.

Page 233

1	SENATOR SABB: I remember some of those
2	painful years. Because Lindsay played basketball, and we
3	didn't do too well.
4	MS. TEDESCHI: I always enjoyed the games.
5	SENATOR SABB: I did too.
б	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Tedeschi, did,
7	perhaps, Senator Sabb demonstrate any of his Clyde the
8	Glide-like moves on the court during maybe breaks during
9	the kid's games?
10	MS. TEDESCHI: I may have been too focused
11	on my own son to have to have seen.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, you need to watch
13	him in action. It is art.
14	MS. TEDESCHI: Excellent.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: It is artistic, I'll
16	assure you. And I want to go straight to the to your
17	kids. And as I was reading this, both of whom are present,
18	Honors College students; is that correct?
19	MS. TEDESCHI: That's correct. USC Honors
20	College.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And so Louis
22	is a rising junior or senior?
23	MS. TEDESCHI: He's currently a junior in
24	college. And my son, Daniel, is currently a freshman.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: My son finished in the

Page 234

1	Honors program last year, it would have been May, extended
2	to August. But nonetheless, a wonderful experience there.
3	MS. TEDESCHI: Congratulations.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And then the other to
5	the perhaps not just the intellect and the academic
б	prowess you have demonstrated, but the humility which
7	warrants Tina Cundari, in her letter, to comment on your
8	winning with grace.
9	And so that is just speaks so highly of
10	you not wearing your ability on your sleeve, and having to
11	boast about it. You've demonstrated it, but you, more
12	importantly, demonstrated humility. And that's just a
13	wonderful probably unexpected attribute or comment that
14	you got. So that's one you want to cherish.
15	MS. TEDESCHI: I do, sir. Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Ms. Tedeschi,
17	can you tell me, if I were to look outside right now, where
18	I would look in what direction to find the Milky Way?
19	MS. TEDESCHI: Can I phone a friend back
20	here?
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, appropriate
22	appropriate answer. Appropriate answer. All right.
23	Unless there's anything else from any members of the
24	Commission, I want to thank you for your fun being the
25	last one of the day, being perhaps the most unique in your

Page 235

1	entourage and your responses and your unique
2	qualifications.
3	So we will conclude this portion of our
4	screening process. And I want to remind you that our
5	commission views very seriously both the letter and the
б	spirit of the South Carolina ethics laws. And any
7	violation or the appearance of impropriety would be deemed
8	very serious and deserving of heavy consideration by us and
9	further deliberations.
10	This record, as you know, will remain open
11	until the formal release of the report of qualifications.
12	And as such, you could be called back should there, in the
13	unlikely event we would need to, ask you questions about,
14	perhaps, any violation or the appearance of any violation.
15	You understand that, correct?
16	MS. TEDESCHI: I do, sir. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Ms. Tedeschi,
18	thank you and your family. And you all have a wonderful
19	day.
20	MS. TEDESCHI: Thank you. Stay safe,
21	everybody.
22	(Candidate excused.)
23	(Off the record from 5:28 p.m. to 6:33 p.m.)
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are back on the record.
25	And during executive session, no votes were cast and no

Page 236

1	decisions were made.
2	Now we will proceed to the ballot, starting
3	with the most recently screened. And that being the ALJ
4	position. And Ms. Crawford, please.
5	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, as a reminder,
6	I am going to call out the names of each of the candidates
7	in the Administrative Law Court Seat 3. In each race, I
8	will each commission member has three votes. This is
9	for qualified and nominated.
10	Any candidate that receives six or more
11	votes for qualified and nominated will be considered
12	qualified and nominated at the end of that vote, unless
13	there's a tie. And if there's a tie, then we would go to
14	the next.
15	Any candidate that does not get any ballot
16	votes will be removed from consideration of the subsequent
17	ballot. And I neglected to say, first, you determine
18	whether they're qualified or not. You're to vote on that.
19	We'll start the with Administrative Law
20	Court Seat 3. There are five candidates in the race. And
21	I'll just say them real quickly:
22	Anthony R. Goldman; Stephanie N. Lawrence;
23	Robert L. Reibold; The Honorable Crystal Rookard; and Debra
24	Sherman Tedeschi.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Chairman, I ask

Page 237

1	I ask that we find with whole slate qualified.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All those in favor say
3	"aye."
4	(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any opposition?
6	(Hearing none.)
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: There being none. All
8	right.
9	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I'll now move
10	the names of the candidates in alphabetical order. This is
11	your votes for if they're qualified and nominated. Anthony
12	R. Goldman.
13	(The Commission members vote.)
14	MS. CRAWFORD: Zero votes. Stephanie N.
15	Lawrence.
16	(The Commission members vote.)
17	MS. CRAWFORD: Nine votes. Is there a
18	proxy?
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I don't know.
20	MS. CRAWFORD: No? Nine?
21	MR. STROM: Not on this one.
22	MS. CRAWFORD: Nine votes. Robert L.
23	Reibold.
24	(The Commission members vote.)
25	MS. CRAWFORD: Eight or nine?

1	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: I think it's nine.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Wait a minute. Wait a
3	minute. Recount, y'all. Hands up.
4	(The Commission members vote.)
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And a proxy. She's voting
б	for Pete?
7	MR. STROM: Yeah.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: She's voting for Reibold,
9	or not?
10	MR. STROM: No, she's not voting.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay.
12	MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. The Honorable Crystal
13	Rookard.
14	(The Commission members vote.)
15	MR. STROM: All right. She is voting for
16	Rookard.
17	MS. CRAWFORD: Two votes.
18	MR. STROM: I'm voting by proxy, not me.
19	MS. CRAWFORD: Debra Sherman Tedeschi.
20	(The Commission members vote.)
21	MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, the
22	qualified and nominated candidates: Stephanie N. Lawrence,
23	Robert L. Reibold, and Debra Sherman Tedeschi.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Now we will proceed to
25	Circuit Court 13th Circuit, Seat 3.

Page 239

1	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, the candidates
2	in that race, Circuit Court, 13th Circuit, Seat 3: Steven
3	Edward Buckingham; A. Lance Crick; Patrick C. Fant; Will
4	Grove; G.D., or "Doc," Morgan Jr.
5	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Mr. Chairman, I
6	make a motion to find all of them qualified.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Seconded?
8	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Seconded.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. All those in
10	favor say "aye."
11	(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any opposition?
13	(Hearing none.)
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: There being none, we will
15	proceed to a vote.
16	MS. CRAWFORD: Steven Edward Buckingham.
17	(The Commission members vote at this time.)
18	MS. CRAWFORD: A. Lance Crick.
19	(The Commission members vote.)
20	MS. CRAWFORD: Is that eight or nine? Nine.
21	Patrick C. Fant.
22	(The Commission members vote.)
23	MS. CRAWFORD: Will Grove.
24	(The Commission members vote.)
25	MS. CRAWFORD: G.D. Morgan Jr.

1	(The Commission members vote.)
2	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman: A. Lance Crick,
3	Patrick C. Fant, G.D. Morgan Jr.
4	For the Circuit Court At Large Seat 12, let
5	me read the candidates. We have fourteen candidates:
6	Erin E. Bailey; Brett H. Bayne; The
7	Honorable Daniel McLeod Coble; Meredith Long Coker, H.
8	Steven DeBerry IV; B. Alex Hyman; Regina Hollins Lewis;
9	William Vickery Meetze; David W. Miller; Derek A. Shoemake;
10	Franklin G. Shuler Jr.; Kate Whetstone Usry; The Honorable
11	Dale E. Van Slambrook; S. Boyd Young.
12	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Mr. Chairman, I
13	made a motion to find all of them qualified.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All in favor say "aye."
15	(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any opposition?
17	(Hearing none.)
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: There being none. Now we
19	can proceed to a vote.
20	MS. CRAWFORD: Erin E. Bailey.
21	(The Commission members vote.)
22	MS. CRAWFORD: Brett H. Bayne.
23	(The Commission members vote.)
24	MS. CRAWFORD: The Honorable Daniel McLeod
25	Coble.

Page 241

1	(The Commission members vote.)	
2	MS. CRAWFORD: Meredith Long Coker.	
3		
	(The Commission members vote.)	
4	MS. CRAWFORD: H. Steven DeBerry IV.	
5	(The Commission members vote at this time.)	
6	MS. CRAWFORD: B. Alex Hyman.	
7	(The Commission members vote.)	
8	MS. CRAWFORD: Regina Hollins Lewis.	
9	(The Commission members vote.)	
10	MS. CRAWFORD: William Vickery Meetze	
11	(The Commission members vote.)	
12	MS. CRAWFORD: David W. Miller.	
13	(The Commission members vote.)	
14	MS. CRAWFORD: Derek A. Shoemake.	
15	(The Commission members vote.)	
16	MS. CRAWFORD: Franklin G. Shuler Jr.	
17	(The Commission members vote.)	
18	MS. CRAWFORD: Kate Whetstone Usry.	
19	(The Commission members vote.)	
20	MS. CRAWFORD: The Honorable Dale E.	Van
21	Slambrook.	
22	(The Commission members vote.)	
23	MS. CRAWFORD: S. Boyd Young.	
24	(The Commission members vote.)	
25	MS. CRAWFORD: And, Mr. Chairman, hav	ing
		_

Γ

1	received eight votes: Steven DeBerry, qualified and
2	nominated. B. Alex Hyman received four. Regina Hollins
3	Lewis, three. Franklin D. Shuler, four. Kate Whetstone
4	Usry, two. Van Slambrook, four. Boyd Young, one.
5	We move to a second ballot.
6	MR. STROM: We get two votes this time,
7	correct?
8	MS. CRAWFORD: Correct. And those that
9	didn't get any votes, I do not repeat. The Honorable
10	Daniel McLeod Coble.
11	(The Commission members vote.)
12	MS. CRAWFORD: B. Alex Hyman.
13	(The Commission members vote.)
14	MS. CRAWFORD: Regina Hollins Lewis.
15	(The Commission members vote.)
16	MS. CRAWFORD: Derek A. Shoemake.
17	(The Commission members vote.)
18	MS. CRAWFORD: Franklin G. Shuler Jr.
19	(The Commission members vote.)
20	MS. CRAWFORD: Kate Whetstone Usry.
21	(The Commission members vote.)
22	MS. CRAWFORD: The Honorable Dale E. Van
23	Slambrook.
24	(The Commission members vote.)
25	MS. CRAWFORD: S. Boyd Young.

Page 243

1	(The Commission members vote.)				
2	MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. So the qualified and				
3	nominated would be Alex Hyman and The Honorable Dale E. Van				
4	Slambrook.				
5	For Circuit Court At Large, Seat 4				
б	reelection, The Honorable Ned Miller.				
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. We are going				
8	to take this up. I want to ask this committee and I'm				
9	going to put it to a vote. But for Bryant Ricky Bryant				
10	and his well, actually, it's only actually him.				
11	The complaint that he filed, it would be my				
12	view that this is not new material, that this has been				
13	litigated before, presented before.				
14	We heard testimony that the only difference				
15	was the actual submission of records that was presented to				
16	us, which we accepted in the record. Mr. Bryant testified				
17	that he had effectively testified to the same material				
18	before.				
19	It would be my view that, again, we don't				
20	need to allow a re-litigation of a prior and dismissed				
21	complaint, six, eight years later ad nauseum. And so I				
22	would put that to the vote, to the committee to decide,				
23	whether or not we dismiss that as violating precedent and				
24	prior rulings by this commission.				
25	SENATOR SABB: Mr. Chairman.				

Γ

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Sabb. SENATOR SABB: Mr. Chairman, I would just				
state for the record that you literally took the words out				
ink that				
n, to				
clear				
ne that				
se in				
)				
ng.				
a				
to				
s wife,				
ite the				
5 W				

Page 245

1	information, and make a decision on that within our purview			
2	of the nine evaluative criteria that we are charged with.			
3	Obviously, everyone was here and heard the testimony.			
4	Again, whether or not it meets within our			
5	purview and those nine evaluative criteria, is really a			
6	question. I think I have my own view of that. But			
7	nonetheless, we will receive that as information and now			
8	proceed to a vote on Mr. Miller in terms of his			
9	qualifications and nominations.			
10	So do I have a motion for Judge Miller's			
11	qualifications qualifications to serve and be reelected?			
12	SENATOR SABB: Mr. Chairman, I would move			
13	that we find Judge Miller both qualified and nominated.			
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Seconded. All right. Any			
15	opposition to that?			
16	(Hearing none.)			
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. All those in			
18	favor of both qualification and nomination of Judge Miller,			
19	say "aye."			
20	(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")			
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Or raise your hands,			
22	please.			
23	MR. STROM: And one for Hope.			
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right.			
25	SENATOR SABB: Two abstentions.			

Page 246

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Two abstentions on that.				
2	Okay.				
3	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, the next race				
4	would be the 14th Circuit Court 14th Circuit, Seat 1.				
5	We had two candidates: Robert Bonds and Tameaka Legette.				
6	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Mr. Chairman, I				
7	without move that we find Mr. Bonds and Ms. Legette				
8	qualified and nominated.				
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Secondly. All those in				
10	favor, please raise your hand.				
11	(The Commission members raise their hands.)				
12	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I believe the				
13	last candidate we have wanting to be screened to serve in				
14	active retired capacity, The Honorable Robin B. Stilwell.				
15	And you just vote on where he would be qualified or not				
16	recommended to the seat.				
17	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: I make a motion that				
18	we find Judge Stilwell qualified, Mr. Chairman.				
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Motion made				
20	and seconded. All in favor, please raise your hand.				
21	(The Commission members raise their hands.)				
22	MS. CRAWFORD: I think that covers it.				
23	Emma, did we get everybody?				
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And a unanimous vote on				
25	that. So all those on Monday have been voted out. And if				

1	you will get your sign-in sheets and vote tallies.
2	Anything else to come before us today? If not, we'll see
3	you all on the 30th of November.
4	(OFF THE RECORD AT 6:50 P.M.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 248

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER			
2				
3	I, PATRICIA G. BACHAND, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY			
4	PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, DO			
5	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT CONSISTING OF			
6	247 PAGES IS A TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLETE RECORD TO THE			
7	BEST OF MY SKILL AND ABILITY.			
8	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR			
9	COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE			
10	PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY			
11	INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE.			
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS			
13	1ST DAY OF DECEMBER 2020.			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21	Satricia Habachand,			
22	Hatraca Dalachand			
23	PATRICIA G. BACHAND, COURT REPORTER			
24	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MARCH 8, 2027			
25				

	201119PB.	201119PB.	10	39:13 156:8
Exhibits	JMSC_Morgan_	JMSC_	92:17	203:24
	Exh 10	Rookard_	10:01	16-plus
201119PB.	5:2 92:17	Exh 21	7:4	95:7
JMSC_	201119PB.	6:5 197:13	10:22	17
Buckingham_	JMSC_Morgan_	201119PB.	7:4	45:4 156:11
Exh 1	Exh 11	JMSC_	11	177:7
3:23 8:1	5:5 92:20	Rookard_	92:20	18
201119PB.	201119PB.	Exh 22	12	155:22 156:14
JMSC_	JMSC_	6:8 197:16	106:4 134:1	218:3
Buckingham_	Goldman_	201119PB.	240:4	19
Exh 2	Exh 12	JMSC_	120	40:2 155:23
4:2 8:4	5:8 134:1	Rookard_	11:12	180:13
201119PB.	201119PB.	Exh 23	125	19-plus
JMSC_Crick_	JMSC_	6:11 197:19	222:22	43:2
Exh 3	Goldman_	201119PB.	12:17	1973
4:5 36:1	Exh 13	JMSC_	91:17	32:4
201119PB.	5:11 134:4	Tedeschi_		1981
JMSC_Crick_	201119PB.	Exh 24	12th	216:3
Exh 4	JMSC_	6:14 214:11	88:2	
4:8 36:4	Lawrence_	201119PB.	13	1:35 91:17
201119PB.	Exh 16	JMSC_	95:11 134:4	91:17
JMSC_Fant_	5:14 156:8	Tedeschi_	135:1,25 136:16	
Exh 5	201119PB.	Exh 25	137:14,21	2
4:11 54:2	JMSC_	6:17 214:14	141:5,10	$\frac{1}{2}$
201119PB.	Lawrence_	201119PB.	145:10,12 150:23 163:4	8:4 217:3
JMSC_Fant_	Exh 17	JMSC_		2-19-
Exh 6	5:17 156:11	Tedeschi_	137	190:1
4:14 54:5	201119PB.	Exh 26	140:14	2-19-70
201119PB.	JMSC_	6:20 214:17	13th	
JMSC_Grove_	Lawrence_		37:14 44:23	17:5 46:19 47:5 61:11 80:13
Exh 7	Exh 18	\$	- 77:18 238:25	101:19 225:12
4:17 72:13	5:20 156:14	¥ 	_ 239:2	
201119PB.	201119PB.	\$1,000	14	2-19-70(E) 17:16 61:22
JMSC_Grove_	JMSC_Reibold_	225:13	95:14	80:23 102:5
Exh 8	Exh 19	\$12	14th	143:19
4:20 72:16	5:23 180:13	39:11	246:4	
201119PB.	201119PB.		- 150	20
JMSC_Grove_	JMSC_Reibold_	1	- 39:11	37:12 40:2 95:9
Exh 9	Exh 20		- 158	180:16 199:8 200:8
4:23 72:19	6:2 180:16	1	187:14 203:24	
		8:1 246:4	16	2000
				39:1

2001	24	39	57	
37:18 38:8	11:13 72:2,6	29:25 30:1	35:9	9
2003	214:11 217:19	3:31	5:28	·
168:25 205:4	223:16 229:18	155:2	235:23	9
2006	24/7	3:42	200.20	72:19 193:20
169:1	169:14	155:2		90
2010	25	10012	6	163:4 225:14
218:20,21	183:3 214:14	4	6	90s
226:17	26	4	54:5 169:2	106:8,25
2011	214:17	4	60	911
72:2 205:19	27	36:4 243:5	63:18 96:1	37:18
2012	187:15	40	104:15 105:5	99
15:21 16:5	292	30:1 224:24	60-plus	218:10
205:19	41:16	40-year-old	97:13	
2014	2:30	29:25	600	A
21:14 35:12	133:1	403's	40:23	
79:2,4	10011	188:24	6:33	A&m
2015	3	45	235:23	174:19,20
38:21 201:22		95:17	6:50	a.m.
2016	3	48	247:4	7:4
31:20 35:14	36:1 236:7,20	96:6 143:16		aback
215:7 217:2	238:25 239:2	164:21 189:24	7	194:12
2017	3.5	207:19 225:9		abilities
39:2 208:11,18	188:2	49	7	106:13
212:12	30	41:16	72:13	ability
2018	58:8 113:25	4:38	70(E)	16:10 22:1
39:5	224:24	132:24	190:2	29:14 42:13
2019	30-minute	4th		46:1 60:13
40:1	25:5	83:13 88:1,3,9	8	75:25 78:12,14
21	30th			79:16 85:16
197:13	247:3	5	8	100:17 144:1
211	32		72:16 193:21	151:3 165:5
57:25	117:19	5	8-year-old	190:10 193:3
215	33	54:2	194:11	206:17 225:21
75:18 78:1	128:6	50	80	234:10
22	35	21:7 63:18	132:24	abnormally
197:16 222:23	94:6,12 95:21	75:18 78:8	80s	50:18
23	97:12 104:22	95:17	106:6,25	ABOTA
58:1 197:19	105:5,6 109:13	501	83	107:6
232	112:4	16:19 46:7	84:14 216:4	absent
96:5	38	60:22 79:25	84	125:8
2010	140:15	101:2	216:4	

absolutely	accompaniments	actively	187:15 199:15,	18,20,21,25
22:8 27:24	215:15	41:20 161:24	17 203:25	141:6 142:8
31:23 52:25	accomplish	221:4	219:14,16,17	150:20,22
117:15 127:23	30:11	activities	222:23	151:25 153:20
129:25 191:22	accounts	223:7	Additionally	158:23 159:4,5,
204:2 229:15	55:19	activity	22:20	14,19,21 160:9,
230:5,15 231:3	accumulation	226:13	additions	12,18,24 161:5,
abstain	83:23	actual	7:21	8,13,15 162:6,7,
244:20	accurate	200:11 243:15	address	8,11,12,14,16
abstaining	59:24	acuity	22:4 96:16 97:2	163:22,23
244:13,14,15	accused	10:20 24:5	184:19 188:12	170:11,17 180:4
abstentions	122:15	acumen	addressed	181:22 182:11,
244:17,22	achieve	62:23	72:7 188:10	16,21,22,24
245:25 246:1	25:25 99:24	ad	addressing	183:7 184:14,
Abuse	139:23	15:23 243:21	100:9	20,23 185:10,
41:1	acknowledge	adage	adequate	12,14,19,21,23
abysmal	32:7 33:9 52:23	109:3	139:3 227:8	186:17 187:3,6,
148:22	90:17		adequately	7 188:10,13
academic		adapt 49:10 62:23	11:10	191:11,14,15,17
16:10 46:1	acknowledgmen t		adherence	192:21,23,24
60:12 79:16	1 33:7 178:11	adapted	33:1 90:19	199:4,10,13,16
100:17 144:1		99:15	212:25	200:6,7,16,19,
148:15 149:17	ACL	add		22 201:1
165:5 190:9	188:20	43:13 66:12	adheres 70:21	202:10,12,15,21
206:17 225:21	Act	113:15 188:11		204:6,12 217:14
234:5	40:4 135:2	232:8	adjudicate	218:10,12
accept	137:14 150:22	added	151:11 204:17	219:10,15,21
35:18 97:4,9	160:12,13,24	41:5 144:4	adjudicated	220:8,10,12,13,
124:23	191:18 201:1	153:21	137:17 201:24	18,19,24,25
acceptance	221:1 228:3	addition	adjudicating	221:3,4,12,14 222:5,9,11
26:13	acting	11:2 29:12 33:1	140:8	, ,
accepted	40:2,7 49:19	54:15 71:24	adjustment	223:3 227:17,20 228:4,9,23
243:16	165:25	93:3 118:25	13:17	229:4 230:5
Access	action	140:21 160:11	administration	229:4 230:5
187:9	13:1 162:21	180:23 200:11	43:4	· ·
accident	233:13	201:15 214:7,22	administrative	administratively
98:12 120:13	actions	221:6 229:7	38:23 39:10	229:3
	25:4	additional	59:9 134:25	administrators
accidentally	active	11:13 41:16	135:2,20,25	160:2
207:11,14	42:1 43:5 69:3	58:1 75:18 79:9	136:12,17	admirable
accommodate	86:2 175:13,14	96:6 137:4,6	137:2,5,13,19,	231:22
73:11 171:25	200:22 220:16	140:15 161:12,	20 138:14,19	admiration
	228:24 246:14	14 163:4 182:14	139:21 140:5,	114:16

adopt	affirmative	agenda	ALJ	America
45:7	39:16	208:17	149:3 182:20	31:6 136:19
adoption	affirming	agents	183:21 184:16	amount
45:16	121:7	45:14	188:22 217:2	30:8 77:2 83:9,
advance	afforded	aggravating	236:3	23 96:12 98:6
65:4,14 77:15	122:3	26:7,12	allegations	104:3 183:10,20
86:17 189:1	AFH	agility	13:5	amounts
advantage	172:20	24:5	allege	76:1
27:2 84:2	AFR	agree	13:12	analysis
advent	165:18 166:23	67:25 68:9 83:2	Allendale	26:17 75:11
85:19	167:7	106:19 107:25	169:20 205:6	analyzing
adversarial	afraid	127:17 130:16	alleviate	211:1
43:20	127:6,21	177:5	21:15,25 63:21	and/or
adversary	afternoon	agreed	64:2	135:14 217:10
59:25 117:21	41:4 112:19,20	120:22,25	allowed	Anderson
advice	114:8 135:18,19	121:21	64:19 176:4,9	110:8,10,16
84:20	165:17 208:6,7	agreeing	alluded	124:16,17
advisement	afterthought	124:23	59:6	130:2,6
87:1	76:24	ahead	Almighty	Andy
advising	age	9:14 19:14	114:20	115:12 123:3
169:7 227:23	10:6 14:2 28:11	76:16 77:14	alphabetical	announced
advocacy	37:7 56:6 74:18	92:6 171:9	237:10	64:8 164:16
218:6	76:9 84:18	181:10	amazed	224:22
advocate	93:25 106:22	airplane	129:1	announcement
13:15 78:10,14	115:21 124:1	70:12	amazing	105:2
148:13 162:17	135:15 158:19	Aisha	34:13 96:12	announcing
163:9 185:20	181:18 199:1	176:19	163:11	139:20
202:13 219:6	217:11	aisle	Amen	anonymity
221:13,18	agencies	43:7	177:24	82:1
Affairs	138:11 141:11	AKA	amend	anonymous
159:16 201:18	160:19 162:21	177:20	214:3	12:8 29:13
affected	165:22 166:5,6	albeit	amended	112:8
162:20	182:24 183:4	229:4	53:22 72:17	answering
affidavits	184:23 200:10	ALC	156:12 197:17	36:23
8:19 36:16	201:16 219:22,	163:14 165:8	214:15	answers
54:21 73:7	24 221:17	223:4	amendment	194:21,22
93:11 134:18	230:24	alcohol	35:19 180:1,7	Anthony
157:2 181:5	agency	138:3,5	224:19	133:8 134:2,5
198:6 215:11	137:22 159:20	Alex	amendments	157:12,14
affiliation	166:4 185:3,9	240:8 241:6	23:10,16 179:24	236:22 237:11
43:1	218:8	242:2,12 243:3	197:5 214:4	anticipate

78:15 86:22	215:13	applies	arbitrations	armed
anticipated	appears	73:6	201:10 228:2	21:23
188:12	36:13 54:19	apply	arbitrator	Army
anymore	73:2 93:7 112:5	31:18 183:20	192:13	219:3,4 227:19,
49:16 126:11	134:12 146:10	184:14,16	architectural	23 228:15
APA	156:22 181:3	186:24 200:2	173:24	arrival
169:25 191:17,	198:4 214:25	applying	area	208:15
24	appellant	184:14 203:13	14:25 23:22	arson
apolitical	21:10	appointed	67:13 75:16	99:8,9,10 110:9
43:3,5	appellate	24:17 39:5 61:3	98:19,22 136:12	114:25
apologies	14:13 16:19	92:6 103:2	150:21 199:18	art
140:3	21:9 46:8 60:22	218:19	200:1 220:6	233:13
apparently	79:25 101:3	appointment	areas	articles
89:24 148:4	183:1,11,20	48:7,13	10:21 14:10	8:12 36:12
appeal	218:6,25	appreciated	16:13 137:3,11	54:18 73:1 93:6
14:15,17 23:8,9	220:16,17,20	84:23	139:10 161:11,	134:12 156:21
147:6 183:15	223:3 229:10	appreciating	22 186:19	181:3 198:3
appealable	232:1	172:11	187:10,11	214:25
159:20 161:5	applaud	appreciation	191:21 192:14	artistic
162:14	70:5	74:8 128:18	193:16 199:14	233:15
appealed	apple	appreciative	203:7 219:13	ascended
200:19	19:1	115:10 147:17	222:1 232:2	40:1
appeals	applicable	approach	arena	ascension
21:13 182:22	36:14 58:4 77:8	12:5,23 13:11	76:1 103:1	84:13
183:4,7,11,13,	84:1	14:23 15:6 26:4,	162:20 195:3	aspect
16,19 185:3	applicants	19 77:25 210:16	argued	21:13 149:8,24
200:24,25	139:19 218:17,	approachability	94:14 183:11,	151:16 191:23
228:11 229:10	24	122:2	12,14,15 184:8	aspects
appearance	application	approached	201:7	77:11 148:14,16
33:3 52:16	8:10 30:9,25	14:24	argument	149:12 191:25
70:23 90:8,21	36:11 54:16	approaching	78:13,15	230:11
91:3 132:14	72:25 93:5	78:19 122:7	argumentative	aspersions
154:16 170:13	134:10 152:10	appropriately	12:4	106:12
178:7 195:25	156:19 180:2	72:7	arguments	aspiration
213:4 235:7,14	181:1 198:1	approximately	86:22 201:12,13	194:25
appeared	214:23	37:12,18 39:11	arise	aspired
8:13 83:10	applications	218:3	132:17	172:19
146:22 152:16	138:3	apt	arises	aspiring
160:1 170:10	applied	211:8	12:17 52:22	84:13
185:11 220:22	29:21 84:20	arbitration	154:21 196:6	assault
appearing	204:16	192:10	arising	121:17,22
142:23 186:1		172.10	23:10	

Assembly	association	148:5 151:1	August	
17:7,10 46:21,	13:21	152:2,16 153:4	234:2	B
24 61:13,16	assume	159:9,18,22	AUSA	
80:14,17	144:17	167:9 171:21	45:5	back
101:21,24 143:6	assuming	199:9 200:9	AUSAS	8:24 12:14
150:15 164:6	90:2	217:19 219:3	39:12,13	19:25 20:24
187:2 189:13	assumption	223:16,22	author	21:13 23:19,21
207:4 224:10	223:13	226:12,14	28:15	30:12 33:13
asserts		227:18 228:15,		38:18 44:6
12:3	assure	16 229:13,17	authority	50:22 52:21
assess	233:16	230:2	39:21 182:3	56:15,19 58:15
211:2	assured	attorney's	auto	60:1,6 64:5 66:1
	142:3	34:20 37:17	39:17	69:22 72:2 74:1
asset 230:15	astronomy	38:6,22 44:18	avail	91:1,9,15,19
	215:22 216:3	48:21 146:14,21	66:6,22 122:3	95:11 102:15
assets	atmosphere	152:25	availing	104:10,18
68:15	114:15		129:21	105:7,14,17,21
assigned	attached	attorneys 22:25 29:20	avenue	106:5,8 108:16,
45:14 159:17	224:22		182:11	19,23 110:6
assist	attack	38:25 57:14,20	avoid	122:5,12,17,24
10:11 37:11	124:24	75:23 84:13	203:14	123:16,20,21,25
56:23 74:23	attempting	127:14 136:14	aware	124:4,6,13
94:5 135:22	17:11,14 46:25	141:13 142:12,	16:17 17:11,13	125:12 126:5,24
158:24 181:23	61:17 80:18	17 145:8,17	33:6 46:5,6,25	127:25 132:15
199:5 210:10	101:25 143:7	150:3,8,15,25	54:8 60:20	150:11,12
217:15 229:14	164:7 189:14	160:16 172:1	61:17 67:12	154:20 155:15
assistant	207:5 224:11	199:24 218:17,	71:1 79:6,23	168:14 170:14
38:5,24 39:3,6,		23 230:12	80:20 82:1	174:3 178:15,24
7,22,25 41:12	attempts	attract	100:25 101:25	182:18 193:24
44:21 49:19	190:13	115:19		195:2 196:5
82:13 89:2	attended	attracted	143:7,17,20	213:8 216:3
228:16	21:12	84:12	164:7,22	213.8 210.3
assistants	attention	attractive	178:10,12	
95:4	28:16 163:9	87:4	189:14,25 196:3	235:12,24
	attorney	attracts	207:5,20 223:14	backed
assisted	26:10 39:2,3,5,8	104:17	224:11 225:10,	120:15
209:2 229:14	40:3,5,7 41:12,	attribute	15 226:19	background
assists	13 48:14 49:19	223:25 234:13	awful	167:14 188:14
218:16	54:11 76:4		48:5,18	204:9 227:10
associate	103:13,22 136:2	audibly 237:4 239:11	aye	bad
211:24	141:12,21		237:3,4 239:10,	50:18 87:10
associates	142:20,23	240:15 244:11	11 240:14,15	102:24 116:20
108:4 201:23	146:10,13,16,	245:20	244:10,11	badly
	22,24 147:2,11		245:19,20	174:17
	, _ ,			

baffled	baptism	150:8 151:10	bemoaning	181:14,20
194:23	184:6	192:5,7	124:18	190:4,15,24
Bailey	Bar	basketball	Ben	bet
240:6,20	14:8 21:16 22:1	232:15,23 233:2	48:22	121:9
Baker	26:25 40:17,22	battery	bench	Beth
198:21 199:2	41:21 42:1	121:17,23	14:8 15:7 18:17	39:2
206:12,20	48:25 51:3	Bayne	21:5 24:9 30:7	bias
207:16,23	58:22 63:22	240:6,22	31:9 36:8 42:8,	15:9
balance	65:11 69:15	bearing	15 72:22 75:24	biases
110:19,21 111:2	75:7 81:13,18	15:19	76:6,18,20 77:8,	226:20
150:14 172:5	85:17 86:5	bears	17 83:11 84:9,	big
balancing	100:20 104:12	177:9	13 85:7,22	88:12 94:7
171:23	107:11 112:3,14		86:16 94:8,18	99:19 102:19
ball	114:15 116:6	beat	96:3,10 99:13	107:6 125:17,20
111:23,24	128:23 146:9	110:16 120:7	100:7 104:11	,
ballot	186:7,12 187:8	174:11,17	105:20 106:4,14	bigger 44:24
	204:4 210:25	beauty	107:11,18	
8:10 11:12,14	218:17,24	128:16	111:21 112:3	biggest
36:10 41:16,17	Barton	bed	113:17 116:6	108:9 229:15
44:16 51:21	158:9,13,14,21	97:16	118:25 121:9	Billy
54:16 58:1,2 62:10 72:24	164:25	bedrock	128:1 130:10,13	128:10,11 129:2
	baseball	222:7	140:22 145:13,	birthday
75:18,19 81:11,	111:16	began	15 146:6	110:23
24 93:4 96:4,5,7	based	56:25	148:16,19 149:1	bit
113:1 134:9	10:3 37:3 41:8	beginning	150:7 151:25	18:4 43:13
140:14,16 144:23 156:19	44:18 56:1	58:18 84:4	162:2 163:11	83:14 94:19,20
163:4,5 166:11	74:14 86:19	146:21 147:10	180:20 184:3	96:25 108:18
180:25 187:14,	93:21 115:5	behalf	189:4 190:14	114:5 116:13
16 198:1	118:24 135:12	17:10,12 28:13	197:24 201:24	144:18 151:14
203:24,25	153:5 158:15	46:24 47:1	202:6 204:5	152:24 167:7
203.24,23 210:25 214:22	181:15 198:22	61:16,18 80:17,	210:25 212:7	170:24 186:15
210.23 214.22 222:22,24	202:8 217:7	19 101:24 102:1	benediction	209:23 212:18
236:2,15,17	basically	143:6,8 164:6,8	9:6,15	227:14 229:7
242:5	25:10 39:7 45:6	189:13,15	benefit	bite
	67:7 68:16 90:1	201:16 207:4,6	24:25 77:13,17	19:1
banished	114:15 131:2	224:10,12	124:12 141:2	black
48:8	149:11 162:18	behind-the-	186:9,14	152:9
Bank	229:1	148:15	benefits	blah
136:19	basics	belabor	200:15	117:3,4
banking	89:10	153:7	Bennettsville	blame
136:18	basis	believes	88:5,7	123:9
banter	140:9 141:13	12:9	BENSON	blanket
124:4	140.7 141.13		DENOUN	86:25

Blanton	100:1	breakfast	broken	byzantine
94:25	bound	123:6	39:15	23:18
blessing	16:18 46:7	breakout	brought	
30:21 176:2	60:21 79:24	41:3 66:15	23:7 30:13	C
blood	101:1 112:4	breaks	50:24 152:8	
195:4	box	233:8	173:13,16	cabin
blueprint	8:10 11:13,14	breed	179:4,7	128:24
40:9	23:6 36:10	116:7	Bryant	Cadet
board	41:16,17 44:16	Brett	243:9,16	119:15,16
35:12,13 59:15	51:21 54:16	240:6,22	Buck	calculations
62:16 161:3	58:1,2 62:11	bride	103:6 106:7	200:24
169:20 228:18	72:24 75:18,19	70:14 71:9	Buckingham	calendars
boardroom	81:11,24 93:4	87:18	7:6,10,15,16,22,	171:8
132:7	96:5,7 113:1	briefly	25 8:3,6,16,23	call
boards	134:9 140:15,16	31:20 40:16	9:4,17,25 10:1,	24:9 27:15
159:14	144:23 156:19	100:25 216:19	5,9 11:23 15:20	29:19 43:9 44:1
boast	163:4,5 166:11	briefs	16:8,17 18:1,18	56:20 66:1
234:11	180:25 187:14,	85:24 183:18	20:21 28:20,24	77:19 91:1
Bob	16 198:1	192:1 200:20	33:9,15,17,20	103:18 108:13
54:11	203:24,25	bright	239:3,16	116:20 119:15
bode	210:25 214:22	55:5 149:21,22	budget	128:21 132:15
96:2	222:23,24	157:22 216:9	39:12	162:1 178:15
body	Boyd	223:5	build	236:6
28:16 40:5	240:11 241:23	brilliant	151:4	called
129:18	242:4,25	163:7	built	30:7 33:13
bond	Boykin	bring	14:19	52:21 69:12,22
202:3,4 212:15,	159:9 169:2	42:7 76:2,19	bumped	128:15 154:20
202.3,4 212.13, 16	170:12	104:10 106:14	231:12	193:24 196:5
	branch	122:23 124:13	bunch	228:18 235:12
Bonds 246:5,7	218:8 221:17	151:7 179:5	126:1	calling
,	222:10,13	211:14 213:7	busier	20:8 25:17
book 69:22,24 149:18	branches	217:22 230:16	212:15	56:11
, ,	89:20 139:9,11,	bringing	business	calls
books 23:23	12 186:18,19	45:15 47:19	12:12 94:22	24:9 42:14
	203:6,7,20	152:3	95:2,3,19	calm
born	222:1,2,6,21	brings	141:15 172:20	163:10
52:1 84:14	breadth	42:2	175:21 184:21	camaraderie
95:13 173:5 208:9	217:22	Bristow	210:2,10 229:22	122:6
	break	131:2	businesses	campaign
Boston	15:3 111:9,15,	broad	18:25 209:21,23	54:22 93:12
29:11	17	11:10 24:1	busy	157:3
bottom		161:16	9:9 170:22	
		101.10		

candidacy	172:24 189:17	134:25 135:4	218:4,5 220:13	206:2,5 220:4,
16:23 33:17	195:23 216:22	136:14,17,18,	221:17 223:17,	25 221:2
46:12 52:20	236:6,20 237:10	21,24 140:25	20 224:2 225:11	cases
61:1 64:9 68:16	238:22 239:1	149:4 151:13	226:4,8 228:14	10:14,16 11:1,5,
80:5 90:2 101:7	240:5 246:5	168:24 172:19	229:20 235:6	6,7 14:10,14
139:20 143:12	candidly	199:8,21,23	Caroline	15:3 18:13,15,
164:12 189:20	30:6	217:17 230:12	71:22 92:15	22 19:22 20:9
198:7 207:10	canon	231:22,24	156:6	24:16 25:17
224:16,22	223:23,24	careful	carried	38:1,4,14 45:7,
candidate	canons	123:19 145:22	174:15	10,11,14,15,17,
16:17 17:20,21	122:19 123:18	caring	carriers	18 57:16,21
33:21 46:6 53:6	226:12	59:25	125:17,20	65:6,7,8,12,15
58:8 60:20 62:1,	capable	Carlos	carts	66:17 68:2,3
2 65:6 71:11	147:21	208:19	193:23	87:6,11 94:13
79:7,24 91:14	capacities	Carolina	case	95:21 98:11,13,
100:22 101:1	11:8 206:10	16:19 27:15,23	10:24 15:25	15,16,21 99:7
102:9,10 129:19	capacity	31:25 33:2	16:3 21:10,20,	103:22 106:24
133:4 139:18	10:19 11:4 30:4	35:11 37:14	22 22:22,23	107:3,7,9,10,17,
144:9 155:1	39:6 41:10 43:2	40:22 46:8 47:4	23:3 25:5,15,21	19,20 108:2
158:17 165:11,	44:11 84:18	53:3 59:17	31:20 32:13,14	109:18 110:6,12
12 178:22	85:8 112:16	60:22 61:21	48:9 58:17,19,	114:19,25 115:7
187:18,19	148:5 184:16	65:11,24 69:6,	20,21 77:23	116:5 118:10
190:19 191:1	204:3 205:11	18 70:22 75:1	79:10 84:5	120:5 125:8,15,
196:13 207:25	206:2 246:14	79:25 80:12,22	86:18 99:10	20,24 126:6,9,
208:1 212:23	capital	90:20 101:2	106:17 107:14	11 128:1 136:9
213:14 226:24,	10:17	102:4 104:8	110:8,9,11,14	137:16,18,24,25
25 235:22	car	112:15 132:14	112:23,24	138:2,6,13,19
236:10,15	185:4	136:13 137:1	113:3,7 117:2	139:25 140:8,10
246:13	cards	138:1,7,8,18	121:10,13,16	145:5 146:11
candidate's	13:6	141:8 143:19	123:11 124:7	151:11 153:21
10:3,4 37:4,5	care	151:9 154:23	125:7,9,10,16	161:17,18 162:5,8,12,13
56:2,3 74:15,16	15:11,12,13,17,	159:12,15	126:1,15,22	162:3,8,12,13
93:22,23	18 31:2 110:20	160:4,11,13,14,	127:6,15,18,22	182:23 183:11,
135:12,13	133:3	19,25 161:1	128:9,11 137:22	23 184:9
158:16,17 181:17 193:4	career	163:17 167:10	138:25 141:1,18	185:15,16
198:23,24	11:10 13:19	169:10 171:22	142:4 145:9,20,	186:23 188:18,
217:7,8	20:8 47:22	172:10 173:14,	22,24 146:12,	20 194:18
candidates	56:25 57:4,14,	16,17 174:12,17	18,21 147:5,6,	199:19,25
29:3 51:22	16 59:1,3 70:14	175:24 178:6	10 148:13,24	200:5,23 201:24
52:14 62:12	76:16,21,23,24	183:14 190:1	149:12 151:4,19	204:17 205:13,
104:2,5 114:13	84:5 94:23	193:3,13 196:8	152:3,13 153:2,	19 206:3 211:4
129:18 154:13	104:23 115:6	201:17,18 213:1	3 174:25 175:1	220:7,20 221:5
127.10 134.13		216:23 217:21	187:23 205:13	

228:13 230:3,4	Chairman	172:13,15	chamber	Charlotte
cast	7:1,5,7,13,17,23	174:7,10,16,19,	129:8	136:20 175:22
8:25 235:25	8:7,18 9:14,22,	20,21,22 175:1,	chambers	Charter
casting	24 17:18,22,23,	6,8 176:6,17	108:23 122:5	113:7
106:12	25 20:13,16,19	177:2,3,25	123:16,20	cheaper
casualty	27:11,12 29:1,2	178:1,13,23	127:25 129:15	211:10
179:9	32:22 33:11,16	179:3,10,17,23	championship-	check
catch	36:6 37:2 45:20	180:6,11,18	winning	8:13 36:14
85:16	47:7,17 53:7,14,	181:12,14 190:4	232:24	54:19 73:7
	16,23 54:1,8,14	191:3,4,7	championships	93:10 134:17
categories	55:1,4,7,21,24,	195:10,13,19	232:17	156:22 215:1
16:8	25 61:24 62:3,4,	196:11,14,17,24	chance	checks
caught	7 63:5,7 67:2	197:4,7,11,22	18:3 209:3	181:4 198:4
50:17	68:20,23 69:21	198:10,18,21		
causation	70:9,17 71:8,12,	208:2,3 209:12,	change 27:21 52:2	cherish 234:14
59:8	18 72:9,12,21	15,16 210:20,23	78:22 155:25	
caused	73:5,15,20,25	212:20 213:3,	202:17	Chesterfield
102:16	74:3,11,13 81:1,	11,15,17,23		88:6
cautioned	5,6,8 82:16	214:6,10,20	changed	chiding
7:11 34:6 53:12	87:19 90:11,13	215:4,8,20,23	110:17,25 111:1	103:8
71:15 92:1	91:5,7,13,18	216:2,8,13	155:23 193:12	Chief
133:9 155:12	92:3,9,15,22	217:1,5 227:1,2	changing	41:13 200:12
179:15 196:22	93:3,10,19,20	231:6,7 233:6,	202:18	child
213:21	96:20,22 102:7,	12,15,21,25	character	43:23 169:18
cell	11,12,14 112:18	234:4,16,21	11:18 16:10	171:13 211:20
175:11	113:13,14,20	235:17,24	46:1 47:20	children
Center	115:13 117:16,	236:5,25 237:2,	60:13 79:16	65:23 87:14
59:16	17 118:12,16	5,7,9,19 238:1,	100:17 103:12	choice
certificate	119:3,6,8,11,20,	2,5,8,11,21,24	140:19 144:2	76:24
137:24	23 120:2,4	239:1,5,7,9,12,	147:19 153:2	choose
certification	128:2,4 130:19,	14 240:2,12,14,	165:5 190:10	28:6,7
167:11	22 131:13,15	16,18 241:25	206:17 218:15,	chose
certifications	132:1,5,6,11,22	243:7,25 244:1,	23 223:7,9	192:23 231:14,
160:21	133:1,2,5,11,18,	2,9,12,14,16,21	225:21 226:5,15	15
certified	22,25 134:6,16	245:12,14,17,	characteristic	chosen
57:9 192:13	135:8,10 143:21	21,24 246:1,3,6,	60:9	29:21
chagrin	144:11,13,15,	9,12,17,18,19,	charge	Chris
85:21	16,17 155:3,5,8,	24	21:24 145:16	177:13
chair	14,19,24 156:3,	challenge	charged	chrono
159:22 162:10	6,16 157:1,9,13,	98:17,20,23	245:2	37:21
	15,22 158:1,5,	challenges	charges	Cindy
chaired	12,14 165:12,14	40:8	65:16	34:13
37:24	166:20,21			54.15

Circuit	city	4	client's	Code
10:11,12 25:14	57:3 88:12	clear	147:4 152:22	16:18 17:16
37:11,14 42:15	202:4	7:18 139:14	175:3	46:7 47:4 60:21
44:12,23 56:9,	civil	189:16 244:6	clients	61:21 79:24
23 62:24 67:9	10:15,23 11:5,6	Cleburne	20:11 77:24	80:12,22 101:1
74:23 75:4	18:8 22:6 39:9,	56:4	78:10 148:1	102:4 143:19
77:18 82:22	14,20,24 41:4	Clementa	175:21 184:21	190:1 225:11
83:6,13 85:20	42:3,9 44:16	27:20	185:9 230:10,11	coffee
88:3,9 89:20	49:11,20,22		clink	85:2 101:10,12
94:5 110:3	57:5 58:12,24	Clemson 231:15	103:13	108:24 122:10
117:3 122:2	59:1,10,14		clip	130:25
124:24 183:5,	63:16,17 65:9	clerk	50:14	cognizant
12,17,25 184:8	68:3 74:25 75:7	11:3,7 13:19 22:10 43:10	cloak	186:2
190:14 192:20,	76:9 77:13	56:24 58:16	182:3	Coker
22 193:2 201:4	82:20,25 84:1,5	59:12 65:21	close	240:7 241:2
238:25 239:2	85:2,15 86:2	69:3 122:4	32:24 33:13	collaborator
240:4 243:5	89:7,13 96:15,	139:4 140:25	42:13 43:8 53:3	42:25
246:4	19,25 97:8 98:3	144:23 148:6	70:1 90:24	collar
circuits	99:11,22 100:6	154:2 183:2	95:16 123:7	45:1
75:3 88:1	125:2 160:13,25	223:22 226:15	132:12	
circumstance	171:1 184:15	230:18 231:2	closed	colleague 34:19 40:4
149:15	civilian	clerked	71:1,5 132:18	158:3 168:10
circumstances	219:3,7 227:19	66:11 136:7	213:7	
14:14 26:8,13,	civility	clerks	closely	colleagues 12:2 26:24
20	11:21	149:4	38:12 39:20	63:22 112:10
Citadel	claims	clerkship	70:21 136:1,9	collectively
20:24 118:22,24	13:1	148:18 230:18,	closing	159:7 161:9
119:13	clarification	148.18 230.18,	201:13	
citation	100:9	CLES	cloth	college 29:10 50:22
162:10	clarify	21:6 27:1,2,3	130:12	99:1 173:8,9
citations	86:3 100:4	66:7,9,13,14,20,	Clyde	201:18 205:17,
159:19,24	class	22 189:2	233:7	18 232:10
citizen	28:6 51:14	client		233:18,20,24
216:23	193:14 216:3	78:14,20 103:9,	CO-	colleges
citizens	231:17	16 120:15	205:18	169:10
16:7 18:9 45:21	classmate	145:20,24	co-defendant	
60:11 79:14	99:1 208:21	146:2,4,12,15,	205:4	collegial 81:17
100:13 143:22	classroom	17,21,23 147:1,	co-defendants	
165:1 190:5	129:11	11 151:17,20	23:13	color
194:24 206:13	CLE	152:2,15,17,18	Coast	30:2 44:13
221:16 225:17	21:12 40:18,22	152:2,13,17,10	173:23	Columbia
	66:15,19 180:2,	201:16	Coble	45:8 94:24
	,		240:7,25 242:10	113:16 173:24

combative	comments	160:5,8 163:3,	225:8	commuting
12:10	11:13,15 12:1	18,21 164:11,14	committed	88:4
combination	29:6 32:23	165:15 178:2,5	193:17	comp
58:24 74:25	41:17,18 42:17,	179:21 180:14,	committee	57:18,22 59:4,
219:11 223:2	18 43:22 47:14	17,19 187:13	9:19 16:7,12	13 65:8 66:20
comfortable	51:9,21 52:10	189:19 195:23	18:9 45:21	68:1 169:16,24
77:16 142:17	58:2,3,11,12	197:2,14,17,20,	60:11,15 79:14,	170:4,9 176:19
154:7 182:2	59:20 63:14,15	23 198:12	19 100:13,15,19	company
Command	68:21 75:19,20	201:18,19	143:22 144:4	15:23 120:10
227:24	76:7,8 78:8	203:23 207:9	165:1,3,7 190:5,	167:2 210:2
Commanding	79:20 82:5,18	212:21 214:12,	8,12 194:24	compared
227:25	96:6,8,15,17	15,18 216:20	201:8 206:13,15	68:3
commend	100:4 114:1,23	222:22 223:7	218:15,20	compassionate
65:19 70:14	134:22 135:24	224:15 225:1	225:17,19,23	11:17 59:25
81:21 172:17	140:16,17,23	227:3 234:24	226:16 243:8,22	75:23 76:5
173:2	147:18 163:5,6,	235:5 236:8	committees	147:21
commended	13 178:2	237:13,16,24	186:12 187:8	compelled
82:7	187:15,16,21	238:4,14,20	committing	31:18
	203:25 204:1,10	239:17,19,22,24	38:17	compensate
comment	209:7 222:23,25	240:1,21,23	common	149:23
12:3,17,22,24 18:3 27:13	223:10	241:1,3,5,7,9,	19:15 84:8	
29:18 41:25	commiserate	11,13,15,17,19,	communicate	compensation 57:8,16 62:22
42:7,19,20 50:5	114:5	22,24 242:11,	14:21 150:8	63:12,17 160:4,
60:2 62:10	commission	13,15,17,19,21,	204:5	5,6,7,12 163:18
77:21 78:22	8:2,5 11:12	24 243:1,24	communicates	171:22
81:10,24 82:17	16:22 17:24	246:11,21	150:9	
105:18 127:2	18:5,14 31:21	commission's		competing 85:24 86:6
129:17 140:24	32:7 35:4 36:2,	17:15 47:4	communicating 141:19 142:18	211:13
166:9,11,16	5,7 41:15 46:11	61:21 80:22		
210:24 223:14	47:14 51:9 54:3,	90:16 102:4	communication 122:16 142:19	complaint
226:4 234:7,13	6 56:8 57:25	143:17 154:12	122:16 142:19	13:5,12 228:9
commentary	60:25 62:5	164:21 189:24		243:11,21 244:8
194:23	70:20 72:14,17,	195:22 207:19	communications	complete 24:20 214:5
commentators	20 75:17 79:10	212:24 225:5,9	113:7 188:5	
15:2	80:4 81:7 87:20	commissioner	communities	completely
	92:18,21 96:5	176:19,20	38:17 45:19	49:2,4 148:2,21
commented 66:13	101:6,10,11	commissioners	104:12	complex
	102:13 104:1	160:15	community	39:24 42:11
commenting	115:17 122:1	commitment	18:9 38:13	57:19 58:19
114:18	134:2,5 135:11	42:1 81:20	41:20 42:2	199:19
commentor	140:14 143:12	143:15 161:24	100:21 124:19	complexity
141:1	154:13 156:9,	164:20 189:23	141:23 173:8	64:1
	12,15 159:16	190:22 207:18		

compliance	212:22 235:3	consecutive	164:5 175:21	continues
8:11 36:11	concludes	184:5	189:12 207:3	63:3
54:17 72:25	90:14 154:10	consent	224:9 225:6	continuing
93:5 134:11	195:20	10:5 37:5 56:3	contacted	14:8 64:21
156:20 181:2	conduct	74:16 93:23	16:22 46:11	continuously
198:2 214:24	13:21 16:18	135:14 158:17	60:25 80:4	218:21
complicated	21:24 22:23	181:17 198:24	101:6 143:11	contract
10:16,21 11:1	26:6,20 46:7	217:9	164:11 175:23	166:25 167:8
23:8	58:20 60:21	consideration	189:19 207:9,15	contracted
concern	79:24 101:2	74:8 77:25 87:5	224:15	168:6,22
12:6 13:23,25	160:22	198:16 235:8	contacting	contracting
15:8,10 26:21,	conducted	236:16	17:6 46:20	167:10
24 42:19 49:8	23:3 201:9,24	considered	61:12 80:13	contractors
76:10 77:20	conducting	32:2 74:10	101:20	184:24
97:2 102:16	165:20	76:17 89:4	contained	contribute
142:6,9,15,24	conduit	161:3 236:11	10:3 11:14 37:3	105:15 106:5
163:14,15	32:21	constitutional	41:17 56:2 58:2	140:13
190:17,18,20	conferences	10:5 16:13	74:15 75:19	
204:13 223:10,	116:6	23:15 32:13	93:22 96:7	contributions 153:13 187:8
12 225:24	confidence	37:6 45:22 56:4,	135:12 140:16	
concerned	118:2 122:6	5 60:16 74:17	158:15 163:5	control 109:11 193:4
19:6 42:21	154:6	79:18 93:24	181:15 187:16	
49:23 88:13	conflict	100:14 135:14	198:22 204:1	Convention
109:16 141:12	110:21	143:23 158:18	217:7 222:24	40:22
148:3 161:21	conflicts	165:2 181:17	contested	conversation
188:9	8:13 36:14	186:22 190:6	42:13 147:6	193:19
concerns	54:20 73:7	198:25 206:16	182:23 183:22	conversations
12:2 17:19	93:11 134:17	217:9 225:18	220:25 221:2	44:9 129:8
21:15,25 42:17,	156:22 171:16	constitutionally	context	162:25
18,22,23 44:15	181:4 198:5	32:8	12:8 57:22 59:4	convicted
47:8 58:11,14	215:1	Construction	151:21 153:9	22:17
59:21,22 61:25	confront	15:22	continuances	conviction
63:21 64:2 76:7	23:11	constructive	109:18 141:16	22:18 225:13
81:2 97:16	Congratulations	113:23	continue	convince
100:11 102:8,18	234:3	consulting	42:11 68:17	117:5 131:6
140:24 141:4	connected	210:2,3	85:5 88:24	convinced
144:8 165:10	168:12 186:10	consuming	118:14 136:24	31:1 120:19
187:22 188:8		55:16	162:19 186:13	Cooper
190:12,25	connection 12:18	contact	221:15,20	110:5,15 205:20
204:11 207:24		17:9 46:23	230:24 232:2	cooperation
226:23	consciously	61:15 80:16	continued	139:9 186:18
conclude	30:15	101:23 143:5	30:25	203:5 221:25
112:2 178:3				

222:15,17	counsel	Courie	159:4,21 160:9	courted
coordination	12:5,14 15:24	95:9	161:6,8,10,13,	175:25
187:12	20:3 23:4	court	16 162:3,6,7,9,	courteously
coordinator	150:12 166:4,5	7:17 10:11,12,	11,14,16,18,25	140:1
40:18	169:14 170:3	13 15:22 16:19	163:22 170:11,	courtesy
copied	181:13 185:1,	19:18 21:8,10,	25 171:1 181:22	40:3
225:4	13,17 198:19	16 25:2,8,14	182:16,21	courthouses
cordial	204:6,7 205:9,	32:4,17 33:25	183:5,12,13,16,	122:13
68:11 130:24	15 208:16,17	37:11 39:4	25 184:9,14	courtroom
corner	211:6 212:11	42:11,15 43:11	185:12,14,19,	21:21 23:5 38:2
124:3 173:11	225:4,5	44:12,17 45:11,	21,24 186:6,7,	43:9 86:14
	Counsel's	17,18 46:8	17 187:3,6	104:24 106:21
corporate 41:4	136:2	49:22 53:8 56:9,	188:13 190:14	108:6 109:6
	counselor	23 60:22 62:24	191:11,16	126:6 129:2,14
correct	39:7 41:12	63:19 64:10	192:20,21,23,24	145:5,12,17
8:15,16 16:1,2	counterclaim	65:6 67:10	193:2 194:14	courtrooms
35:5,19 52:2 54:24 65:25	13:4	74:23 75:5	199:4,13,16	109:11 191:20
	counties	78:18 79:3,25	200:6,7,16,20,	192:15
71:6 73:3,4	75:3 83:14	82:22 83:6,15,	21,22 201:2,4,	courts
79:7,8 84:15	counting	16 84:1 85:2,20	23,25 202:1,3,4,	49:21 123:15
93:1,9 103:20 133:16 155:6,7,	75:3	88:6 89:11,20	10,12,15,22,25	170:18,25
17 166:1	country	94:5,13 99:25	203:18,22	183:15 191:14
17100:1	30:21 232:21	101:3 103:17	204:6,12,20	covered
172.21,22	county	110:3 111:19	205:5,20 211:25	18:4
229:6 230:20	21:22 31:21	117:3 121:20	212:5,16,17	
231:2 233:18,19	37:13 40:17	122:2 124:24	217:14 218:5,	covers
235:15 242:7,8	41:21 44:22	128:17,19,20,21	12,16,19	246:22
233.13 242.7,8	59:18 64:15	135:1,21,25	219:15,21	Covid
corrected	103:19 108:14	136:12,15,18,	220:8,10,13,18,	40:9,19,20
103:23	121:2,12 123:14	22,24 137:2,5,7,	20,23,24 221:1,	111:5 179:9
	138:2 169:20	10,19,20,21	12,14,18 222:9,	Covid-19
correction	184:6 201:4	138:15,17,19,	11 223:21	212:16
35:7	couple	21,25 139:2,6,	226:5,8,14,17	cowbells
Correctional	31:23 64:9 69:1	13,21 140:6,13,	228:14 229:4	174:11,22
205:6	81:16 97:25	18,20,21,25	230:1,5,13	coworker
Corrections	103:24 104:20	141:6,7,8,24	233:8 236:7,20	88:9
200:18 205:3,9,	103:24 104:20	142:8,12,17,18,	238:25 239:2	coworkers
15,23 206:7	157:6 159:23	20,23 145:9,10	240:4 243:5	135:3
correctly	168:8 171:6	147:5 149:3	246:4	cozy
202:22	194:13 204:10	150:5,20,24	court's	142:7
corresponded	209:21 210:1,8,	151:3,25 152:3,	43:10 139:16	crank
81:15	12 219:8 229:12	8,16,17,18,23	218:14 220:24	108:3
		153:20 158:23		

Crawford	crime	20 245:2,5	161:21	date
55:17 91:15	25:7 26:5 41:13	critical	custody	17:2 46:16 61:8
207:15 225:5	45:2	30:10,16	200:24	80:9 101:16
236:4,5 237:9,	crimes	criticism	customer	143:2 164:3
14,17,20,22,25	38:17 41:14	13:13	220:19	189:9 206:25
238:12,17,19,21	45:1	crop	cut	224:6
239:1,16,18,20,	criminal	116:21,24	55:22	dating
23,25 240:2,20,	10:16 11:5,6	,	55.22	173:22
22,24 241:2,4,6,	21:10,11,13,23	cross 158:3 201:14		daughter
8,10,12,14,16,	22:1,9,11,12,14,	232:21	D	29:10 69:10
18,20,23,25	15,21,23 23:7,9		D.C.	193:20 194:1
242:8,12,14,16,	24:11,18,22	cross-	70:12 183:17	231:13
18,20,22,25	25:20 26:6,20,	224:24	daddy	daughter's
243:2 246:3,12,	24 27:3 29:16	cross-section	132:5 194:1,5,9	65:25 193:20
22	38:4 39:10,13	24:1	daily	daughters
crazy	41:6 42:3,9	crowd	141:13 150:8	88:19
65:5 174:15	49:13 58:13,20,	116:10	151:10	David
cream	25 63:16,22	Crystal		57:5 215:18
116:21,24	64:14 65:9,12	196:21 197:15,	Dale	240:9 241:12
create	66:9,14,17	18,21 210:2	240:11 241:20	
186:25	74:25 75:7 76:1	236:23 238:12	242:22 243:3	Davis
created	77:10,23 89:13,	culmination	Dan	159:9 169:2
69:3 114:15	15 96:20,21	134:24	128:6	170:12
creature	97:3,5,7,24	cultivated	dance	day
191:24 222:11	98:24 99:15	135:1	109:21	11:11 19:18
	102:23,24	Cum	Daniel	20:24 21:4,18
credibility 78:21	103:1,17,22	231:21	216:11,13	23:20 24:21
	115:6 125:2	Cundari	232:8,12 233:24	29:3 44:14
credit	128:11 201:25	234:7	240:7,24 242:10	45:16 50:11,15,
28:5 48:20 51:2,	204:20 230:3	cup	Darlington	18 52:2 63:23
3 204:4	criteria	50:14 85:1	88:4,6	64:14 69:23
Crick	8:9 33:1 36:9	101:10,12	darn	76:14 86:10
34:1,5,12,13,15,	45:22,25 52:14	108:24 122:10	119:11	88:7 104:6
18,25 35:1,6,9,	54:15 72:23	curiosity	dash	110:2,6 116:9
16,20,24 36:3,5,	79:15,18 90:16	166:23	144:5	137:7 138:21,24
21 37:5,9 41:15	92:24 100:14,16	curious	data	146:18 153:10,
44:15 45:21	134:9 143:23,25	173:13	7:19 8:2 35:3	18 181:10 184:14 234:25
46:4 47:9,13,15,	154:13 156:18	current	36:2 54:3 72:14,	235:19
18,24 48:10	165:2,4 180:22,	41:9 43:2	17 92:10,18	
50:2,5,8,17	24 190:6,9	162:19 219:2	134:2 155:16	day-to-day
51:7,11,15,18	195:22 197:25	curve	156:9,12 180:14	140:9 169:12
52:5,7,9,25 53:5	206:14,16	64:21 106:10	196:25 197:14,	192:5,6
239:3,18 240:2	214:22 225:18,	07.21 100.10	17 214:12,15	

days	December	213:4 235:7	178:9	20 205:3,9,15,
50:18,22	40:1	deep	deliberations	23 206:7 218:8
108:21,22	decent	78:9 153:1	52:18 70:25	219:3 220:15
116:23 122:1,11	98:6 147:21	defend	90:23 154:17	221:7 229:8
128:7,21 131:23	decide	30:18 220:17	196:2 213:5	230:14
171:4 225:14	87:6 186:23	defendant	235:9	Department's
228:21	243:22	15:24,25 22:16	deliberative	220:17
deal	decided	26:3 79:6	33:5	depending
151:19 159:24	27:22 176:1	defendant's	delighted	25:6 26:8
202:15 219:6	194:18	13:4 26:13	28:21	depends
dealers	decision	defendants	delved	108:15 212:14
185:4,8	32:4,17 42:14	24:18 25:20	153:1	deposition
dealing	85:25 88:21	66:17 205:19	demanding	62:17 109:20
57:14 82:23	103:14 142:5		127:11,12	171:10,11 175:4
138:4 230:11	152:5 153:5,19	defended	· ·	depositions
dealings	161:4 225:25	200:18 201:7	demands 109:18 141:14	89:15 94:15
62:15 218:11	245:1	defender	109:18 141:14	
dealt	decision-	48:22 83:5 85:3		depth 217:23
58:22 68:10	153:13	87:25 89:1,2,5,9	demarcation	
dean	decision-maker	90:3	187:1	deputy 41:13 89:5
69:18 93:20	153:16	defender's	demeaning	
94:2 96:21 97:1	decisions	88:11,24	146:3,9	Derek
100:12,23 102:7	8:25 15:17 74:9	defenders	demeanor	240:9 241:14
dear	75:10 91:20	43:8 82:14	100:21 163:10	242:16
116:16 178:18	136:10 138:20	defending	Democrat	derogatory
death	139:25 153:11,	128:11	15:18	149:20
21:22 58:17	12 161:5 162:3,	defense	Democrats	Derrick
120:25 124:3,18	20 182:23	26:10,25 39:16	48:3,12	79:3
Í Í	183:7,12 202:8,	57:8 63:22	demonstrate	describe
Deberry 240:8 241:4	16 203:14	97:24 108:1	145:23 233:7	139:22 140:5
240.8 241.4	220:17 236:1	151:6 160:4	demonstrated	description
Debra	deck	169:6,8 175:14	154:5 234:6,11,	82:15
213:15,20	13:7	defined	12	deserving
213.13,20	declined	148:6	denied	33:4 52:17
217:9 236:23	27:16	degree	141:21	70:25 154:17
238:19,23	dedicated	83:24 125:17,25	department	178:8 196:1
Debt	168:5 199:7	170:17	25:1 43:3 48:12	213:5 235:8
221:1	dedication	delayed	138:1,7,8	desire
	194:25	72:2	160:19 162:13	19:24 64:23
decade		delegates	165:20,23 166:1	193:3 219:12
105:11 106:11	deemed 33:4 70:24 84:9	186:11	167:11 168:4	desired
decades	90:22 178:8	deliberation	172:12 185:2	215:12
58:9	70.22 1/0.0		200:17 201:19,	

detail	161:23	66:16 84:6 89:8,	diverse	116:5
163:10	diligently	12 141:17 151:2	10:21	doubt
detailing	137:25 220:4	discussed	divided	115:5 227:7
219:19	Dillon	63:11	94:10	draft
determine	88:6	discussion	division	136:10
236:17	dime	117:18	39:9,10,13,14,	drafted
deterrence	86:1	discussions	15,20 228:15	138:6 200:20
26:1 38:19	direct	139:5 189:17	divisions	202:5
deterrent	185:10 201:14	diseases	39:9	drag
38:15	229:9	111:7	Dixie	87:6
detrimental	directed	dismiss	121:5	Drake
145:11	124:8	243:23 244:22	DMV	39:2
develop	direction	dismissed	185:5,7	dramatically
230:25	234:18	126:17 205:13	Doc	69:6
developed	directly	243:20 244:8,18	96:9,12 113:15	draw
28:4 148:25	143:15 161:5	displays	114:22 115:4	75:9
developing	164:20 189:23	11:17	117:19 119:4,7,	dream
30:15 209:22	207:18 225:8	displease	25 239:4	172:18
DHEC	director	184:4	docket	drilled
201:21 219:22	200:11 211:7	displeasure	25:17 125:2	190:3
dialogue	Directors	144:24	172:2 220:16,20	drinking
44:9	35:12	dispose	doctor's	108:24
Dickie	disadvantage	172:6	62:17	drive
158:4 170:6	68:4	disposition	document	174:15
175:2,20,24	disagree	205:7	201:12	driver
difference	77:25 111:2	dispositions	documents	72:1
68:2 243:14	204:15,24	205:21	35:22 71:19	drivers
differently	disciplinary	dispute	147:4 179:20	185:7
124:25	200:23	184:10	180:8 197:2 200:20	driving
difficult	discipline	disputes		88:7
12:7 25:6 98:19	86:16	10:25 184:22,25	Dogs 174:9	drug
123:8 125:11	disclose	185:9 228:20	domestic	21:24
126:2,24 145:2	101:9	distinct	201:25	DSS
151:2	disclosing	127:16		201:21
difficulty	101:14	district	Dority 66:1	ducking
13:18	discount	15:22 39:4 79:3		114:20
dignified	49:2,4	169:5 205:5,20	dormitories 32:8	due
147:12	discourage	228:13	dormitory	167:13 192:1
dignity	125:5	districts	32:2	228:22
30:18	discovery	159:10 168:17	double-wide	DUIS
diligent	12:14 57:18	169:7,9,13	uounie-wide	138:9

duly	East	effective	215:12	employment
7:10 34:5 53:11	173:23	10:11 37:11	element	138:7 170:4
71:15 91:25	easy	56:23 74:23	151:20	185:2 201:5
133:8 155:11	88:21 98:19	94:5 135:23	elevated	218:8 219:7
179:14 196:21	112:8	137:15 158:25	42:16 44:11	220:15 221:7
213:20	eat	181:24 199:6		227:21 228:7,20
duration	123:6 128:25	217:16	elevator 55:8	229:8 230:14
33:24 89:25	echo	effectively		emulate
DUS	51:19 113:3	14:21 29:23	eleven	99:16 102:17
37:24	177:12	124:18,20,25	76:13,15 82:6	encounter
duties		125:3,5,9 127:5,	83:6 84:14,24	13:14 28:5
38:24	economic	8 211:2 243:17	Elite	
	8:13 36:14	efficient	123:6	encourage
duty	54:20 73:7	139:25 202:25	Elizabeth	26:25 125:6,7, 10 126:4
126:15	93:11 134:17	203:18,21	116:11,14	
dying	156:22 181:4	,	Ellis	encouraging
116:7	198:5 215:1	efficiently 14:22 221:19	57:3,4	194:3,14,15
	economics		else's	end
E	136:19	effort	64:20	38:16,18 58:18
	ed	38:13 67:20	embarrassed	70:18 76:22
e-mail	169:9 205:24	74:8	170:14	86:10 131:7
224:17,20 225:2	210:6	efforts	Emerson	145:25 146:1
earlier	educated	134:7 214:21	29:10	150:1 153:10,18
48:1 57:4 141:6	86:21	eight-	Emig	175:20 206:9
162:9 165:19	educating	95:1	15:22	236:12
200:8 203:19	86:17 172:11	elaborate	Emma	endeavor
early	education	44:20	93:16 246:23	24:6
59:1 62:17 74:5	122:6 129:10	elderly		ended
84:13,18 91:10	159:9,10 160:19	120:11,18	empathetic 149:16	20:25 169:15
92:4,7 106:8	161:4 162:13	eldest		173:23
116:25 121:25	165:21,24 166:1	216:6	empathize	ends
122:1 132:24	167:11,13 168:4	elect	75:25	151:20
133:13 203:2	172:12 201:19	40:16 121:15,18	empathy	enemies
209:22 213:25	210:3	elected	11:17 78:10	112:5,6
earned	educational	24:9 97:18	147:24 150:3	energetic
85:14	168:14	106:2,3 118:3	employee	104:6 140:19
earning	Edward	129:24 139:20	201:7,8,9,10	energized
29:24 30:4	7:15 8:3,5	186:12 190:13	210:4	193:17,18
84:18 85:8	239:3,16	election	employees	enforce
ease	EEOC	8:19 31:21 32:6	39:11 205:18	203:10
226:8	159:16 228:7,11	36:17 73:8	210:5	
easier	,	134:19 157:3	employer	enforcement
108:18 161:17	effect	177:18 181:6	185:2	24:14 38:14,18
	107:16	1//.10 101.0		45:7

engage	Eppes	163:12	eventually	exchange
81:16 129:24	24:8 27:5 70:4	ethical	228:13	121:25
188:4	equal	16:9 42:21	everybody's	excited
engaged	15:13 30:19	45:25 60:12	131:3	117:23
30:8 231:23	157:19 228:7	79:15 100:16	evidence	excites
enjoy	Equalization	144:1 165:4	83:25 151:2	64:24
43:6 44:3,9	69:13	190:9 206:14	160:15 161:1	excuse
104:23 128:25	equalize	225:20 226:11	183:8 184:13	39:1 40:2,20
131:22 194:19	83:24	ethics	190:21 202:7	excused
enjoyed	equalizer	8:12 33:2 36:12	evidentiary	33:21 53:6
38:3 39:24	83:1	41:23 42:24	23:16 59:7	71:11 91:14
62:18 136:15	equally	49:3 52:15	160:23	133:4 155:1
177:9 222:4	77:8	54:18 70:22	exact	178:22 196:13
233:4	equip	73:1 90:20 93:6	52:1 75:23 99:3,	213:14 235:22
enjoys	11:10	122:19 132:14	11	executing
58:8	equipped	134:11 154:14	examination	42:14
ensure	42:10 85:6	156:21 178:6	10:8 20:20 29:2	executive
30:17	equips	181:2 188:3	30:10 37:8 56:7	7:3 8:25 41:11
entail	82:23	195:24 198:3	59:7 63:8 74:20	91:20 218:8
140:7	Equity	212:25 214:24	82:16 87:22	221:17 222:12
entails	65:7	223:23,24 235:6	94:2 102:14	235:25
142:1	Erin	evaluation	120:4 135:17	exemplary
enthusiasm	92:24 207:15	218:17	144:20 147:15	227:13
33:18	225:4 240:6,20	evaluative	158:21 165:16	exhaustive
entire	error	8:9 32:25 36:9	166:21 181:20	161:18
23:5 63:11	207:16	45:22,25 54:15	191:7 192:1	EXHIBIT
131:19 140:24	escalate	72:23 79:15	199:2 208:5	8:1,4 36:1,4
151:13 167:17	211:9	90:16 92:24	209:17 210:23	54:2,5 72:13,16,
199:21,23	essential	134:8 143:23,25	217:12 227:4	19 92:17,20
entities	149:25	154:13 156:18 165:2,4 180:21,	excavation	134:1,4 156:8,
204:7	essentially	24 190:6,8	159:25	11,14 180:13,16
entitled	45:7,15 63:17	195:22 197:25	excellent	197:13,16,19
15:22	182:21 218:16	206:14,16	11:19 42:5,6	214:11,14,17
entity	228:3	214:22 225:18,	50:1 96:12,14	exhibits
165:19 222:13,	established	20 245:2,5	151:8 187:18	11:17 180:12
16	68:6	event	223:1,5 233:14	192:2 197:8,12
entourage	esteemed	33:11 71:3	exception	exists
235:1	112:14	132:16 178:16	78:23 82:14	40:10 186:24
entrusted	estimation	235:13	exceptionally	exit
218:22 226:5	24:3	events	9:12 58:5	120:15
epitome	ethic	129:21	excerpts	expand
204:4			65:15	18:4 221:23

	167 4 160 5	02 10	105 4 200 25	F. 11
expect	167:4 169:5	82:18	195:4 209:25	Fairfield
52:14,22 90:18	172:21 173:1	exposed	faced	121:12
91:3 130:23	176:4 181:23	40:14	171:5	fairly
213:6	182:13,19	exposure	faces	74:24 77:10
expectation	183:20 184:12,	29:16 38:23	69:25	137:17 138:18
70:20	17 185:10	82:25	facet	139:1 140:1
expects	188:9,14,25	express	39:25 183:22	145:4
154:13 195:23	190:10 191:20	42:17	facets	fairness
experience	199:5,12 200:13	expressed	40:14	77:22
10:10 11:9	204:9,11,13,16,	12:2 58:11	facilities	false
13:24,25 16:9	18,25 206:18	59:21 76:7	160:3	121:17,23
18:16 19:11,20	217:15,23,24	140:23 141:4	fact	familiar
21:6,11 22:8	218:25 219:1,	163:13 187:21	13:19,20 20:22	17:5 46:19
24:22 25:23	10,11,18 220:12	204:10 223:10	38:9 57:15	61:11 80:12,15
37:10 42:2,3,4,8	221:18 223:3,	extended	67:13 68:5 94:6,	84:7 90:15 93:1
44:16,17,20,23	11,15 225:22	230:18 234:1	11 99:6.10	101:19,22 102:6
45:3 46:1 49:5,	229:13,18,19	extension	110:8 115:10	103:15 121:5
12,13 56:22	230:9 234:2	219:9	132:3 182:7	138:11,12
57:12 58:4,13	experienced	extensive	186:3 190:20,21	140:20 142:3
60:13 62:21	11:24 40:9	165:8 200:13	factor	180:22 183:8
63:16 64:6	144:5 148:11	220:12	38:15 94:7	184:16 188:2
74:22,24 75:1,8,	223:8 229:17			191:17 192:2
15 76:1,8 77:9,	experiences	extensively 201:17	factors 25:20 26:7	195:14,17 220:6
18 79:17 82:21	137:19 200:6		193:4	familiarity
83:7,11,23,25	202:9 220:9	extent		82:22
84:9 85:15 94:4,	229:25 230:6	26:1 186:22	facts	family
8,18 95:6,24,25	expert	193:17 223:15	14:19 25:6	41:5 43:9,23
96:2,13,16,19,	219:20	extraordinary	153:5 183:24	65:6 111:6,7
25 98:5,25	expert's	28:6,10 231:17	186:23 200:2	170:18 171:24
100:5,18 104:3,	171:11	extreme	faculty	232:11 235:18
11 105:18,19,21	expertise	118:2	205:25 206:1	fan
106:1,18 109:2,	135:2 136:11	extremely	fair	107:6
13 114:14 116:2	152:4	28:3 147:17	11:17 26:19	fans
118:24 125:14	expired	187:18 223:1	31:7 57:11 64:3	232:11
131:1 135:22	72:3	extroverted	65:3 75:24 76:4	
140:18 141:5	explain	70:15	96:13 97:10	Fant
144:2 145:13	18:14 137:18		142:5 150:24	53:11,15,17,21,
149:11,15 150:1	142:24 145:21	F	153:5 162:22	25 54:4,6,13,25
151:17,23	146:4 152:18		176:9 183:20	55:3,6,10,12,23
153:20 158:24	162:4 200:4	F.A.	187:20 202:24	56:4,8,21 57:25
159:7 162:6	205:6,20 220:7	157:13	221:15 228:2	58:4 59:20
163:14,16,17,23	explore	face	fairest	60:11,15,20
165:6,8 166:14	capiore	69:22 157:16,17	152:5	62:8 63:1,4,9

	1	1	1	1
67:4,6 68:18,23	57:12,16,23	figure	240:13 245:13	fitness
69:9 70:8,16,19	59:8 65:3,4	122:23 123:19,	246:7,18	16:9 45:25
71:7 239:3,21	74:21 77:16	21,23 124:12	fine	60:12 79:15
240:3	94:3 135:21	figured	11:25 19:12	100:16 144:1
fantastic	136:25 142:17	96:24	40:6 145:23	165:5 190:9
100:7	145:4,6,7,11	file	149:21 191:12	206:14 218:15,
fascinating	146:5,24 151:7	147:4	197:10	23 223:8 225:21
128:21	153:3 154:6	filed	fined	226:6,16
fashion	158:23 161:8	8:19 15:21 30:9	225:13	fleshed
124:13 227:13	171:17 176:5	36:16 54:21	finer	210:11
fast	181:22 182:15	73:8 79:2 86:20	113:17	flex
50:13 174:24	183:19 184:11,	93:12 157:3	finest	19:24
fault	17 188:23 199:4	181:5 200:20	14:1 58:6	flight
169:18	212:3 217:14,22	205:3,4,17,19	finish	70:12
favor	229:12	215:11 243:11	41:11 51:13	floor
237:2 239:10	feeling	files	60:19 153:8	134:21 216:17
240:14 244:10	145:19	209:2	finished	Florence
245:18 246:10,	feels	filings	55:23 148:18	45:8 48:8 83:17
20	89:16 145:1	77:14	173:16 216:4	88:10,13,18,20
FDIC	fell	fill	233:25	107:2
15:22	98:14	71:19 212:5	fire	Florida
fear	fellow	fill-in	132:4 184:7	171:11
122:10,15	218:23	212:4	firm	focus
feasible	felt	filled	57:6 94:24 95:1	8:9 22:5 38:8,19
169:19	27:17 31:18	28:20 53:18	98:6 104:16	67:11 134:8
February	182:6 192:24	155:22	107:12 120:7	203:19 214:21
30:1	fender-bender	final	159:9 167:8	227:20
federal	10:24	15:8 71:2 127:2	170:3 173:24	focused
11:3 22:10	fender-benders	161:4	175:22,23	156:18 197:24
24:22,23 25:1,	10:15	finally	185:16 209:2	202:23 203:2
23 40:14 41:3	fests	76:3 113:22	218:1 229:19,22	233:10
42:4 45:9,12,16,	29:5	118:21	firmly	focuses
17,18 48:25	fewer	financial	31:1	36:9 180:21
49:5,7,9,21	125:4	45:1 85:13	firms	folks
94:13 183:16	field	find	94:23 217:25	18:7 24:17 31:8
221:3 228:14	98:3 232:21	19:15 67:19	first-rate	38:16 43:25
229:4	244:23	72:6 84:24	11:23	51:25 67:21
feel	fifteen	88:23 135:4	Firstly	91:18 114:18
10:9 18:16 30:6,	20:7 107:5,13	149:5 152:23	146:8	157:6,9 215:15
7 34:11,25 37:9	113:2	175:3 179:9	fit	follow
40:11 41:7 45:3	fighting	211:10 234:18	84:10 182:12	25:10 32:18
56:13,14,20,21	19:17 176:8	237:1 239:6	01.10102.12	52:14 99:1,17
				, ,

110:7 112:21	fortunate	frames	68:12 75:4	
118:23 130:2	39:19 41:9	141:17	82:23 83:5,15	G
139:17 154:14	56:24 57:2	Frank	109:19 110:12	
186:15 195:23	58:16 59:1 75:2	24:8,9,10 27:5	132:2 144:25	G.D.
followup	88:22 96:3	70:4	147:24 153:15	91:22,25 92:18,
13:13 109:15	97:18 106:2	Franklin	170:10 172:1	21 118:6 119:9,
fondly	122:22 130:17	9:10,20,24 10:2,	183:12,14,15	16,24 120:3
109:4 175:4	186:11 210:14	8 16:6,15 17:18	184:11 188:23	239:4,25 240:3
football	217:19	240:10 241:16	204:11 220:12,	gained
232:14,15	fortunately	242:3,18	25	114:14 136:11
footsteps	77:9 110:25	frankly	frontiers	151:22
118:23	121:20	15:11 20:2	175:15	gambling
foray	forum	22:23 24:13,17	frontiersman	138:4
102:25	221:16 228:17,	113:25	175:15	game
forego	23	fraud	frowning	111:16,23,24
84:17	forward	39:18	73:22 74:1	171:14
foregoing	27:1,6 36:23	free	full	games
85:7	73:11 87:3	34:11,25	160:22 220:20	233:4,9
foresee	135:5 157:1	frequency	full-time	gamut
185:22	186:2 193:7,11	83:5,12,22	212:4,8,18	10:23 228:8
forget	211:15	frequent	fully	gate
103:11 121:9	foster	220:19	84:22 223:14	183:6 184:7
forgive	126:5 216:16	frequently	fun	gathered
167:18 212:10	217:6,12 225:16	10:20 186:1	119:21 129:3	75:15
215:4	226:1,22 227:2	fresh	234:24	gave
	found	76:19	fund	38:23 50:21
forgotten 70:1 231:20	16:12,18 45:21,	freshman	169:4	95:6 129:4
	24 46:7 60:21	233:24	fundamental	general
formal	68:11 79:17,25	friend	77:22 149:8	10:13 17:6,10
33:14 52:20 132:18 142:21	85:2 89:9,24	34:21 158:2	funny	21:8,17,19
132:18 142:21 143:16 154:19	100:15 101:2	178:18 208:22	29:9,12	25:22 42:21
164:21 178:14	112:6 120:19	216:1 234:19	furious	46:20,24 61:12,
189:24 196:4	143:22,25	friendly	174:24	16 63:19,24
207:19 225:9	149:13 165:1,3	142:23	Furman	70:6 74:8 80:14,
207.17 223.7	190:5,8 222:4	friends	20:23 31:24	17 84:2 86:2
forms	225:17,19 226:3	43:8 44:8 97:24	32:2 118:18	89:18,19
53:18 228:5	Fountain	123:2,5 150:7	future	101:20,24 136:1
55.18 228.5 forsake	29:20	friendship	90:4 194:10	143:6 150:15
30:3	fourteen	28:4	JUIT 1JT.10	164:6 169:13
	240:5			171:1 186:21
Fort 210.5 227.15 10	fraction	front		187:2,4 189:13
	117.05	19:1 25:3 38:16	1	204:7 207:4
219:5 227:15,19	117:25	55:8 64:15		204.7 207.4

208:17 211:5	Glide-like	157:24 158:5	graduated	green
212:11 222:20	233:8	165:17 166:18	20:23,24 106:7	177:17 205:5
224:10 228:1	goals	174:20,23 178:1	168:24 231:13	Greenville
General's	25:25 139:22,24	179:1 198:9	232:13	31:21 37:16
230:2	142:2	208:6,7,11,22	grant	38:22 40:17,21
generalists	God	216:1 220:2,3	38:7	41:14,21 45:8
49:15	114:20 116:16	221:15 227:5	granting	51:4 59:16,18
generally	174:20,23	231:8,9 232:4	121:8	66:1,15 82:14
12:12 82:19	godfather	good-looking	grapes	83:21 88:21,23
84:1,7 86:18	88:19	157:17	114:23	89:1 95:10,11,
147:1 192:8	gold	gosh	grateful	13 109:25 110:1
228:5	177:17	109:24 119:11	74:10	121:6 126:16,20
generated	Goldman	Goudelock	Gravely	Grey
139:19	133:8,17,21,24	95:8	64:16	132:2,4
gentleman	134:3,5,6,15,24	governed		grievance
28:2 149:9	135:14,18	223:23	grayer 106:9	201:5,7,8,9
157:11	140:14 142:25	government		grocery
Georgia	143:22 144:17,	32:13 35:11	great	193:22
65:24 69:5	19.21 147:16	139:10,11,13	11:16,25 19:21, 22 22:3 25:22	ground
	154:9,24 236:22	168:20 186:18,	27:4,6 28:10	19:15 21:18
get along 43:24	237:12	20 199:8,9,12	29:24 30:8	202:19
	good	200:9,12 203:6,	44:23 51:4,5	group
girl	7:5,6 9:25 10:1	20 210:4,14	56:13 69:11,16	36:22 67:8
194:11	18:1 28:24 31:2,	219:1 222:1,6,	72:12 83:1	123:3 207:13
give	9 32:22 34:24	21 229:25	93:20 100:3	232:12,17
14:9 18:3,14	36:24 37:2	Governor's	102:23 107:8	groups
19:19 24:1 30:2	51:24 52:12	231:14 232:9,	110:2 131:8	39:15
56:15,19 94:7	53:17 55:7,24	20,23	139:7 140:21	Grove
105:7,14,17,21 106:5 111:8,10,	62:8 66:5 68:7	Gowdy	148:20 154:2	71:15,23 72:10,
17,21,22 139:3	78:10,13 87:10	48:20	161:25 173:3	15,18,20 73:4,
145:8,13,17	97:17,21,24	grab	176:3,7 202:15	13,16,18,23
151:4 152:12	98:25 99:14,16,	156:6	210:18 211:1	74:2,3,7,16,21
153:4 176:13	21,25 104:6,19,		216:2 222:14	75:17 76:3,25
188:6	23 106:3 107:22	grace 234:8	231:19 232:21	77:20 78:25
	108:20,21,22		greater	79:14 81:3,9,22
giving 9:19 226:16	112:19,20	grad 118:18,19,22,24	25:24 30:17	82:12,17 87:23
	114:22 115:7		186:3	90:13 91:5,11
glad	118:3 125:18,19	grads	greatest	239:4,23
32:20 97:4	129:5 131:23	118:20	103:7	grow
119:18 176:12	135:18,19	graduate	Greek-dome	81:18 136:24
177:11 198:16 216:13 232:4	139:13 148:8	120:14 231:21	177:16	172:8 223:19
210.15 252:4	152:12 154:4	232:9	1//.10	

growing	117:20 125:6	220:8 221:8	head	25 166:4,6,24
51:25	149:22 173:20,	handles	28:17,19 99:19	167:8,9,22
	21 188:2 216:2	218:13	102:20 190:3	167:3,9,22
grown 31:25	guy's		206:4	172:11 183:25
	169:18	handling		191:6,25 195:12
growth		39:16 62:23	headquarters 136:20	201:11 210:22
140:13	guys	98:11 108:5		201:11 210:22
grunt	9:8,18 123:25	169:12 199:9	health	237:6 239:13
86:8	174:14 176:5	220:20	16:13 41:2	240:17 245:16
GS14		hands	45:23 60:16	hearing's
227:19	H	118:3 238:3	79:19 100:15	171:14
guarantee	h = h : 4 = 1	244:10 245:21	143:24 165:3	
97:15	habitual	246:11,21	190:7 206:18	hearings
guardian	138:9	happen	225:19	57:23 137:23
15:23	half	52:23 125:22	healthcare	160:23 161:2
guess	55:3 83:18	130:23 131:9	39:18 160:2	162:1 165:20
25:18 56:11	88:14 89:3 90:6	132:20 171:23	healthy	168:17 188:22
76:14 85:16,24	136:6 140:2	happened	222:20	201:8,10 202:2
105:10 106:6	halfway	171:20 193:11	hear	228:23
144:17 147:25	76:22	happy	43:22 50:16	hearsay
148:25 149:7	hand	34:18 67:4	87:5 147:2,6,10	23:17
151:12 152:6	7:8 12:1 34:3	91:11 149:6	157:16 161:17	heart
168:8 177:9	50:15 71:13,21	hard	162:12 166:18	30:5 56:10
191:10 192:19	91:23 133:5	20:11 32:16	179:10 194:10	152:13
194:22 211:18	155:9 179:12	65:2,18 71:19	198:9	heavily
229:11 231:11	213:18 246:10,	77:4 90:6 95:10	heard	203:15
guests	20	97:11,12,15,16	49:1 50:5,9,11	heavy
34:9	handed	98:2,21 100:1	67:8 69:19	33:5 52:17
guidance	183:6	111:10 119:17	87:11 99:14	70:25 90:23
9:21 115:25	handle	129:4 150:13,14	120:2 129:17	154:17 178:9
guided	14:10 42:11	154:1 193:6	161:8 175:25	196:1 213:5
25:23 26:11	103:4 109:22	194:6	192:20 230:5	235:8
160:12 222:18	137:5 161:14	hard-fought	243:14 245:3	heck
guidelines	171:16,18	114:25	hearing	108:16
17:16 24:24	182:21 199:17	hardworking	25:5 35:23	held
47:4 61:21	200:23 202:4	147:21 187:19	51:10 52:6 53:8	27:20 37:18
80:22 102:4	219:16	hat	68:22 77:15	39:23
guilty	handled	31:14	89:23 90:12	Hell
24:25 25:11	14:16 137:18	hate	119:10 147:22	119:20
	160:10 162:5,8	107:8,23 109:2	157:2 159:15	helped
gun 14:20	169:3 184:2	123:9	160:17,18 161:7	135:6 185:5
	185:16 200:5,25	Hayes	162:12,22	helpful
guy	201:9,15 202:2	64:11	163:23 165:19,	9:12
98:9 112:24		07.11		7.12

helping	37:21	honored	humble	ш
151:10,13	hit	118:9 198:15	102:20	53:11 54:4,7
helps	21:18 120:16	Honors	humility	56:4
33:25	hits	232:10 233:18,	234:6,12	illegal
hesitant	28:17,19	19 234:1	humor	138:4
125:20	hitting	hope	43:13	imagine
Hey	23:23	42:15 59:23,24	hundred	24:8 78:7 90:7
108:14 202:19	hokey	68:17 76:11,17	94:16 106:20	114:21 119:17
hide	105:9	82:4 103:20	130:16	imbalance
112:8	hold	194:21 211:23	hundreds	142:11
high	20:23 21:1	221:19 244:20	22:12 94:14	immediately
48:17 140:19	29:24 176:20	245:23	145:5 184:8	27:21 65:7
176:20 193:15	holding	hopeful	hurt	225:2
higher	138:5	76:19	107:10 111:25	immensely
31:5 159:10	holidays	hosted	husband	115:2
169:9 201:19	212:17	40:21	116:12 157:12,	impartial
205:24 210:3,6	Hollins	hour	19 176:12	11:20 13:22
highest	240:8 241:8	25:5 74:5	178:18 215:18	65:4 202:24
11:21	242:2,14	hourly	hybrid	impeccable
highlight	home	171:3	222:13	147:19
75:20 177:5	58:20 85:2	hours	Hyman	implemented
highlighted	89:25	72:2,6 97:13	240:8 241:6	208:16
70:5 177:4	homeless	114:4 143:16	242:2,12 243:3	implications
highly	202:1	164:21 189:24		152:10
11:20 48:23	honest	207:19 225:9	I	importance
68:8 232:6	38:21 105:1,25	House		111:6 129:20
234:9	116:22 193:9	116:8 186:11	I-95	important
Hill	honestly	224:21	120:13	10:18 19:17
72:4	104:21 115:1	housekeeping	idea	20:5 26:14
Hinds	honesty	16:16 46:4	30:15,17,20	30:10 48:24
173:8	99:20 126:13	60:19 79:22	89:18 118:6	67:18 87:1,8
HIP	honor	100:25 163:25	175:19 177:13	130:15 182:8
64:12	27:23 48:17	189:6 206:21	188:1	216:21 217:17
hire	55:15 73:23	224:3	ideal	230:8
208:17	116:1	HR	19:7	importantly
hired	Honorable	200:11	ideas	110:15 234:12
38:7 45:5,6	136:4,5 196:21	human	76:20	impose
47:23	197:15,18,20	151:20 159:15	identify	25:15
hiring	236:23 238:12	176:8 200:12,13	38:13	impressed
48:20	240:7,10,24	201:17 210:4	ignores	28:3 68:23
history	241:20 242:9,22	211:7 227:25	146:2	115:2 176:11
, v	243:3,6 246:14			209:4

impression	156:17,18	76:5 89:17	197:24	intelligence
25:16 147:23	158:16 181:16	152:15 153:2,19	inside	96:11
227:12	198:23 201:11	202:23	108:5	Intelligent
impressive	217:8	individuals	insight	187:19
29:5	includes	30:20 32:7	19:11	intent
imprisoned	36:10 69:2	141:23 184:22	insightful	16:21,25 46:10,
225:14	197:25	industry	177:14	14 60:24 61:6
imprisonment	including	180:5	instance	80:3,7 101:5,15
121:17,23	17:5 46:19	inflexible	145:14 150:20	143:1,10 164:1,
impropriety	61:11 80:13	12:4	152:20 186:16	10 189:7,18
33:4 52:16	101:19 138:1	influence	230:19	206:23 207:8
70:24 90:22	185:3 199:10	42:20 44:6	instances	224:4,14
91:3 154:16	200:14 223:7	225:25	139:8 150:17	intention
178:8 195:25	229:19	influenced	151:24 152:7	64:8
213:4 235:7	incoming	203:15	203:4 221:24	intentions
improved	218:17,24	influences	instantly	90:5
139:15	incorporated	203:16	175:2	interact
in-	17:21 47:10	informal	instilled	130:7 138:22
83:19	62:2 81:4	142:16,19	65:13	221:17
in-depth	102:10 144:9	information	instinct	interacted
24:25	165:11 191:1	51:22 62:11	19:24	33:18 201:17
in-house	207:25 226:24	79:9 153:15	Institution	interacting
205:9,14 208:15	increasing	166:10 187:24	205:6	83:11 124:1
211:8,12	185:22	244:5 245:1,7	institutional	130:14
Inadvertently	incredible	informed	199:11	interaction
224:17	57:1,10 64:6	66:10	institutions	18:11 54:10
incarcerated	176:7	inherently	159:11 169:9	57:14,20 186:13
15:25	incredibly	222:9	insulated	222:8
incentives	29:5 154:1	initially	186:7	interactions
69:12	increments	159:13 164:13	insurance	129:16
inclined	171:9	initials	108:1 151:6	interest
129:23	incriminating	119:16	169:4	8:14 31:5 36:15
include	23:12	inmate	intact	54:20 73:7
70:10 71:25	independent	200:18,19,23	38:9	93:11 134:18
72:8 180:24	15:18 30:22	201:3 230:4	integrity	139:19 156:23
230:1	221:16	innovative	15:19	181:4 198:5
included	indirectly	76:4	intellect	215:1
10:4 13:2 37:4	143:15 164:20	input	29:14 58:5	interested
40:24 41:1 56:2	189:23 207:18	42:13	62:22 234:5	28:14 104:14
74:15 93:23	225:8	inquiry	intellectual	120:5 159:5
133:23 135:13	individual	36:9 180:21	64:25 66:2	170:20
	26:20 30:18	50.7 100.21	04.23 00.2	
148:18 149:3	20.20 30.18			

interesting	introductory-	Island	January	Jones
81:23 121:13	type	120:14	39:1 79:2	79:3 121:5
168:3 177:4	224:20	issue	167:25 193:21	journey
193:19 226:4	invaluable	30:5 32:5 49:1	Jason	30:25 87:25
interests	75:14	60:7 64:17,18	34:19 47:20	joy
135:4 200:25	inverted	86:24 137:9	50:24 51:1	118:4
211:13	106:10	139:25 188:10	Jenkinson	Jr
interim	investigate	211:17	128:10 129:2	91:25 92:19,21
39:2 48:14	92:23	issued	Jennifer	118:6 239:4,25
interplay	investigated	71:3 72:4 78:1,8	55:3	240:3,10 241:16
222:6,20	36:8 72:22	146:19	Jersey	242:18
interpret	180:20 197:23	issues	120:12,19	judge
195:15	investigation	16:16 33:12	Jeter	10:11,14,19
interpreting	8:8 17:20 47:9	44:7 46:4 59:8	98:9	11:11,16,18,20,
222:18	54:9 62:1 81:3	60:19 67:10	Jill	25 13:15,20,24
interruption	102:9 134:7	100:25 141:18	29:8	15:9 19:2 23:4
9:13	144:8 156:17	160:2 162:10		24:20,24 25:3,
intervene	165:10 190:25	184:20 185:3	job 9:8 31:11 40:6	10,14,16 28:20
17:11,14 46:25	207:24 214:21	186:5 189:7	43:5 48:17 51:4	29:7 31:9 32:19
61:17 80:18	226:23	199:10,20,21	67:10 88:10	37:11 39:4 40:1
101:25 143:7	investigations	200:16 210:4,6	103:14 111:11	42:6 44:12 49:8
164:7 189:14	201:9	211:1,8,22	115:6 140:10	50:1 51:5 56:9,
207:5 224:11	investment	224:4	145:23 148:5	12,23,25 57:1,
interview	127:18	IV	161:25 169:15	13,24 58:10,16,
79:7 179:8	involve	240:8 241:4	173:25 176:8	17,22 62:24
interviewed	59:6		179:8,9 182:1	64:6,10,12,13,
173:23	involved	J	183:2 211:1	14,16 65:13,21
intimate	23:9,14 41:21		216:21 219:2	66:18 74:23
136:8	49:19 76:8	J.D.	223:2	76:9,21 82:23
intimately	98:10,11 142:20	119:3,6,23	Joe	87:9 92:7 94:5
184:15	184:25 185:14,	Jackson	110:8 124:17	96:12,14 97:19
introduce	15 187:22	174:3 219:5	130:2	99:15,25 100:7,
34:10 157:10	188:18 194:18	227:19	Johnson	8 102:23 103:8,
introduced	209:21	JAGS	94:25 114:9	11,21 104:6
70:11 224:22	involvement	219:6	208:19	105:2 106:13
introduction	89:8	jail	joined	109:13 110:3,5,
61:4 207:12,13	involves	146:20 152:15,	37:17 38:6	8,9,15,16 111:17 118:23
introductory	57:18,20	22	joining	121:6,14 122:2,
61:4 134:22	involving	James	71:9 213:24	4,7,8,12,14
164:14 164:14	14:10 32:14	99:2,22 102:18	joke	124:1,8,16,24
104.14	59:4 137:23	103:6 106:7	85:1	124.1,8,10,24
	138:2,9 200:23	115:7		22 128:6,11,24
	,			22 120.0,11,24
	l	I	I	1

	1	1	1	1
129:1,20,22,25	58:6 75:5 97:25	222:16 223:22,	230:19	kind
130:2,6,10,24	106:9,16,19	23 225:22	Justices	14:18 28:17
131:2 135:21,23	107:19 108:24	226:12	218:16,19,22	48:6 55:18
136:3,4,5,7	109:10,11,24	judiciary	226:5	67:14,21 68:13
137:2,5,10,15	110:1,4,13,20	30:22 43:10		88:1,2 89:25
138:23 139:21	127:6 128:7	66:11 139:9,10,	K	94:10 97:21
140:6 141:20	129:16 138:18	18 186:17,19		98:3,9 99:7
142:1 144:25	149:2 151:10,14	187:2,4 203:5,7,	Kate	102:15 105:14
145:2,12,21	153:25 154:1	8 221:25 222:2,	240:10 241:18	106:10 108:22
146:1,4 148:8,	159:3 170:21	10 224:21	242:3,20	110:24 111:5
10 149:14,25	182:5,21 186:8	judiciary's	Katie	114:25 122:6
151:8 153:18,23	188:23 191:12	186:23	73:19,20 88:20	124:8 126:11,19
158:23,25	212:4,8,9,18	July	keen	129:22,24
159:1,4 161:13	220:21,22 230:8	39:1 97:20	58:5	131:22 146:5
172:18 181:22,	judgeship	jump	Kempson	148:4,14
24 182:11,16	29:19 204:8	10:20 115:16	136:5	149:14,24
183:2,7,24,25	judging	junior	Kenneth	151:14 163:8
184:2,4,11	226:5	233:22,23	205:5	167:6 168:12
188:15 192:20	judgment	jurisdiction	Kenya	169:17 176:2,21
194:4 195:1	20:9 47:19	10:13 137:23	175:16	184:1 186:16
198:24 199:3,4,	48:18 96:11	161:16 219:22	key	193:20 194:11
6,14,16 200:4	226:21 228:10	221:2	89:14	205:14 227:15
201:23 202:11,	judicial	jurisdictions	kicking	229:1,16
23 203:1,3,4,10,	8:1,4 11:7 16:9,	218:13	224:1	kinds
19,23 204:4,5,8,	17,18 18:21	jurist		12:25 27:18
19 206:13,15,21	22:13 36:1,4,7	75:25 76:19	kid	128:25 129:8,13
208:6 210:15	46:2,6,7 54:2,5	77:4 86:15	111:24	130:3
211:6,17,25	56:13 60:13,20,		kid's	kitchen
212:4 213:2,10,	21 65:6 72:13,	jurors	109:21 233:9	13:10 116:6
13 217:14,16	16,19 76:23	.23:2	kidding	knack
218:22 219:6,15	79:17,23,24	jury	50:24	211:21
220:3 223:5	92:17,20	19:2 22:22,25	kids	knew
226:21 227:17	100:18,22 101:1	23:2 59:1,5 84:6	111:16,23	22:24 31:24
229:14,16	105:15 134:1,4	117:2 121:2	231:17 233:17	99:4 103:6
230:7,20,25	143:11 144:2	124:18,19 125:1	killed	118:24 146:20
245:10,13,18	156:8,11,14	126:15 145:15	98:12 120:16	167:4 168:10,
246:18	159:2 165:6	184:6	killer	12,13,21 175:2
judge's	167:3,4 168:11	justice	175:14	188:5 193:23
85:20 86:10	172:20 180:13,	15:14 30:19	Kimpson's	Knob
121:8 127:18	16,19 190:10	43:3,18 48:13	138:23	119:13,18
139:16	197:13,16,19,22	99:2,22,25	Kinard	knocking
judges	206:18 214:11,	102:18 115:7	183:3,7 184:4	107:21
22:11 25:9 41:2	14,17 216:21	125:6 187:9		

knowing	Lander	70:21 74:25	195:24 199:4,	70:22 73:1
20:7	208:11 212:11	75:16 76:1 77:1,	10,13,14,16,23	90:20 93:6
knowledge	Laney	10,13 84:20	200:1,2,6,7,16,	132:14 134:11
77:19 135:10	128:6,12	86:18 89:13,15	20,22 201:1	139:14,17
151:9 168:20	language	94:22 95:2,18	202:10,12,15,21	154:14 156:21
199:11 200:13,	222:19	98:6,19,20,22	203:13 204:6,12	178:6 181:2
15 201:5 207:7	large	99:2,5 104:16	208:23 210:4	198:3 200:14
Kristian	217:25 218:2	105:13 112:4	217:14 218:10,	203:10,11 213:1
158:3	229:19 240:4	113:15 117:20	12 219:7,10,13,	214:24 220:4
kudos	243:5	128:6 129:13	15,21 220:4,5,8,	235:6
117:12	largely	131:23 134:25	10,12,13,18,19,	lawsuit
11,.12	9:20 217:24	135:20,25	24,25 221:3,4,	15:21 79:2
	largest	136:11,12,18,21	12,14 222:5,9,	205:7
L	40:21,22	137:2,3,5,8,12,	11,17 223:3,18,	lawsuits
labor	,	19,20 138:14,19	22 226:14	205:3,17
219:7 227:18,21	lastly	139:4,14,21	227:17,20,21	lawyer
228:3	170:16	140:5,20,21,25	228:4,23 229:4	11:24 19:5
lack	late	141:6,11,12,25	230:5 231:2,21	22:22,24 28:10
44:16,17 58:12	62:15,21 158:10	142:3,4,8	236:7,19	34:14 41:19
77:21 85:15	215:5	144:23 147:21	law-	77:5 89:4 97:5,
96:15 105:19	Laude	148:6 150:7,20,	223:6	6,8,24 102:24
163:14 188:9	231:21	21 151:6,9,25	Lawhorne	103:3 105:16
195:16 204:11	laugh	152:4,9,21	57:3,4	106:16 114:22
223:11	64:19	153:6,20 158:23	Lawrence	123:25 125:18,
Lackey	laughed	159:4,5,9,21	155:3,4,5,7,11,	23 128:15,16,19
34:22	116:13	160:7,9 161:5,8,	18,21 156:2,5,	129:5 130:10,11
lacking	laughing	12,13,15,22,24	10,13,15,16,24	145:23 146:2
22:9 29:16	173:18	162:6,7,9,11,14,	157:8,11,12,14,	149:8 163:8
82:20	law	16 163:22	16,21,24 158:2,	193:22 211:7
	10:21 11:3,16,	167:21 168:14,	7,10,18,22	220:2 223:8
ladies 175:15	22 13:19 14:11,	24 170:4,11,17,	161:11 162:4	228:4
	19,21,24,25	19 171:2,4	163:3 165:1,17	lawyer's
lady	15:2,12,14,19	173:9 174:2	172:16,22	128:24
73:10,16 88:16	22:10 23:22	180:4 181:22	173:6,10,18,21	lawyers
laid	24:11,14 27:3	182:5,11,16,21	174:6,9,13,23,	12:24 14:15
56:10	30:16,20 32:3,	183:2,24	25 175:18	19:12 24:4
Lance	18,20 34:22	184:10,14,20	176:23 177:1,	32:11 37:23
34:5 36:3,5	37:12 38:18	185:12,14,19,21	19,22 178:3,12,	40:23,25 69:2
41:19,25 42:7	40:15 41:5 42:4	186:17,24,25	17,20 236:22	95:16 96:9 99:7
47:22 50:17	44:22 45:6 50:7	187:3,6,7	237:15 238:22	107:7,13,16,17
239:3,18 240:2	51:13 59:9	188:10,13	laws	108:25 109:17
landed	63:16 65:21,24	191:11,14,16	8:12 33:3 36:12	111:10,14,22
70:13	66:2,9 69:3,21	192:21,23,24	52:15 54:18	115:25 116:24

277

117.00 102.0	lag	lattan	licensed	list
117:22 123:2, 13,20 124:2,11	leg 41:5	letter 16:21,25 28:17	licensed 76:13	list 50:21 77:24
125:14,19,23,24		29:7 33:2 46:10,		113:6
125:14,19,25,24	legacy	14 52:15 60:24	licenses	
128:8,10	69:2	61:4,6 70:5,20	138:5	listed
128.8,10	legal	80:3,7 90:19	licensing	15:23 120:6
129.10,22	10:9 12:3 18:8	101:5,15 142:25	138:3 184:24	205:13 209:20
142:7 148:1	37:9,21 42:2	143:10 152:9	185:5	listen
149:24 169:23	56:21 74:21	154:14 164:1,	lie	19:13 129:7
170:21 171:17	75:11 94:3,11,	10,14 176:18,21	50:6	202:6
185:25 186:9	17 95:4,20,25	177:4 178:5	life	listening
187:6 191:10	104:3 134:25	189:7,18 195:24	29:4 30:10,13	123:25 124:3
leader	135:21 140:25	206:23 207:8,11	95:24 96:2	lists
	141:22 158:24	212:25 224:4,14	105:10 108:17	161:18
28:7 41:20,21	181:23 199:5,7,	234:7 235:5	113:24 115:23	litem
leaders	10 201:12,15	letters	150:18 160:2	15:23
162:2	210:6 217:15,17	18:6 23:25 24:7	170:19 171:13	literally
leadership	227:10	28:12 48:19	177:21 182:10	69:24 175:11
27:15,23 42:2,8	legally	78:5 81:12	193:12 202:1	244:3
48:4,11 193:13	119:24	88:17 176:18	lightly	litigant
leading	Legette	207:13	60:3	138:24 148:12
131:8	246:5,7	level	likewise	litigants
learn	legislator	41:3 43:6 76:8	84:12	19:11 87:10
14:21 64:22	17:1 46:15 61:7	77:16 83:25	Lillian	124:23
65:1 77:7 98:25	80:8 101:16	89:4 154:5	69:9	litigate
100:8 124:2,4	143:2 164:2	159:14 183:1	limit	229:23
169:24,25 200:1	189:8 206:24		85:8	litigated
learned	224:5	levels	limitations	57:15 113:6
15:2 56:25	Legislature	11:21 184:17	17:6 46:20	243:13
123:24 168:20	203:9,11 222:12	227:23,24	61:12 80:13	litigating
192:18 231:2	lend	Lewis	101:20	221:4 228:6
learning	117:18 148:7	240:8 241:8	limited	litigation
23:22 64:21	length	242:3,14	75:15,16 83:25	12:12,15 41:4
161:20	92:25 223:15	liaison	96:18 97:2	49:20 59:4,6
leave	lengthy	138:22 228:12	Lindi	63:17 151:21
168:8 210:14	76:16 77:24	liberal	55:18	159:13 191:20
leaving	89:12 198:13	12:5,22	Lindsay	200:18,19 201:4
90:5 132:7	lenient	liberties	231:13,15	218:25 227:23
left	152:24	13:9	232:13 233:2	228:3,15 230:10
88:15 175:18	lense	liberty	lines	litigator
208:18 209:2	44:13	200:24	132:16	11:24 19:4
230:20	let alone	license	liquor	100:6 217:25
	122:9	72:1 185:7,8	180:5	218:1 228:24
			100.3	210.1 220.24

229:19,21	longest	26:16 29:5 44:2	133:19 138:16	112:4 115:20
	131:18	56:12 104:24,25	146:24 147:18	112:4 113:20
litigators 18:20	looked	105:11 136:23	153:12,13	133:12 134:22
	54:10 72:23,24	176:14	155:20 156:4	136:14 137:16
live	76:11,23 87:24	loved	166:9 170:13	138:11 139:16
19:8 20:12	115:21 131:4	69:16	179:24 185:15	141:20 145:2
37:15 108:17 138:24	134:8 167:25		211:7 214:5,7	152:4 153:4
	175:12	loves 7:18 11:16	223:14 236:1	155:24 158:8
lived			240:13 246:19	163:18 173:1
148:22	lose 78:20 82:4	lowest	Maggie	181:7 187:8,24
lives	125:9	_227:24	87:15,16	197:5 198:11,13
18:24 19:16		Lucas	,	202:8,18
living	losing	82:18	magistrate	202:0,10
88:4	110:3	luck	59:18 204:3,8	203:10,21
LLR	lot	115:11 232:6	magistrates	223:5 228:13
159:18,20 160:1	14:14 21:7 27:1	lucky	170:25 183:11	239:6 245:1
184:25 201:21	43:25 48:1,5,18	96:3 97:18	Magna	246:17
219:23	51:21,22 57:18,	99:17 106:2	231:21	maker
local	20 62:11 67:25	111:21 120:11	magnifies	153:14
29:11 32:6	68:1,7,15,18	121:2,3 122:22	83:6	
38:12 45:6,12,	75:9 77:9 82:5	130:17 178:18	main	makes
19 77:17 81:18	94:8 99:6,8,9	lucrative	125:12	15:9 19:6 78:13
85:17 86:5	102:18,23	31:4	maintained	163:18 211:10, 11 223:3
locations	104:20 105:6,12	Lucy	227:11	
138:4	114:17 119:21	132:2,4	major	making
long	129:14 136:9,25	Lydon	68:4 69:10 70:6	9:18 35:22
15:15 44:18	139:19 144:22	39:4 40:1	majority	42:14 74:9 75:9
71:19 97:7	148:7 166:10		19:4 39:12	95:5 132:9
114:4 116:12	168:19 169:5		217:20 218:2	138:20 153:10
126:16,24	172:23 187:1,11	M	223:19 244:17	180:8 203:14
127:16 130:21	192:10 193:3	Mack	make	Maldonado
140:18 152:1	202:14,17,21	87:15,16	8:23 9:1 11:19,	54:11 55:18,24,
172:2 176:21	204:19 205:10	made	25 12:15 13:5	25 56:7 60:10,
177:17 181:10	208:16 209:7	7:21,24 8:25	23:20 35:6	18 61:24 62:4
191:16 194:6	210:3 219:24	23:12 45:7	36:19 42:15	malpractice
240:7 241:2	224:2 227:11	53:24 61:4	43:18 50:1 51:5	58:19 98:7,10,
long-term	229:10 230:4,12	67:20 72:11	53:16,19 55:11	15,16
149:4 160:2	lots	75:11 76:13,15	62:10 71:21,24	man
163:1,16	75:10,11 78:7	81:23 89:24	73:21,22 74:4,6	28:23 195:3
longer	Louis	91:20 101:9	81:17 85:11,25	managed
202:3 212:16	216:6,8 231:13	105:2 106:18	86:11,16,21	95:14
202.3 212.10	232:13 233:21	112:6 115:23	87:1,8 92:12	manager
	love	121:7,15,18	93:15 103:21	38:22 41:14
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

managing	material	Mccutcheon	59:12,19 127:5	102:13 104:11
104:15	243:12,17	94:24	211:3,11	116:9 122:1
	materials	Mciver	medical	129:17 135:11
manner 18:25 87:12	8:11 36:11	7:2 132:2	58:19 59:8 98:6,	143:6,11 144:18
139:25 146:9	54:17 72:25		10,15,16	150:7,15 163:1
147:12	93:5 134:10	209:14,15,16,17		164:6,11 165:15
	156:20 181:1	Mcleod	medium-age	178:3 187:2,5
mannered	198:2 214:23	136:4 240:7,24	125:23	188:13 189:4,
11:20		242:10	meet	13,19 194:14
Manning	math	Meaning	70:11 129:21	205:25 207:4,9,
66:1 110:5	232:9,20	153:10	209:3,18	12 212:22
mantle	Matt	meaningful	meeting	224:10,15,21
144:17 182:3	88:16	182:5,8,10	45:13 95:5	227:3 234:23
March	matter	means	123:10 126:14	237:4,13,16,24
79:4	22:2 79:5	10:13 68:18	130:24 140:9	238:4,14,20
Marchant	105:25 151:2	75:4 76:16 85:7	142:2 209:19	239:11,17,19,
82:19	152:21 168:14	202:24	meetings	22,24 240:1,15,
Margaret	184:11 219:25	meant	123:1 169:20	21,23 241:1,3,5,
87:14	244:17	83:14 146:4	meets	7,9,11,13,15,17,
Marines	matters	mechanisms	10:5 37:5 56:4	19,22,24
70:6	11:8 39:17,24	22:14	74:17 93:24	242:11,13,15,
mark	42:11 62:16	med-mal	135:14 158:18	17,19,21,24
180:12 197:12	76:9 113:6	39:17 98:14,18,	181:17 198:24	243:1 244:11
marking	138:2,3 159:19,	21 115:1	217:9 245:4	245:20 246:11,
197:8	23 160:20,22	mediate	Meetze	213.20 210.11,
marred	161:7 167:12	20:8	240:9 241:10	memory
195:4	182:22 183:23	mediated	member	121:6 127:16
Mary	184:12 185:1	18:13,16	37:20 41:20	memos
87:14,15,16	201:15 204:21	mediating	43:3 51:8 76:17	39:22
mask	218:6 219:8	211:4	101:9 112:14	
33:23 53:8 55:4	221:16 228:7	mediation	146:8 164:13,17	men 21.2
73:20 74:1	244:6	59:15,16,18	175:23 206:1	31:2
157:16 215:23	Mauldin	85:19 107:5,8,	218:14 225:1	mental 10:20 16:14
216:8	37:14	22 109:19 123:9	226:16 236:8	10:20 16:14 24:5 41:2 45:23
	Mcangus	124:15 125:5,13	members	24:5 41:2 45:23 60:17 79:19
mass 207:13	95:8 169:23	126:12,25 127:4	16:22 17:6,10,	100:15 143:24
	170:8 174:14	mediations	24 21:16 38:13	165:3 190:7
master 29:14 65:7 77:1	175:18	39:21 59:14	39:20 43:9,11	206:18 225:19
	Mccamey	94:16 124:20,25	46:11,20,24	
masters	158:4 170:6	201:10 228:24	47:13 60:25	mention 40:11 175:10
136:18	175:2,20,24	mediator	61:12,16 62:5	
Mastin	Мссоу	18:12,15 19:10,	80:4,13,17 81:6	mentioned
70:6	40:5 41:13	20,23 20:5 57:9	101:6,20,24	22:10 24:22
	I	I	I	1

27:5 29:7 58:7,	midnight	misdemeanor	40:7 64:9 97:19,	228:10
12 102:17	169:20	225:12	21 104:20 171:9	
124:15 125:8			188:19	Motor
130:1 141:6	Milky 234:18	misinterpreted		138:9
162:9 206:6		78:11	months'	Mottel
220:11 230:2	Miller	missed	212:13	74:11,13,20
	64:13 240:9	120:15	moral	79:13,21 81:1
mentioning	241:12 243:6	mission	140:19	mouth
177:9	245:8,13,18	162:19	Morgan	244:4
mentor	Miller's	Mississippi	91:22,25 92:8,9,	move
98:9	245:10	173:8,15,22	14,19,21 93:2,9,	18:24 30:24
Meredith	million	174:8	17,21,24 94:3	50:13 88:12
240:7 241:2	39:12	mistake	96:4,10,24	100:24 109:18
merge	Milton	207:15	100:3,13,16,19,	117:5 127:7,19
176:1	136:5	mistakenly	24 102:15	211:15 237:9
merging	mind	224:25	103:24 112:19,	242:5 244:7
175:20	7:8 13:17 27:22	mitigating	20 113:9,12,19,	245:12 246:7
Merit	128:14 193:12	26:7,12	24 114:2,6,11,	moved
8:1,4 36:1,4,7	199:18 231:23	mixture	22 115:4,12	83:17 88:1,9
54:2,5 72:13,16,	232:6	229:9	117:14 118:6,7,	95:11 125:7
19 92:17,20	mindful	model	11,16 119:2,5,	moves
134:1,4 156:8,	13:20 146:14	109:12	10,12,15,16,22	233:8
11,14 180:13,	210:16	modern	120:1,3 129:25	moving
16,19 197:13,	minding	122:13	131:10,12,17,25	13:14 87:3 96:4
16,19,22	175:20	mold	132:1,8,10,21,	MSPB
214:11,14,17	mine	172:8	22 239:4,25	228:18
228:18	20:7 34:19 88:9		240:3	multiple
met	97:24 99:1	moment 30:13 34:10	morning	66:21 82:8
28:2,3 88:16,18	168:10 208:22	145:7 157:2	7:5,6 9:25 10:1	multitude
115:16 132:1	216:1		18:1 37:2 62:8,	184:1
174:2 231:10	mini-trials	177:14 215:9	18 107:1 108:1	municipal
mic	57:23	Monday	123:10 126:21	201:23 211:24
53:16 133:12	minor	107:1 108:1	Morrison	municipalities
middle	21:24 22:23	123:10 126:14,	57:6	159:11 169:11
43:23 176:3	35:18	21 246:25	motion	
211:20	minute	Mondays	7:2 87:5 121:7	murder 10:17 37:24
middle-aged	136:15 238:2,3	123:1,4	171:6 183:25	
125:24	,	money	239:6 240:13	Murphy
Midlands	minutes	84:21 85:13	245:10 246:17,	20:17,18,20
143:22 164:25	74:6 92:5 213:25 224:24	115:23	19	29:15 63:5,6,8
190:5 205:17		month	motions	118:15 119:2,12
206:12 208:18	mirrors	212:15,19	85:19 94:14	172:13,14,23
225:16	147:1	months	184:6,8 202:4	173:7,12,20
				174:1 224:18

225:3 239:8	100:8 162:2	no-offer	noted	objection
246:6	needing	125:9	21:12 65:20	35:22 72:11
Murrell	125:6	nodded	92:8	180:8,12 197:8,
50:21 119:11	negative	69:7	notes	12 214:8,9
muscle	76:12 131:19	nominated	96:15	objections
19:24	neglected	236:9,11,12	notice	133:22
Myrtle	236:17	237:11 238:22	72:5 171:6	objective
205:20	negligence	242:2 243:3	noticed	57:11 138:20
mysterious	58:20	245:13 246:8	11:3 35:16	obligated
9:11	negotiated	nomination	120:8 173:5	160:14
mystifying	25:9 26:11	245:18	177:15 208:25	oblige
22:24	negotiation	nominations	209:20	91:11
	25:11	245:9	noting	obnoxious
N	negotiations	non-	83:4 84:4	174:11
	39:23	184:5	194:24	observation
nail	Neighborhoods	non-jury	November	118:17
28:17,19	38:7	125:2 183:25	247:3	observations
named	neighbors	184:9,11	number	67:17
79:6 98:9 128:6	11:1	non-traditional	37:19 38:4	observe
205:4,18	neutral	192:15	39:17 40:12	58:17,18,22
names	57:11 162:20	nonetheless	50:23 68:10	64:7 162:1,24
69:25 87:16	211:12	215:10 234:2	75:4,6 82:13	observed
142:19 236:6	newspaper	245:7	98:21 110:13	64:10,11,12,13,
237:10	8:12 36:12	notation	121:25 169:3	14 211:4
nation	54:18 73:1 93:6	96:18	175:11 227:9	observers
231:19	134:12 156:21	note	228:21	198:10
nature	181:2 198:3	10:2 16:6 17:18	numerous	obtaining
12:11 169:17	214:25	37:3 45:20 47:7	57:16 81:15	182:17
205:7,21	nice	52:19,23 56:1	205:13	obvious
nauseum	18:1 43:12 62:8	60:10 61:24	nursing	48:2
243:21	81:9 86:25	74:14 79:13	58:20	occasions
navigated	126:18 209:2,	81:1 93:21		12:13 185:17
185:8	18,19	96:16 100:12	0	occupies
necessarily	nickname	102:7 135:11		85:20
23:22 67:23	119:5	143:21 144:7	oath	occur
83:1 122:8,14	nicknames	154:18 157:6	34:15,16 54:24	178:16 213:6
139:4 151:21	87:17	158:15 164:25	213:8	Oconee
227:16 231:1	night	165:9 181:15	object	64:15
Ned	111:24 119:20	190:4,24 198:21	7:24 53:24	odd
243:6	nine-person	206:12 207:23	156:3	211:12
needed	95:1	215:14 217:6	objected	off-the-record
27:17 77:19		225:16 226:22	121:19	

9:13	174:15 175:16,	93:14 154:19	111:22 145:9,	202:5
offenders	24 188:20 219:5	168:8,9 178:14	11,17 151:4	organization
138:9	230:2	192:22 196:4	154:12 157:17,	211:9
offenses	officer	235:10	18,20 158:8	organized
45:2	160:17,18	opened	162:21,24	163:8
offer	162:13 163:23	175:16	187:11 194:20	origins
12:6 13:25	165:25 166:24	opening	195:21 198:17	45:19
15:10 18:3	167:9 168:5	8:23 9:2,5 21:3	212:5 228:7	OSHA
76:10 84:19	172:11 200:12	36:19 55:11	opposed	159:19,24
118:14 134:21	officers	74:4 88:10,23	43:19 44:24	162:10
136:25 142:8	159:15 166:4,6	93:15,17 141:17	148:15	other-than-
163:15 190:16	168:7,22	158:8 181:8	opposing	serious
204:13 223:11	offices	198:11,14	12:4,14 20:3	159:24
offered	141:14	201:13 216:15	44:9 150:12	out-of-state
26:9 69:11	official	operate	opposition	109:20
82:18 115:10	205:10 206:2,9	51:4	8:19 36:17	outcome
117:24	offsets	operates	54:21 73:8	17:2 46:16 61:8
offering	163:24	23:4,5 145:14	93:12 134:18	80:9 101:17
20:21 27:7	oftentimes	operating	157:3 198:7	143:3 164:3
28:22,23 50:1	171:5	15:16	215:11 237:5	189:9 206:25
51:6 53:2 62:25	older	opinion	239:12 240:16	224:6
63:9 66:21	19:9	12:19 43:21	245:15	outstanding
112:16 113:3,	on-campus	78:2 106:19	optics	58:8,10 76:3
10,18 118:16	40:22	111:11	44:13	100:6 148:5
127:12 147:17	on-line	opinions	oral	204:2
154:8,22 166:16	27:2 66:7	203:15 204:14,	201:11	outweigh
172:9,16 174:4	one-off	24	Orangeburg	85:13
177:12 196:6	78:24	opponent	34:22 107:2	overjoyed
209:10 232:5	one-offer	176:10	order	113:22
offers	166:12	opportunities	25:25 32:13	overreaching
125:19	one-size-fits-	40:13	45:11 115:8	12:15
office	26:3	opportunity	137:4 139:23	overseeing
34:20 37:13,17,	one-size-fits-all	8:22 9:7 18:14	149:13,16	228:2
19,20,22,23	26:17	19:10 22:4	161:13 172:20	oversight
38:6,22,25	one-week	36:22 37:25	182:15 199:15	224:19
41:14 43:5,10	171:8	38:1 41:8,9	219:14 237:10	overwhelming
44:19,24 45:13	ongoing	52:13 55:10	order's	217:20
47:23 48:16,21	16:3	57:5 59:11 64:7	146:19	overwhelmingly
49:7 61:5 88:5, 11,24 95:10,14	open	69:16 74:4	ordered	81:25 112:13
11,24 95:10,14	44:1 47:13	76:21 77:15	152:15	owner
138:23 140:10	52:20 88:10	85:23 88:8,12	orders	229:23
150.25 140.10		93:18 105:20	85:25 138:6	

Ozment	part	party	71:20,25 74:15	58:9 67:19
88:17	7:24 10:22	13:5 147:7	92:10 93:22	69:23 78:1 82:8,
	13:13 35:10,22	211:12	133:15 135:12	23 83:8 95:17
P	38:11 43:2	pass	158:16 165:18	102:22,23
	53:24 64:21	203:9	167:24 173:4	104:10 105:24
p.m.	72:11 94:11,21	passed	179:19 181:16	106:13,15,18
91:17 133:1	95:19,20,21	203:11,14	198:23 208:8	111:8 114:17,
155:2 235:23	99:23 126:20	passes	209:20 214:1	18,22 115:20
247:4	140:4 149:25	203:10	217:7 224:19	116:1 117:23
pace	156:4 177:14	passing	peace	118:1 125:10
87:3	178:19 180:8	221:10	19:25	127:7 142:11
paid	182:18 183:18	passion	Pearce	144:22 147:24,
28:16 175:6	205:8 214:7	31:11 85:4,11	134:22 135:9,	25 148:17 149:4
pain	217:17 219:18	,	10,17 143:21	150:18 166:18
175:9	222:8,12 232:17	past 35:13 57:21	pearl	168:8,13 170:21
painful	part-time	66:14 76:12	194:11	185:6 187:9
12:16 233:2	204:18	98:4 116:2,18,	Peavy	189:17 191:13
pandemic	parte	22 136:16	34:19 47:20	193:16 194:17
40:20	122:15 150:9	137:14 169:4	50:24 51:24	204:14,24 209:7
panel's	187:23 188:4	188:19 194:9	peers	211:6,14,21
220:17	participate	219:8 226:6	56:14 59:23	228:19
panels	27:22 39:20	patent	135:4	perceive
41:2	participated	66:3 183:15	penalties	13:16,18 18:20
paper	11:6 22:11	path	143:17 164:22	23:3 31:10
69:24 95:4	39:22 42:10	193:7,11	189:25 207:20	142:21
	84:6 94:16	patient	225:10	perceived
par 82:24	participating	163:9	penalty	15:8
	27:16	Patrick	21:22 58:17	perceives
parachuting 14:18	parties	53:11 54:3,6	pencils	13:8
	17:9 39:23	56:3 239:3,21	95:3	percent
parameters 15:16	46:23 61:15	240:3	pending	21:7 63:18
	80:16 101:23		17:2 46:16 61:8	106:20 130:16
paraphrasing	127:20 143:5	pay	80:9 101:17	218:10
82:24	164:5 189:12	115:18,22 117:9 124:23	143:2 164:3	perception
Pardon	202:7 207:3		183:19 189:9	18:19 142:10,22
201:21	211:17 224:9	payroll	206:25 224:6	147:9
Paren	partner	95:6	Pennsylvania	perfect
225:12	104:16 175:14	PD	229:20	187:19 223:2
Parole	partners	45:13	people	perfectly
201:20,21	38:12 57:6	PDQ	18:24 19:25	197:10 204:8
Parris	184:10	10:3 11:3 14:13	20:8 28:6 48:6	perform
120:14		21:5 37:4 53:18	49:15 50:15	89:14 145:7
		56:2 65:21		

performing	20 103:7 104:15	177:17	58:23 64:11,13,	policy
199:25	111:6 168:15	pit	16 84:8	15:17 203:13
period	perspectives	149:10	pleasantly	polite
13:17 48:14	24:20	place	113:24	110:14
89:6 177:18,20	persuasions	27:19 52:3	pleasure	political
218:9 230:18	24:2	129:15 139:7	39:19 85:21	15:9 24:2 42:20
periodically	pertain	places	103:3 144:24	43:1 44:4,5,8
68:10	66:16	108:10	pledge	48:7 203:16
permanent	Pete	plaintiff's	17:1 46:15 61:7	225:25 226:10
195:8,9	40:5 50:25	13:3 121:16	80:8 101:16	politicians
perplexed	113:19 123:3	plaintiff-friendly	143:1,15 164:2,	116:9
12:23	176:15 238:6	120:7	20,23 189:8,23	politics
person	phase	plaintiffs	206:24 207:2,18	15:12 44:12
25:3 28:10	22:15 30:24	99:23 125:18,19	224:5 225:8,11	226:20
34:13 73:17	philosophy	plan	pledging	ponder
75:24 78:2	25:8,19,23	161:23 162:1	17:16 47:4	85:23
86:14 113:17	phone	176:3	61:21 80:22	рор
115:24 122:15	27:15 234:19	planned	102:4 143:18	119:15
129:19 149:21	physical	113:8	164:23 190:1	portion
163:8 171:25	16:13 45:23	planner	207:21 225:11	32:24 53:4
person's	60:16 79:19	167:6	plenary	70:18 76:18
22:15 78:16	100:14 143:24	planning	40:25	90:14 132:12
personal	165:3 190:7	40:24	plenty	154:10,11 178:3
7:19 8:2 35:3	206:18 225:19	play	83:8 171:21	195:20 212:2,22
36:2 43:6,8 54:3	physics	159:3 182:24	184:12	235:3
58:5 72:14,17	69:10 215:21	185:24 186:3	plug	position
92:10,18 134:2	pick	played	232:8	8:8 10:6 19:25
155:16 156:9,12	48:15 49:25	127:5 233:2	point	20:22 28:22
171:12 176:6	212:17	plays	27:25 32:17	36:17 37:6
180:14 196:25	picked	227:15	36:18 47:13	41:23 42:3 56:5
197:14,17	117:2	plea	67:11 68:16	74:18 76:2
203:15 214:12,	Pickens	24:25 25:11	71:6 76:22 78:4	78:16 84:19
15 226:9,20	21:21 37:13	125:4	82:13 89:2	93:25 113:4
personality	44:22	plead	105:10 107:17	134:8,14 135:15
70:4,15 211:19	picking	45:18	112:7 116:17	139:3,4,13,16
personally	133:12	pleading	124:15 130:11	146:13,16 148:7
149:13	pillars	13:6	138:10 153:22	152:21 158:19
persons	18:8	pleadings	184:3 185:15 190:19 193:6	161:9 181:18
28:21 162:20	Pinckney	12:6,23 13:1,9,	230:25	182:2,11 184:18 189:4 193:8
perspective	27:20,23	11 86:19		196:7 199:1
22:21 23:1,6	pink	pleas	points	206:8 208:12
24:15,19 76:2,	•	preas	107:23 185:7,8	200.0 200.12

210:13 217:10,	99:6 105:6	practitioner	prepared	69:15 208:16
23 227:8 230:16	125:4 135:16	14:4 22:5 63:19	14:5 23:20	212:11
232:5 236:4	136:13 141:3,7,	77:6 148:23	57:17,24 59:9	pressure
positions	23 142:17	230:23	86:12,13,14,23	145:6
44:10 62:12	150:6,14,16	practitioners	87:9 110:15	pressures
227:12	151:9 158:3,20	24:2 75:7	148:12 159:8	230:9,10
positive	160:3,10 168:11	praising	188:15 200:20	,
11:15 41:18,25	169:17,21,23	100:5	204:22,23	presume 12:17 20:9
58:3 75:20	170:19,24 171:2	pre-covid	210:12 211:1	162:23 172:4
76:12 82:1,5	172:19 175:14,	193:21	230:6	102.23 172.4
96:8,17 100:5	19 181:19		preparing	
140:17 163:6	183:10 184:21	pre-sentence	65:10 86:19	pretty
187:16 204:1	186:8 187:6	24:25	151:19 177:13	23:9,16 67:8 108:20 110:16
209:7 222:25	192:9,14 199:1	precedent	prerequisite	
possibly	209:1 217:11	243:23	229:16	121:24 125:18,
23:21 44:5	218:2,10 223:20	precedes	present	19 158:10
	227:20 230:9	118:9	35:13 36:18	186:21 220:14 224:20
post- 22:17	232:2	predominantly	38:10 54:22	
	practiced	21:16 63:11	93:13 134:20	prevail
potential	49:6,21 57:7	228:17	145:9 146:25	120:10
29:24 64:24	75:4,6 84:14	preeminent	152:19,23 157:4	previous
91:2	95:2 103:18	24:4	198:8 201:22	8:14 36:13
potentially	113:16 141:12	prefect	215:12 233:17	54:19 181:3
52:17 70:24	148:21 160:6	96:10		190:13 198:4
85:7 90:22	169:2 170:9	preference	presentations 26:12	202:16
154:16 196:1	practices	159:4		previously
practical	31:4 208:17	preliminary	presented	22:10 44:6
148:14 151:17	practicing	135:24 202:2	14:25 77:12	134:13
152:10	12:24 19:5	prep	86:20 88:8	pride
practice	37:12 66:2.20	201:12	148:13 171:18	118:4
10:7,22,23,24	76:14 94:12	preparation	194:20 200:2 243:13,15	primarily
11:22 12:10	95:18 105:6,12	77:16 89:13	, í	57:7 59:13
13:2,10 14:13	106:6,8 112:4	137:4,6,10	preside	100:5 210:5
19:9 20:2 21:7,	117:20 128:5	161:12,14	21:19 22:1	prince
8,16 22:6,14,21	129:12 131:23	182:14 199:15,	63:23 86:21	117:20
24:11 29:16	141:25 150:4	17 201:11,12	138:19 139:24	prior
37:7 40:15 44:1	151:6 152:21	219:14,16,18	144:14 160:20,	17:2 40:17,19
56:6 63:12,18	159:8 163:17,	prepare	23 168:17	46:16 61:2,8
67:13 74:19	20,21 167:21	14:9 23:21	182:23	73:5 80:9
75:276:15	170:4 171:4,21	65:18 151:24	presided	101:16 134:16
81:20 82:6,20,	172:1 217:19	161:1 182:20	202:3	136:17 143:2
25 83:9 84:5	222:4 228:4	189:3	president	164:2,16 189:9
85:19 89:7 94:1	229:3,13		28:7,9 40:16	206:25 208:15
	- 7 -			

215:10 224:6	135:2 137:14	professional	promise	Protection
226:7 243:20,24	138:12 150:22	10:10 16:10	65:17	228:18
priority	160:13,24	37:10 45:25	promote	proud
109:19	191:17 201:1	56:22 60:12	30:18 186:13	87:17 231:18
prison	proceed	62:16 74:22	promoted	232:22
200:23	91:22 236:2	79:16 94:4,11,	89:4	prove
prisoner	238:24 239:15	21 95:20,25	promoting	13:12 67:24
205:5	240:19 245:8	100:17 135:22	202:24	proven
prisoner-related	proceeding	144:1 158:24	prompt	115:5
79:1	185:12,14	165:5 181:23	162:22 202:25	provide
private	proceedings	188:3 190:9	203:21	26:17 162:19
31:4 83:9 141:2	160:9	199:5 206:17	promulgation	prowess
172:19 183:10	process	217:15,24	182:25	234:6
209:1 217:25	9:11,21 12:15	225:21	pronouncing	proxy
219:1 230:23	17:11 32:25	professionalism	196:15	118:14 237:18
privilege	33:5 46:25	59:21 176:7	pronunciation	238:5,18
20:6	61:17 63:3	professionally	244:25	public
privileged	70:19 80:18	226:13	proper	43:8 48:22
118:10	82:10 89:13	professions	96:11	56:11,12,20
privy	90:15 101:25	111:12	properly	82:13 83:4 85:2,
139:5	109:12 118:1	professor	137:17	4,5,11 87:25
pro	126:20,24 135:5	69:17 215:18	property	88:10,23,24
230:11	143:7 151:19	proficient	10:25 66:3	89:1,2,5,9 90:3
probate	154:11 164:7	67:14	151:6	99:17 105:25
41:5	167:13 172:12 178:4 185:5	program	proposal	119:10 138:22
probation	178:4 185:5	38:9 59:16,18,	150:13	199:8 203:13
25:1 43:11	189.14 190.22	19 69:13 234:1	proposition	216:19 217:18,
103:2 201:20,21	201:6 203:21	programs	15:13 30:19	21 219:2 221:8
probations	206:8 207:5	38:19	prosecuted	publish
103:5	212:23 224:11	progress	159:18 230:3	175:11
problem	228:10,22 235:4	68:2	prosecuting	punishment
118:5 126:7	produce	progressed	45:10	25:25
problems	137:15	191:11	prosecution	purely
13:14	producing	prohibited	45:9,12	148:15
procedural	151:2	143:14 164:19	prosecutor	purple
191:23,25	productive	189:22 207:17	78:19 88:18	177:17
procedure	18:25	225:7	prosecutors	purpose
49:22 139:15	profession	prohibiting	78:5,9	149:6 167:3
152:4 160:13,25	12:3 81:14,17,	31:22	protect	purposes
184:15	20 94:19 209:8	Project	30:19 182:5,6	53:9
procedures		38:7		pursuant
				32:25 52:13

	I	1	1	
154:12 195:22	134:7 143:24	107:9 109:24	87:20,24 91:2	raised
200:25	154:20 165:2	110:17 126:23	93:16 96:5	17:19 47:8 49:3
pursue	166:23 178:15	127:3 128:3	102:11,12	61:25 77:21
43:18	180:20 184:18	132:3 140:3,4	109:9,15 112:3	81:2 95:13
pursued	190:7 196:5	147:23 148:9	131:14 132:3	102:8 115:18
232:10	197:24 206:16	150:2 151:12,15	134:23 144:12,	117:9 128:17
purview	214:21 225:18	152:6,7,13	18 158:9	144:8 165:10
39:8 245:1,5	235:2,11 245:9,	167:19 174:8	165:12,14 166:9	190:25 207:24
pushed	11	181:25 182:19	181:13 191:3,5	226:23
12:14 193:23	qualified	186:22 192:19	195:11,16	ran
put	10:10 11:20	194:21,22	198:19 206:21	69:19 95:2,3
29:23 33:7	16:8,12 37:10	209:14 222:3	208:2 209:13	193:22
45:11 53:20	45:22,24 56:22	245:6	210:21 212:21	ranging
71:22 74:1 77:6	60:12,15 74:22	questionable	213:8 216:17	219:22
78:17 97:15	79:15,18 94:4	43:16	227:1,3 235:13	RANKIN
103:13 111:5	100:13,16	questioned	qui	7:1,5,7,13,17,23
113:7 133:19	112:13 140:19	47:19	39:17	8:7,18 9:14,22
146:12,16,20	143:22,25 144:6	questioning	quick	17:23 20:13,16
148:24 152:15	165:1,4 176:5	17:21 47:10	29:17 70:3	27:11 29:1,2
153:22 185:8	187:18 190:6,8	62:2 81:4 84:11	85:18 196:19	32:22 33:11,16
193:10 202:19	206:14,15 223:4	102:10 144:9	quickly	53:7,14,16,23
210:15 226:19	225:17,20,24	165:11 191:2	38:1,8 77:7 86:7	54:1,8,14 55:1,
243:9,22	232:6 236:9,11,	201:13 207:25	87:2,9 100:8	4,7,21,24 62:4
putting	12,18 237:1,11	226:25	176:16 224:20	63:5 67:2 68:20,
153:15 226:9	238:22 239:6	questionnaire	229:12 236:21	23 69:21 70:9,
Pyle	240:13 242:1	7:20 8:2 35:3	quote	17 71:8,12,18
56:25 57:13	243:2 245:13	36:2 54:3 72:14,	149:4 195:3	72:9,12,21
58:16,17,22	246:8,15,18	17 92:10,18		73:15,20,25
64:6 65:13	qualify	134:2 155:16	R	74:3,11 81:6
04.0 05.15	137:20 161:9	156:9,12 180:14	N	82:16 87:19
	162:7 200:7	197:1,14,17	race	90:11,13 91:7,
Q	220:9	214:12,15	31:19 82:9	13,18 92:3,9,15,
qualification	quality	questions	84:12 139:18	22 93:3,10,19
156:17 245:18	42:9 117:23	9:3 17:22,24	149:9 236:7,20	102:12 113:13,
qualifications	176:9 202:1	23:10,15,17	239:2 246:3	20 115:13
8:8 16:13 33:14	quantity	27:7 32:23	raise	117:16 118:12
36:8 45:23	42:9	36:23 37:1	7:8 34:2 50:10	119:3,6,11,23
52:21 56:17	question	47:11,14 48:1	71:12 91:23	120:2,4 128:2
60:16 71:2	22:3 23:19	51:9 52:10	115:22 124:16	130:19 131:13
72:22 79:18	25:22 35:9 49:2	54:10 62:3,5	133:5 155:9	132:1,6,11,22
90:25 92:23	51:12 64:3 72:6	65:11 67:2	179:11 213:18	133:2,5,11,18,
100:14 132:19	86:3 92:11	68:20 71:4	245:21 246:10,	22 134:6,16
100.14 132.17	97:10 104:19,20	79:22 81:5,6	11,20,21	135:8 144:15
	ŕ			

155:3,5,8,14,19,	86:4	142:14 154:4	recommendatio	190:25 196:3
24 156:3,6,16			n	190.23 190.3
157:1,9,13,15,	read	reasons 23:24 31:18	18:7 23:25 24:7	207:23 213:7
22 158:1,5,12	15:1 28:18		25:12,13 26:9	214:3,8 217:6,8
165:14 166:20	51:22 65:4,6,14	51:13 105:24	28:13 78:5	226:23 227:7
172:13 174:16	69:24 70:10	125:12 222:4	81:13 88:17	
	82:2 147:18	recall		235:10,23,24
175:1,8 176:6	166:3 189:1	156:2 174:24	153:23	243:16 244:3
177:2 178:1,13	220:4 240:5	227:6 232:18	recommendatio	247:4
209:12,15	readily	recalling	ns	records
210:20,23	88:11 89:21	116:9	135:3 141:20	136:9 243:15
212:20 213:3,	reading	receive	161:2 226:16	Recount
11,15,17,23	23:23 202:16	42:13 115:25	recommended	238:3
214:6,10,20	233:17	244:5,25 245:7	246:16	reelected
215:4,8,20,23	ready	received	reconnect	140:12 245:11
216:2,8,13	11:11 14:6 21:4,	11:12 17:1	186:6	reelection
217:1,5 227:2	18,19 24:21	27:14 36:16	record	243:6
231:6 233:6,12,	31:15 86:20	41:15 46:15	7:1,4,14,24 8:24	reentry
15,21,25 234:4,	92:12 108:12	57:25 61:7	10:2,4 14:18	38:19
16,21 235:17,24	148:19 214:3	75:17 80:8 96:5	15:24 17:19	reference
237:2,5,7,19	230:20	101:15 140:14	19:22 33:7,13	48:22 176:18
238:2,5,8,11,24	real	143:1 163:3	34:17 35:18,22	188:1 224:25
239:7,9,12,14	84:25 86:9	164:2 187:13	37:3,5 46:5 47:8	referenced
240:14,16,18	102:24 103:15	189:8 198:6	52:19,24 53:20,	82:19 85:16
243:7 244:1,9,	118:7,8 183:8	203:24 206:24	24 56:1,3 61:2,	references
12,14,16,21	196:19 236:21	222:22 224:5	25 62:20 69:24	35:10
245:14,17,21,24	realign	242:1,2	70:11 71:1,5,10,	referred
246:1,9,19,24	13:17	receives	22 72:1,11	28:9 62:13
rare	reality	236:10	73:15 74:14,16	
133:14	124:25	receiving	75:21 81:2	referring
rate	realize	145:25 146:1	90:18,24 91:17,	152:14 211:16,
171:3	65:1	recent	19 92:12 93:15,	22
re-	realized	29:7 204:18	21,23 99:18	reflect
193:17	148:21 207:14	232:21	100:4 102:8	60:5 112:9
re-litigation	224:19,25	recently	131:4 132:6,12,	113:1 114:1
243:20	realizing	57:8 146:20	18,24 133:20	reflected
re-plowing	172:17 224:1	152:13 184:9	135:11,13 144:7	180:3
212:10		202:3 236:3	153:1,6 154:18	reflecting
re-upped	rearrange	recital	155:2,25 156:4	31:12
218:21	110:22	109:21	158:15,17 165:9	reflection
reach	reason		175:12 176:14	59:24
24:17 85:17	27:19 31:12,13	recognize	178:11,14,24	reflective
reaching	32:6 84:22 90:1	45:4 158:6	180:7 181:15,16	12:20
	115:18,21		185:13,17	

reflects	rehabilitation	remain	removed	representation
60:5	26:1	37:19 39:6	228:21 236:16	187:10
Reform	Reibold	41:14 43:5	rendered	Representative
40:4	178:24 179:1,7,	52:20 90:3	124:19	20:17,18,20
refresh	14,18,22 180:1,	154:19 178:14	renders	29:15 50:3,4,9
121:6	10,15,17,19	196:3 235:10	10:10 37:10	51:20 63:5,6,8
refreshing	181:9,21 185:18	remained	56:22 74:22	102:13 112:22
106:14	186:15 187:13	37:15 38:9 40:4	94:4 153:19	115:14,15
refreshments	189:6 190:5,16	remaining	reoccurring	117:15 118:13,
128:25	191:5,8 195:13,	163:25	211:18	15 130:19
refused	18,20 196:9	remains	repeat	131:13 144:19,
32:7	236:23 237:23	38:9	140:2 242:9	20 147:13
refuses	238:8,23	remark	repeatedly	151:15 154:9
25:11	Reibold's	131:16	67:19	166:20 167:17
regard	181:16	remarking	repeating	172:13,14,23
12:22 22:8	reinstatement	191:9	177:10	173:7,12,20
176:20	218:18	remarks	repetitive	174:1 191:9
	related	93:15	206:3	208:4 224:18
regarded 44:16	94:20 223:7	remedies		225:2 239:5,8
	relationship	22:18 121:15,18	report 16:7 25:1 33:14	240:12 244:13
regimented	136:8 142:7	remedy	52:21 71:2	246:6
44:25	relationships	67:15	78:25 90:24	represented
Regina	81:18 129:13	remember	132:19 143:17	19:12 21:10
240:8 241:8	relative	92:7,8 98:5	154:19 161:1	103:17 159:10
242:2,14	76:11	106:15,16 109:4	164:22 178:15	184:24 191:13
register	release	116:3,4 124:7	180:3 189:25	201:3
32:1	33:14 52:20	127:4 131:2	196:4 205:2,16	representing
registering	90:25 132:19	161:18 174:24	207:20 225:10	120:10 144:25
31:22	143:16 154:19	175:3,10 205:12	235:11	151:17 168:16
registration	164:21 178:14	233:1	reported	227:22
72:3	189:24 196:4		15:4,20 16:7	represents
regret	207:19 225:9	remind	60:11,15 79:14	31:6
12:8,19 13:8	235:11	51:15 52:13	100:13 206:13,	reproach
regular	reliefs	92:24 154:12	15	41:24 223:9
87:3	22:18	195:21 235:4		Republic
regulation		reminded	reporter	30:17
180:4	relocate	70:19 122:1	7:18 33:25	Republican
regulations	88:22	178:4 212:24	reporter's	15:18
182:25 200:14	rely	reminder	53:8	Republicans
221:10	85:24 199:24	236:5	represent	48:4,12
regulatory	relying	remove	19:12 146:23	reputation
180:4 221:8	154:7	33:23	184:21	16:11 42:12
				10.11 72.12

46:1 58:9 60:13	residents	respond	retire	126:21 184:6
68:7 69:1 79:16	31:25 32:8	12:7 42:22,23	105:3 113:8	201:4
100:17 118:9	resolution	58:13 77:23	210:12	Ricky
144:2 165:6	18:24 19:6,25	responded	retired	243:9
175:15 190:10	20:3,12 26:11	63:16 128:19	136:3,4 246:14	rid
206:17 221:15	43:19 72:3	166:13	retirement	107:10
225:22	167:8 175:4	response	200:15 210:12,	rights
request	resolutions	12:6 13:24 14:1	17	32:14 182:6
147:5	87:10	15:10 76:10	retiring	228:22
requested	resolve	81:24 141:3	97:20	ring
17:13	43:17 44:2 60:7,	142:8 147:22	retraction	31:14 174:11,13
requests	8 211:8,15,17,	163:15 187:24	225:2	rising
141:16,17	22	188:6 190:16	Revenue	233:22
require	resolved	204:12 223:11,	138:1	river
212:12	16:4,5 19:22	13 226:2,18	reversing	103:19
required	72:5,6 87:2,12	responses	121:7	road
127:4	127:9	141:3 154:7	review	19:14
requirement	resort	210:25 235:1	77:15 86:5	Rob
226:19	220:2	responsibilities	136:9 138:25	193:24 196:12
requirements	resource	38:11,24 39:25	153:23 161:24	
10:6 37:6 56:5	27:4,6 200:14	40:12,24 140:9	202:7	robbery 21:23
74:17 93:25	resources	responsibility	reviewed	
135:15 158:19	186:4 200:12	25:4 26:14	39:22 47:3	robe
181:18 198:25	210:4 211:7	86:11 154:2	61:20 80:21	182:3
217:10	227:25	182:4 200:1	102:3 138:6	Robert
requires	respect	rest	159:15,16 161:3	179:14 180:14,
149:8	11:21 12:2	40:8 49:25	180:24	17 236:23
requisite	55:16 56:14	117:22 142:3	reviewing	237:22 238:23
104:3	68:4 102:24	186:7,8 244:22	162:3 202:14	246:5
research	110:4 114:15	restrained	204:19	Robeson
85:24 136:9	159:2 222:20	50:6	revocations	70:7,8,9
161:24 199:22	respected	restraining	64:12 160:21	Robin
220:4 221:9	96:9 100:20	32:12	revolved	246:14
reserve	104:11 112:14	restrictions	63:12	Roland
169:4	respectful	189:16		9:23 17:23
residence	55:13 68:12	result	rewards 85:12	role
10:7 32:2 37:7	110:11,14	28:4		13:15 18:12,15
56:6 74:18	128:17 146:10	resume	Rhodes	20:5 32:25
93:25 135:16	respectfully	29:6 48:1 63:10	94:25 98:9	62:24 82:11
158:19 181:19	27:16	115:21 117:1	Richard	125:6 153:14
199:1 217:11	respecting	209:6 224:23	34:22	159:3,8 167:7
	222:17		Richland	182:25 183:21
			107:1 123:14	185:22,24

186:3,23,24,25	rounded	194:14,15,24	Safko	scholarly
187:3 201:24	45:3 173:1	207:8 221:19	215:25	231:23
203:8,10,12	routine	224:14 228:8	Safran	school
211:2,5,16	25:4	running	67:3,4,7 68:19	14:24 34:22
roles	routinely	32:19 55:19	87:21,22	44:22 50:7
37:19 41:8	41:1 191:13	123:15 192:21	113:20,21	51:14 65:24
148:6	192:4,6	Rusty	113.20,21	69:22 84:21
Rookard	rule	109:4	130:20,21	99:2,5 113:15
196:15,16,17,21	15:12 16:18	Rutherford	131:5,11	136:21 137:8
197:3,6,10,15,	30:16 46:7	50:3,4,9 51:20	147:14,15	159:10,14
18,21 198:9,13,	60:21 79:25	115:13,14,15	227:3,4 231:10	168:16,24
20,24 199:3	85:22 87:9	117:14,15	232:3 244:15	169:4,7,8,13
200:4 202:11	101:2 188:2	131:14 132:7,9	sake	173:9 174:2
203:4,23 204:5	rule-driven	144:19,20	198:14 202:18	183:2 193:15
206:13,15 208:6	49:21	147:13 154:9	sales	199:23 208:23
209:13,18	ruled	167:17 191:9	138:1	223:18 231:14,
210:2,24 213:2,	202:4	239:5 240:12	sandwiched	21 232:9,20,23
10,11,13 236:23	rules	244:13	96:18	science
238:13,16	15:19 16:19	Rutherford's	sat	232:9,20
room	23:17 46:8	147:23 151:15	137:23 159:22	scope
20:25 23:2 28:9	49:11 60:22		162:9 188:22	161:16
52:1 69:23	80:1 83:25	S	satisfaction	scored
193:1	101:3 141:8,9	<u> </u>	105:12	174:14
roommate	143:18,20	S.C.	save	screen
34:22	160:13,15,16,25	17:16	109:15 244:17	118:1 216:21
roommates	161:1 164:23	Sabb	scare	screened
99:5	184:13,15 188:2	27:11,12 29:6	40:20	93:8 134:13
Roosevelt	190:1 201:2	117:16,17 118:8	scared	156:23 215:2,7
195:2	204:20 207:21	128:3,4 177:2,3,	124:3 125:15,17	217:2 236:3
rooted	220:24 225:11	20,24 231:6,7,	124:9 125:15,17	246:13
14:24	226:11	10 232:7,12	scared-to-death	screening
roster	ruling	233:1,5,7	123:24	17:3,7 32:24
86:2 107:1	86:24	243:25 244:1,2	scars	33:5 46:17,21
108:2 123:1,10	rulings	245:12,25	195:8,9	52:17 53:4
125:1 126:14	139:16 243:24	sacrifice	SCDC	54:11 61:9,13
171:7 185:25	run	85:9,10	200:18 201:3,7	70:19,25 73:3
rosters	10:22 31:8,13,	sad	scenes	80:10,14 90:15,
108:9 109:5	19 50:12 141:14	113:5	139:6	23 101:17,21
rotation	143:10 164:10	safe	schedule	118:18 131:18,
83:18	167:4 168:11	38:7 91:9	83:20 92:6	20 143:3
Rothstein	189:18 190:20	230:17 235:20	scheduling	154:11,17 164:3
29:8	192:9,19 193:25		62:17	178:4,9 189:10
			02.17	
	1	1	1	1

195:15,20 196:1	10:16 11:1	Senate	sensing	126:15 163:11
207:1 212:23	Section	27:14	127:7	199:9 201:22
213:5 216:22	17:5,16 46:19	Senator	sensitive	226:15
224:7 231:11	47:5 61:11,22	7:2 17:24,25	141:19 142:2	serves
235:4	80:12,23 101:19	18:19 20:13,15	171:20,24 172:2	10:18 35:12
screenings	102:5 143:19	27:11,12,20,23	sentence	149:6
8:14 36:13	190:1 225:12	29:6 33:22 34:2,	25:10,15,24	service
54:19 73:5	sector	8,16,24 35:2,8,	26:2 200:24	18:17 20:22
134:17 181:4	219:2 221:4	15,17,21,25	sentenced	27:8 35:11 53:2
198:4 215:11	security	36:6,21,24	22:16	55:14 56:11,20
search	43:11 86:25	47:12,16 50:3	sentencing	81:13 85:4,5,12
8:12 36:12	122:13,18	51:8,11,17,19	24:24 25:18,20	88:24 97:5,9
54:18 73:1 93:6	seeking	52:7,12 53:1	sentencings	105:25 112:16
134:11 156:21	114:13 143:15	61:3 62:5,7	22:12	154:23 158:11
181:2 198:3	164:20 189:23	63:2,4 68:9	sentiments	167:22 168:1
214:25	207:18 218:18	73:14 81:7,8,23	177:12	172:10 196:7
seasoned	225:8	82:12 101:9	seriousness	199:8 216:20,24
106:10	segmented	112:18,19,20,21	99:20	217:21 223:15
seat	44:25	113:10,12	servant	Services
32:19 53:14	selected	117:16,17	56:12	165:19 166:24
71:18 76:23	27:19	118:8,11 126:12		167:8
92:3 118:18	Selection	128:3,4 164:14	serve 14:6,8 21:5	serving
133:13 139:21	8:1,4 36:1,4,7	165:15,16	31:4,16 42:11	89:5 90:22
143:11 161:10	54:2,5 72:13,16,	177:2,3,20,24	56:12 59:19	163:1 166:4,5
164:11 167:5	19 92:17,20	193:14 208:5	63:9 66:25	218:20
168:11 176:5	134:1,4 156:8,	231:6,7,10	76:21 82:11	session
179:17 189:19	11,14 180:13,	232:7,12 233:1,	118:17 119:1	7:3 8:25 40:25
192:22 196:25	16,19 197:13,	5,7 243:25	135:20 137:4	66:15 91:20
207:9 213:23	16,19,23	244:1,2 245:12, 25	150:16 151:8	131:19 235:25
217:3 224:15	214:11,14,17		158:22 161:13	sessions
236:7,20 238:25	self-disclosed	send	162:25 172:17	21:8,17,20 41:4
239:2 240:4	225:4,5	61:3	174:5 181:21	63:19,24 84:2
243:5 246:4,16	self-pace	sending	182:15 186:12	171:1
seated	216:3	207:12	187:7 193:3	Sessions'
22:25	self-reflection	senior	199:3,16 212:6	86:2
seconded	30:8	57:6 89:4 162:2	217:13,18	set
7:2 239:7,8	selfishly	173:22 227:18	219:12,15 227:8	31:10 137:15
244:9 245:14	223:6	233:22	228:12 245:11	139:23,24
246:20	seminal	sense	246:13	143:18 175:16
secret	32:4	19:4,7 122:6	served	203:12 221:1
193:5	seminars	127:14,18,20	13:21 22:21	setting
secrets	192:18	148:25 176:7	57:9 59:15 79:5	129:2
		211:11 228:25		
				I

107:9 126:5			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	slated
	69:17,19	similar	215:3,23 216:4,	116:24
settled	Shoemake	83:20 84:11	10,18 231:5	SLED
206:2,5	240:9 241:14	89:20 107:25	234:15 235:16	15:20 78:25
settlement	242:16	110:10 141:10	sit	205:2,16
39:21,22 228:24	short	160:8 163:21	14:5 31:15 34:8,	sleeve
settling	77:2,3 83:23	183:25 223:23	25 114:19	234:10
173:24	89:6	228:19 229:2	117:10 126:18	slight
sex	shortly	simple	127:25 131:3	180:1
45:2	32:14 232:13	10:25	155:15 160:17	small
sexual	show	simply	167:18 168:17	37:23 44:24
21:24 22:23	227:9 244:10	145:24 146:2	172:8 176:4	48:25 95:1 97:7
58:20	shows	193:4	sites	159:11 169:11
share	35:12 42:1 48:5	Sims	159:25	182:25
79:10 128:5	81:19 99:21	57:3,4	sitting	small-town
shared	Shuler	sincerity	30:6 57:13	170:23
60:1 244:4	240:10 241:16	103:25	58:16 88:16	smaller
Sharon	242:3,18	single-wide	123:25 124:2	37:25
36:25	Shunna	116:5	145:12 146:6	smart
sharp	159:23	sink	167:16 188:13	29:8 49:24
11:24	side	13:11	189:4 204:18	96:13 223:1
Shaw	10:15,16 11:7	sir	situation	smartest
121:7	13:3,4 22:6,9,	7:5,7,22 9:1,23	77:6 78:3,17,21	75:22 187:19
She'll	13,17 24:13	26:23 27:9,10	111:25 117:7	smile
110:23	39:16 48:23	28:24 33:9,15	146:25 171:5	55:5 73:21
sheets	99:23 114:19	34:1 35:1,8,20	174:4 195:5	157:22 175:5,9,
65:4,14 86:18	127:8,10 146:6	47:15,24 48:10	situations	10 216:9
189:1 247:1	148:12,25	50:2,8 51:7	68:14 75:9,12	smiling
sheriff's	149:18 176:10	53:1,21,25	sixth	73:22,23
45:13	226:12,13	54:13,25 60:23	23:10,15 194:24	smith
Sherman	sides	61:2,10,14,19,	skill	29:15 102:13,14
213:15,20	43:7 48:4 75:25	23 63:13,20,25	31:10	112:17,22
214:13,16,18	77:22 78:13	64:4 65:22 66:8,	skills	118:13,14
217:9 236:24	127:13,20 211:2	12 71:7,23 72:9	49:24 89:21	119:8,20
238:19,23	sign	87:15 91:8,23	231:25	131:15,25 132:5
shift	38:1 193:20	92:4,22 93:2,14,	skillset	133:1 144:13,16
169:21	sign-in	19 113:11	42:4	166:20,21
shifted	247:1	133:17,21,24	Slambrook	174:7,10,19,21
38:8 169:22	signed	143:20 147:13	240:11 241:21	175:6 178:23
shines	7:20	158:1 179:12,22	242:4,23 243:4	179:3,10,17,23
204:3	significant	180:10 192:16	slate	180:6,11,18
207.3	39:24 76:18	197:3 198:20	237:1	181:12 191:4,7

105 10 10 10		10.05.1.(1.1	1 (7.10	
195:10,13,19	son's	19,25 161:1	167:12	Spurrier
196:11,14,17,24	66:3	163:17 167:10	specialized	174:17
197:4,7,11,22	sort	169:10 171:22	136:12 141:7	stability
198:10,18 208:3	43:20 75:23	172:10 173:14,	specific	16:14 20:1
236:25 238:1	76:22 83:6,22	16 174:17	15:3 184:19	45:23 60:17
246:17	95:20,24 105:7,	175:24 178:6	200:15	79:19 100:15
smoke	20 166:11 186:6	183:14 190:1	specifically	143:24 165:3
146:25	206:7 212:6	193:2,13 196:8	82:20 137:20	190:7 206:18
so-and-so	sorts	201:17,18 213:1	162:6 200:6	225:19
31:8	74:9 106:13	216:23 217:21	220:9	stadium
soccer	sought	218:4,5 220:13	specious	96:23
171:14 232:22	17:1 46:15 61:7	221:17 223:17,	13:1	staff
social	80:8 101:15	20 224:1 225:11	spectrum	17:20 38:25
129:21,24	143:1 164:1	226:4,8 228:13	38:16,18 44:8	47:9 55:18 62:1
socially	189:8 206:24	229:20 235:6	speed	81:3 95:16
122:4	207:2 224:5	Southeast	50:14 86:7	102:9 136:2
society	sound	104:17	199:20 204:21	148:5 200:23
72:4 111:1	105:9 149:20	Southern	220:6	219:6 223:22
solely	150:22	173:14	spend	226:14
191:13 227:20	sounds	space	48:1 65:11	stages
solicit	103:15	37:25	108:11 204:19	209:22
42:13	sour	span		staging
	114:23	35:13	spent 43:25 140:24	23:3
solicitor	South	Spartanburg	200:8 202:14,15	stake
25:17 26:10	16:19 27:15,23	193:15 208:9	217:20 230:22	202:19
38:5 44:21	31:25 33:2	209:1		stampede
solicitor's	35:11 37:14	speak	spirit	174:23
24:13 37:13,22	40:21 46:8 47:4	7:11 20:10	33:2 52:14	stand
49:7	53:3 59:17	29:13 34:6	70:21 90:19	7:8 31:15 53:7
solicitors	60:22 61:21	48:23 50:11	154:14 178:6	55:1 73:16
24:12	65:11 69:6,18	53:12 68:7	195:23 212:25	139:10 152:17
solidly	70:21 75:1	71:16 92:1	235:6	155:8 157:9
220:14	79:25 80:12,22	133:9 145:3	spoke	135.8 157.9
solo	90:19 101:2	146:7,8,19	66:18 166:13	203:7 215:15
63:18	102:4 104:8	155:12 179:15	193:14 207:16	205:7 215:15
solution	112:15 132:14	196:22 212:7	spoken	standard
127:1	136:13,25	213:21	81:11 124:19	
son	138:1,7,8,18	speaks	sponte	67:8 183:9
65:21 66:11	141:8 143:18	18:10 141:22	121:15	standards
109:6 120:14	151:8 154:23	146:2 166:15	sponted	42:21 228:3
155:22 216:6,11	151:0 154:25	177:6 234:9	121:18	standing
231:13 232:8,22	160:4,11,13,14,	special	spot	145:20
233:11,24,25	100.1,11,13,17,	special	168:7,8	

standpoint	94:13 104:13	statements	67:23	173:11
59:10 94:17,22	112:16 134:11,	23:12	Steve	streets
148:12,23 149:7	14 136:13,25	states	11:16,19 28:20	45:8,19
stands	137:22 138:3,	15:22 32:3 39:3	Steven	stress-free
21:7 91:23	11,18 141:11	40:3 41:12	7:15,16 8:2,5	108:19
119:9 167:7	150:16 151:8	44:18 89:14	10:5 11:23 16:7	stresses
start	156:20 159:11	183:16	239:2,16 240:8	109:17
9:15 19:16 26:5,	160:8,18 161:3	statewide	241:4 242:1	stressful
6 50:23 74:1	162:21 165:22	100:20	Stillwell	111:12,14
91:15 98:10	168:20 169:10	stating	32:19	stressors
130:25 168:1	171:22 172:10	100:5,6	Stilwell	171:17
175:19 181:25	173:15,22 174:8	statute	97:19 105:2	stretched
213:25 236:19	181:2 185:23	222:11,19	246:14,18	171:2
started	191:21 192:15	statutes	Stilwell's	
13:19 33:22	193:16 196:7	222:18	118:23	strict 33:1 90:18
37:13 50:6	198:2 199:8,9,	statutory	stimulation	
76:13 83:13	12 200:9,10,12,	10:6 37:6 56:5	64:25	Strike
88:3 89:2 94:24	13,15 201:5,8,	74:17 93:24	stop	47:20
95:11 98:6,8,11,	16 203:1 204:7	135:15 158:18	97:14 114:8	Strom
13 103:8 104:25	210:4,14 214:24	181:18 198:25	130:14	47:16,17,23,25
106:6,8,25	217:21 218:3	217:10 220:5		48:11 51:20
118:18 128:5	223:24 244:3	stay	stopped 167:25	113:13,14
136:22 159:8,13	stated	67:14 131:5		176:15,16,24
162:3 165:19	21:3 41:25 42:7,	235:20	stopping 168:10	177:4 237:21
167:2,3,12	19 44:7 100:19	stayed		238:7,10,15,18 242:6 244:19
172:20 173:21	135:24 165:7	48:4,9	store	242.0 244.19
210:11 232:16	190:12 205:1	steady	193:22	
starting	225:23	163:10	stories	strong 18:6 42:12
136:17 236:2	statement		51:25 124:5	
starts	7:20 8:5,23 9:2,	step 31:3 82:10	131:22	87:16,17 184:17 227:10
25:17	5 35:4 36:5,19	151:15	story	
state	53:19 54:6		102:21,25 128:5	struck 69:1
7:13 8:11,24	55:11 58:7	Stephanie 155:5,11	131:7	
15:4 21:17 25:2,	71:20 72:20	156:10,13,15	straight	structured
8 32:12 36:12	74:4 92:11,21	158:17 236:22	14:25 183:4	139:6
38:12 40:13	133:14,16 134:5	237:14 238:22	233:16	struggled
41:3,22 44:17	155:16 156:15		strange	104:1
45:6,11,16 49:5,	158:8 179:20 180:17 181:8	Stepp 48:22	19:3	stuck
10,22 53:3	197:1,20		stray	68:6
54:17 56:13,15	197:1,20	stepping	15:5	student
73:1 75:1,16	214:2,18 216:15	117:8	street	29:10 69:15
82:11 93:6	217.2,10 210.13	steps	114:7 123:6	students
		14:9 65:20		

31:24 69:4	submitting	suffered	supported	suspensions
233:18	16:21,25 46:10,	151:5	13:6	160:21
studied	14 60:24 61:6	suffering	suppose	swear
98:17,20	80:3,7 101:5,14	150:19	19:3 85:10	33:23 133:6
study	142:25 143:10	sufficient	supposed	196:19
8:10,14 29:17	164:1,10 189:7,	25:24	72:4 179:8	sweat
36:10,13 54:16,	18 206:23 207:8	suggestion	188:4	195:4
19 65:2,18	224:4,14	44:2	Supreme	swiftly
72:24 77:3	subpoena	suing	32:4,17 99:25	14:21
85:18,23 93:4	120:21	206:9	183:15 218:5,	switch
97:22 134:10	Subsection	suit	14,16,19 223:21	89:24
156:19 180:25	35:10 47:5	79:3	226:4,8,17	switched
181:3 198:1,4	subsequent	suited	230:1	95:8
199:22 200:1	178:9 236:16	41:23 202:9	surprise	sworn
214:23	subsequently	204:25 223:4	12:11	7:10,20 8:5 34:5
studying	207:15	sum	surprised	35:3 36:5 53:11,
86:9,18	Substance	41:7 151:13	43:22 62:12	18 54:6 71:15,
stuff	41:1	summary	survey	20 72:20 76:14
13:12 59:7 66:3	substantial	100:19 144:4	8:10 11:14	91:25 92:11,21
68:6 97:7	183:8	165:7 190:12	36:10 41:17	133:8,15 134:5
110:24	substantive	225:23 228:10	54:16 72:24	155:11,16
style	141:11	Sumter	75:19 78:1 93:4	156:15 179:14,
12:10 13:2	substitute	103:4,19 170:23	96:7 134:9	20 180:9,17
122:10	86:9 201:23	,	140:16 156:19	196:22 197:1,8,
sua	211:24 212:2,9	Super 74:11 158:6	163:5 180:25	20 213:20
121:15,18	success		187:17 198:1	214:2,7,18
subconsciously	30:16	supervised 42:10	203:25 210:25	system
30:15	successes		214:23	18:21 24:19,23
subject	139:23	supervision	surveys	49:9,10 105:15,
219:24 224:18	successful	38:24 39:8	11:13 29:13	22 159:2 201:19
submission	90:2 92:6	supervisors 227:24	41:16 58:1	222:7 229:4
243:15	104:16 109:23		62:11 75:18	system's
submissions	121:9 139:22	supper	81:12,25 96:6	118:2
86:6	140:5,7	122:5	112:3 113:1	Systems
submit	successor	suppers	140:15 144:23	228:18
64:23	208:19	128:22	163:4 187:14	
submitted	sudden	supplementary	203:24 222:23,	
23:25 30:24	98:14 169:19	184:16	24	
35:4 134:18	sued	supplemented	susceptible	table
166:11 179:21	205:10,24 206:1	141:8	44:5	57:15 151:7
180:2 197:2	suffer	support	suspended	tail
200:21	150:18	38:25	72:1 228:20	76:22
	130.10			
	I	I	I	l

Teiller	25.26.6.24	4.00.000	100.11 206.10	54.22.72.0
Taillon	25 36:6,24	teams	190:11 206:19	54:22 73:9
244:24	47:12,16 50:3	232:25	223:2 225:22	93:13 120:22
tailored	51:8,11,17,19	tears	temperamental	134:20 157:4
204:8	52:7,12 53:1	195:4	62:14	181:7 198:8
takes	61:3 62:6,7 63:2	technical	temporary	215:13
127:19 129:15	68:9 81:7,8,23	169:9 201:18	32:12	testimony
193:2	101:9 112:18,	205:17	ten	10:3 33:25 37:3
taking	19,21 113:10	Teddy	42:17 85:3 90:6	56:1 74:14
12:22 24:24	164:15 165:15,	195:2	92:5 107:5,13	93:22 102:16
86:5,25 124:20	16 193:14	Tedeschi	218:4 223:21	103:21 133:23
228:16	208:4,5	213:15,16,20	226:6,7,15	135:12 158:15
talented	tallies	214:4,9,13,16,	tenants	180:9 181:15
34:14	247:1	19,20 215:3,6,	89:19	197:9,12 202:7
talents	Tally-on	17,18,21 216:1,	tend	214:7 217:7
106:14	244:24	6,7,11,12,14,18	67:14	243:14 245:3
talk	Tameaka	217:3,9,13	tenure	Texas
19:11,13 24:14	246:5	219:13 220:7	44:18 153:21	174:2,20
50:10,15 122:5,	tams	221:11,24	200:17	text
14 124:7 129:7	39:17	222:22 223:5	term	50:20
141:13 150:12	task	224:3 225:17	29:11 139:22	thankful
172:24	167:16	226:2 227:5	140:5,11	118:21 140:12
talked	taste	231:8,9 232:7,	terminated	thanking
44:19 97:23,25	229:23	19 233:4,6,10,	79:4	9:18,20
115:3 129:19,20	taught	14,19,23 234:3,	terms	thankless
149:10 153:8	65:13 129:10	15,16,19	14:4 67:10 68:2,	9:7,20
165:20 170:16	216:2 231:25	235:16,17,20	16 75:9 89:10	theme
177:13 194:17	tax	236:24 238:19,	114:13 147:18,	211:18
talking	138:1,2 199:18,	23	24 210:24	themes
45:13 50:19	19 219:23	telling	221:10 227:15	30:14
78:4 116:8	Taylor	118:13	244:4 245:8	thin
125:13 131:3	116:11,14	temper		171:2 215:9
175:8 179:19	176:19	59:21	terrible	
194:1		temperament	120:13,17,23	thing
talks	teach 69:18 215:20	11:25 16:9	territory	18:11 19:23 21:6 26:14
167:17 177:7		41:22 42:5,19,	205:14,22,24	35:16 43:14
	teacher	23 43:14,16,23	terrorism	65:13 75:14
tall	160:20 167:11	46:2 49:1 50:5	38:8	
115:8	teaches	56:14 60:14	testament	95:23 97:17 99:11 108:9
Talley	215:21	79:17 96:10,11	141:22	
7:2 17:24,25	team	100:18 144:3	testified	110:19,21
20:13,15 33:22	35:12 174:16	163:7 165:6	121:1 243:16,17	111:5,20 112:23
34:2,8,16,24	228:14 232:15,	166:15 187:20	testify	113:5 120:17
35:2,8,15,17,21,	16,23		8:20 36:18	122:20,21 123:8

125:11 126:2,20	thoughtful	124:7 125:22	Toal	toss
123.11 120.2,20	75:22	124.7 125.22	184:11	31:14
194:13	thousand	131:8 133:14		
things	94:15	135:1 136:1	today 14:4,5 17:21	total 95:16
21:3 27:18		141:17 142:12,	18:5 30:6 33:19	
30:23 31:2	three-quarter	13 144:12	34:9,19 36:22	totality
43:17 44:2	64:14	146:11 149:2	38:21 47:10	26:5
50:22 51:3 52:3	thrived	151:2 153:9,24	51:23 62:2 67:5	touch
54:9,23 57:11	69:14	154:20 155:22	81:4 88:15	31:20
64:24 69:1 83:4	throws	156:25 159:17	91:10 102:10	touchdown
86:23 87:1,2	175:13	160:1 165:13	108:25 118:13	174:14
98:4 99:14	Thurmond	167:17 169:10	135:4,7 144:10	touched
103:25 109:22	47:23	170:12,13	154:25 165:12	44:4
111:7 124:4,9	Thursday	171:23 173:13	166:17 168:21	tough
129:1,8,9,14	128:23	174:13 177:21	176:5 177:22	108:17 110:16
130:3,7 142:16,	ticket	179:6,25 183:3	178:19,25 179:8	111:13,14
21 149:17 161:9	72:3	194:4,24 196:5	180:9 181:7	114:24 121:2
163:25 171:12	tie	197:5 198:14	191:2 196:18	122:20,25
184:2 188:17	236:13	202:14,16	197:9 198:6,10,	126:11,13
189:3 193:11	Tiger	204:19 208:25	16 208:1 213:12	towns
194:7 227:9	232:11	212:12,13 218:9	226:25 247:2	169:11
229:12 231:19	till	221:6 223:6	Todd	track
thinker	131:5 196:4	230:2,13,14,18,	132:6 244:12	19:21 49:14
76:4	time	22 231:12 237:4	told	232:21
thinking	9:11,18,19 14:3,	239:11,17	113:2 188:17	trade
104:25 105:3	7 18:21 19:5	240:15 241:5	189:3	10:16 11:1
122:21 123:17	28:4 29:22 37:1,	242:6 244:7,11		traffic
211:5	22,23 40:13	245:20	Tommy	201:25 214:1
Thirdly	43:25 48:2	timely	110:5	trailer
11:23	49:12 52:9,22	87:12	tomorrow	116:7
	55:13,15,16	times	131:5	trailers
thirty	60:2 62:18	40:14 64:10	ton	116:5
123:1,15	65:11 66:13,14	77:5 81:15	94:12	training
Thomas	70:18 77:2,14	104:4 105:19	tone	41:1,2 182:12,
28:15	83:10,18,19,23	110:17 142:18	50:10	19 210:2,3,5
thorny	84:23 85:20	149:25 190:20	tool	trainings
23:9,14,16	86:5 87:21	192:20 218:22	137:14	210:8
thought	88:12 89:7 90:1	Tina	tools	trains
48:13 105:1	97:7 106:25	234:7	25:2	55:19
136:20 148:19	107:3 109:6,16	title	top	trait
168:19 193:9	112:5,24 115:1	182:2 212:2	206:4	70:13
208:25	116:24 117:6,24	to-date	torts	
	119:17 123:16	37:20	39:17	traits

58:5	99:13,15,25	tuition		150:6,13,14,18
trajectory	107:7,11	69:5,13	U	152:25 164:19
30:3,12 84:18	111:13,19	turn		166:24 178:13
transcript	124:18 125:1	9:2 36:25 86:1	U.S.	189:22 190:18
198:22	128:13 147:8	93:16 127:2	34:20 37:17	191:16 195:18
transferrable	171:7 184:1	158:9 173:19	38:6,22,25 39:2,	204:14,23
89:21	204:12 229:9	216:16	4,5,8 40:5,7	207:17 213:8,10
transition	trial-oriented	turned	41:12 48:14,21	225:7 230:9,10
145:2 161:22	229:7	155:23	49:19 70:6 79:2	231:1 235:15
transitioned	trials	twelve	205:5,20 228:16	understandable
229:1	22:11 57:5	223:20	UGA	179:11
	58:19 59:2	twenty	69:18	understanding
translate	67:18 107:23	187:14	ultimate	77:22 129:13
18:17 42:4	108:12 121:4	Twenty-three	15:17 18:20	145:8 150:23,24
Traxler	125:3,14 126:24	223:17	192:19	152:1 153:3
28:15	145:15 151:25		ultimately	understood
Traxler's	201:24 202:6	two-part 181:25	13:11 19:18,19	50:20 244:24
29:7	trip		25:14 148:13	undertake
treat	91:9	two-time 11:3	unanimous	9:8 24:5 187:4
116:11 140:1	TRO		246:24	unemployment
145:4	32:15	two-week	unbelievable	185:1
treated	trucker	184:10	183:3	unenviable
142:11 176:10	120:16	type	unbiased	167:16
treatises	trucking	10:24 25:6	202:24	unexpected
15:1	120:10,16	103:21 115:24	uncommon	234:13
tremendous	true	137:16 151:23	15:5 42:8 128:7	unfamiliar
41:19,20 159:2	14:7 69:9 84:23	169:6 171:25 191:10 199:20	undergrad	14:10 77:1
tremendously	trust	221:2 223:6	216:4	220:1
89:10	20:9 68:13		underlining	unfit
trenches	184:10	types	144:5	15:9
94:9 95:22	truth	24:1 44:25 137:18 161:17	understand	unflappable
104:22 149:10	7:11,12 20:10	162:5 182:22	17:15 33:12	96:13
150:4	34:6,7 53:12,13	200:5 220:7	47:3 55:12	
Trey	71:16,17 92:1,2	229:18	61:20 71:4 78:1	unfortunate
48:20	105:9 133:9,10		80:21 86:22	111:20 205:8
trial	155:12,13	typical 206:7	91:1,5 97:20	unhappy
10:14,19 11:11	179:15,16		102:3 111:18	205:24 206:8
14:16,19 23:4,	196:22,23	typically	128:16,20	unique
13 57:1,24 59:5	213:21,22	25:4 116:19	132:20 138:18	22:20 23:1
63:24 64:14	Tuesday	typo	141:13 142:1,4	37:25 41:7
82:20 89:8	76:15 126:17	35:10	143:14 145:6,13	150:21 234:25
96:12 97:8	,	tyrants	147:2 149:17	235:1
		109:11		

United	231:14 232:10	venue	7,11,22 198:10,	violent
15:21 32:3 39:3	233:19	120:7	18 208:3 212:11	45:1
40:3 41:12	Usry	verbal	236:25 238:1	virtual
44:18 183:16	240:10 241:18	33:7	246:17	40:19
University	242:4,20	verbally	Vickery	vision
169:10 173:15	utilize	71:25	240:9 241:10	138:14,17,23
212:12	65:16	verdict	Vicksburg	162:15,18
Unlike		121:16,17,22,23	173:5	185:18 202:11
52:2	V	124:8,20	victims	221:11,14
unmatched	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	verdicts	45:13 66:16	voice
163:7	Vacancy	125:18,21	video	50:10 117:18
unnecessarily	40:3	Verdin	66:9	124:19 128:17
12:4,9,16 87:7	vacants	64:13,15 65:21	view	voiced
unnecessary	40:3	66:18	52:15 82:24	42:18,21
13:9 43:20	vacation	verification	85:9 154:15	volleyball
unprepared	110:22 111:16,	8:11 36:11	182:4 184:3	232:15,22
63:23	23	54:17 72:25	186:25 195:24	volumes
unprofessional	vacationing	93:5 134:10	243:12,19 245:6	18:10 166:15
62:13 160:22	212:19	156:20 181:1	viewpoints	177:6
unqualified	valuable	198:2 214:24	44:9	vote
166:14 226:19	187:7 188:24	versed	views	31:22 32:1
unreasonable	230:15	77:11 147:20	44:10 225:25	110:2 236:12,18
78:18,20	Van	218:5 220:23	226:9,10 235:5	237:13,16,24
unusual	240:11 241:20	226:11	violating	238:4,14,20
191:24	242:4,22 243:3	versus	122:18 143:18	239:15,17,19,
updates	Vance	49:5 69:5	164:22 189:25	22,24 240:1,19,
179:24 197:5	159:23	vet	207:20 225:10	21,23 241:1,3,5,
upholds	variations	62:11	243:23	7,9,11,13,15,17,
11:21	75:10,12	viable	violation	19,22,24
upperclassman	varied	193:7,11	33:3 70:23	242:11,13,15,
119:14	74:24 75:1,8	Vice	90:21 91:3	17,19,21,24
Upstate	183:10	102:14 119:8,20	132:14 178:7	243:1,9,22
16:6 18:9 24:4	variety	131:15 132:5	213:3 235:7,14	244:17,20,22
37:15 45:21	10:14 11:4,5,7	133:1 144:13,16	violations	245:8 246:15,24
59:15 60:11	199:10 227:22	166:21 174:7,	52:16 103:2	247:1
79:13 100:12	vast	10,19,21 175:6	132:13 138:4	voted
112:15	76:1	178:23 179:3,	154:15 195:25	246:25
upward	Vehicles	10,17,23 180:6,	Violator	votes
30:3 84:17	138:9	11,18 181:12	225:13	8:25 91:20
USC	vein	191:4,7 195:10,	violence	235:25 236:8,
66:3 215:19	220:18 221:20	13,19 196:11,	201:25	11,16 237:11,
		14,17,24 197:4,		14,17,22 238:17

242:1,6,9	warrants	184:5	Williamsburg	31:3
voting	234:7	weeks'	108:13	won
32:14 238:5,8,	Washington	171:6 212:13	willingness	51:17
10,15,18	70:12	weight	27:7 29:23	wonderful
vulnerable	watch	33:5 52:17	66:25 82:10	57:3 70:13,14
42:20	104:4 188:21	70:25 90:23	119:1 131:21	76:2 118:25
	233:12	154:17 178:9	win-win	175:4,15 187:20
W	watched	196:1 213:5	174:3	234:2,13 235:18
	64:16 148:11	Weinberg	wines	wondering
wait	194:17	109:4	14:2	96:19 166:3
87:6 238:2	watching	weird	Winn	word
waiting	103:1 128:9	209:24	121:5	69:7,8 144:5
123:4	ways	whatsoever	winning	worded
waive	57:24 59:9	15:19 35:24	232:16 234:8	120:8
9:5 93:17 96:23	67:15 68:1	115:5 116:3	Winnsboro	words
Walhalla	130:22 187:5	Whetstone	121:12 136:4	86:4 244:3
58:21	wayside	240:10 241:18	wins	wore
walk	210:16	242:3,20	232:21	177:17
21:21	weakness	white	Winthrop	work
walked	127:7	45:1	118:19	20:3,11 31:2
28:8	wealth	who've	wiping	39:19 43:1,19,
walking	42:8 58:4 77:18	150:4	125:1	24 44:19 45:6
21:1	104:10	wicked	wisdom	59:17 66:1 77:4
walks	wear	29:11	194:11	83:4 84:5 86:8
25:16 43:25	177:17	wickedly	witnessed	89:8 90:16
Waller	wearing	29:8,12	120:12	97:11,16,21
230:19	234:10	wide	witnesses	98:1,2,14 99:3,
wanted	website	10:14 11:4,5	8:20 23:11,13	9,22,24 100:1
18:3 33:6 50:22	202:15	18:19 75:8	36:17 54:22	108:1 131:9
62:10 81:10	wedding	219:21 227:22	73:9 93:12	136:8,15 137:16
102:17 105:24	88:19	wife	134:19 157:4	138:21 139:9
115:19 126:19	week	34:13 51:13	181:6 192:1	153:6 154:1
128:5 136:21	76:12,15 83:15,	54:23 69:3,6	198:8 201:13	159:17 163:11
167:4,6 168:11	16 97:13 126:19	73:19 174:2	witnessing	169:4,5,6,8,24
172:18 175:24	181:10	179:5,7 244:23	24:23	171:8,9,18
177:12,16	weekend	Wilkinson	wives	179:9 182:5,8,
216:19,23	108:11 109:22	36:25 37:2,8	157:19	10 183:11 184:1
wanting	weekends	45:20 46:3 47:7,	Wofford	185:4 186:17
69:11 246:13	212:15	12	34:21 69:10	187:5 192:12 194:7 203:5,17
war	weeks	William	118:20	219:5,6 221:25
124:5 128:5	37:18 83:19	240:9 241:10	women	219.3,0 221.23
131:22		1		

		136:6,16	82:3
40:8 148:24	V V	137:14,21 138:8	
worry		141:2,5,10	
109:7 116:10	y'all	145:10,12	
170:15		148:18,19,20	zealous
worse	238:3	150:23 154:3	163:9
107:14,15	year	158:20 171:9	
174:21			
worth			
83:4 84:4 204:5	,		
worthy			
59:25 117:21			
229:16	,		
Wow		,	
64:17 117:9			
wrap			
-	•		
	131:22		
	years	•	
	,		
	,	•	
0			
		35:11	
		young	
	,	, í	
	,	,	
		,	
	· ·		
,			
	,		
0			
	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
00.17 170.21			
	,	v	
	135:1,16,25	35:11 76:11	
	<pre>worry 109:7 116:10 170:15 worse 107:14,15 174:21 worth 83:4 84:4 204:5 worthy 59:25 117:21 229:16 Wow</pre>	worry 109:7 116:10 170:15y'all $98:18 174:11$ $238:3$ $107:14,15$ $174:21worse83:4 84:4 204:5year65:12 66:4,1469:21 89:399:10 110:9,24119:18 131:19140:7 165:19167:2,25 173:22174:18 176:1193:12,22 227:6234:1Wow64:17 117:9yearn132:7write132:7yearn131:22years10:7 18:2,1220:7 37:7,1338:3 40:1741:22 42:1 43:2221:9 231:25232:1write221:9 231:25232:1yearn12:1 28:1342:17 58:1112:1 28:13124:16,17139:17 140:23139:17 140:23139:17 140:23139:17 140:23139:17 140:23139:17 140:23139:17 140:23139:17 140:23139:17 140:23139:17 140:23139:17 140:23139:17 140:23139:17 140:23139:17 140:23131:10115,22 105:5,6,16,21 106:4112:4,23 113:2,25 115:3,17116:18 117:19128:6 131:1$	worry 109:7 116:10 170:15 $\mathbf{y}'all$ 141:2,5,10 141:2,5,10 145:10,12worse 174:21238:3 98:18 174:11 238:3141:2,5,10 145:10,12worse 174:21238:3 65:12 66:4,14 69:21 89:3 99:10 110:9,24 119:18 131:19 167:2,25 173:22 229:16183:4 199:1,9 200:9 210:7,8,9, 13 212:9worthy 59:25 117:21 229:16167:2,25 173:22 16''.2,25 173:22 174:18 176:1 193:12,22 227:6 234:1218:3,4,7 219:9 220:14 223:16, 20,21 226:6,7, 15 229:18 20,21 226:6,7, 131:22wrap wrap 123:7years 193:12,22 227:6 234:1yell 50:6 128:7 yell 50:6 128:7 yell 20:7 37:7,13 223:1writer 223:120:7 37:7,13 38:3 40:17 41:22 42:1 43:2 44:22 45:4 56:6 232:1years 50:6 128:7 yell 50:6 128:7 yelld 28:11,22 29:20written 12:1 28:13 42:17 58:11 42:17 58:11 42:17 58:11 81:16 82:6 83:6 139:17 140:23 124:16,17 124:16,17 88:14 89:3 90:6 139:17 140:23 194:1,7,12,25 15:2,5 117:21 123:24 124:11 128:12 22:21 22:31,25 15:25 117:21 123:24 124:11 128:15 29:51 15:25 117:21 123:24 124:11 128:15 129:5 15:25 117:21 123:24 124:12 107:35,13 wrote 88:17 176:21124:4,23 113:2, 25:15:3,17 16:18 117:19 128:6 131:1214:10 25:18,19,20 35:11 76:11

303